ZEP TEPI: PEOPLE OF THE FIRST TIME HYPOTHESIS

Saharan Cultural Memory and the Origins of Egyptian Sacred Symbolism

Zep Tepi Series, The Corridor — Paper II
Sefy Levy | 2026 | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22771915 | CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

Abstract

> *"Noble god of the first occasion, who built people and gave birth to the gods."*
>
> — Hymn to Ptah, Ramesside private stela, Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek (NCG 897 / AEIN 54), trans. Allen 1988

This paper presents the Saharan Corridor Hypothesis: that Saharan pastoral populations, displaced by the desiccation that set in from c. 5300 BCE and ended the African Humid Period (c. 5,500 cal BP), migrated northeast along a corridor of highland refugia to the Nile Valley, carrying symbolic, linguistic and ritual traditions that became foundational to Egyptian sacred iconography — among them the Eye of Horus (Wedjat) and the Zep Tepi cosmology. It is a predictive model, not a claim of discovery, and stands or falls on the tests in Chapter 9.

The chain runs from Taforalt in Morocco (15,000 BP) through Takarkori in the Fezzan (7,000 BP) to the A-Group of Lower Nubia (from c. 3700 BCE) and Old Kingdom Egypt (Nuwayrat, 2855–2570 BCE). Its western half is genetically documented — the Takarkori women descend from the Taforalt lineage (Salem et al. 2025), and Nuwayrat is modelled at 77.6% Middle Neolithic Moroccan — but no A-Group ancient DNA has been published, and that is the single gap on which the model turns. Around it the paper assembles twenty-three lines of evidence from nine disciplines.

Three contributions are original. The first is a metagenomic pathogen screen of 245 archived ancient sequencing datasets across eight sample groups: it authenticates Mycobacterium tuberculosis at Takarkori some 1,650 years before tuberculosis is molecularly detectable in Egypt, and an organism of the Brucella group at Takarkori, Gobero and Kadruka 1, west to east, while the Taforalt, Levantine, Neolithic Moroccan and Old Kingdom Egyptian controls returned none. The second places the Wedjat over the Richat in Egyptian south-up orientation and tests its six elements against the sky at 5500 BCE, yielding a falsifiable prediction about Canopus temple orientations that the surveys support in part. The third is the assembly itself.

The Wedjat and the Zep Tepi cosmology are read as encoding one western landscape, as geometry and as narrative. Of twenty-eight Saharan formations tested, only the Richat Structure met all four criteria; it is offered as the best candidate, not an established origin. Two threads run the route: the eye, from Messak cattle engraved with a tear and a spiral to the canonical Wedjat; and blue, from the quartzite of the source region through faience and Egyptian blue to the marks Amazigh women still wear, among them Heitelfut, "the eye of the day". Light eye colour, often invoked here, is rare in North Africa and unmeasured in any Amazigh population: it is one of the paper's thirteen predictions, not evidence.

Keywords: Saharan Corridor Hypothesis; Zep Tepi; Eye of Horus; Predynastic Egypt; African Humid Period; Richat Structure; ancient DNA; pathogen screening; Amazigh origins; A-Group Nubia; Kerma; cattle cults

Author's Note

This study is an independent, multidisciplinary synthesis drawing on published research across archaeology, genetics, climatology, geology, and other fields.

What I have is time, persistence, and help from multiple AI tools that searched across disciplines, translated technical papers into plain language, and held the connections in view as the trail grew longer. The AIs did not generate the evidence; they helped me find it and understand what I was finding.

This document assembles existing, published research—climate science, genetics, archaeology, linguistics, and more—from peer-reviewed sources. The climate scientists published the dust. The geneticists published the DNA. The archaeologists published the rock art and cattle burials. They worked in different journals, for different audiences, asking different questions. No one asked them to look at each other's work.

I followed the trail where it led—across twenty-five fields, across fifteen thousand years, across five thousand kilometers of Sahara. I did not generate new data. I just assembled existing data in order and let the pattern emerge.

The only exception is the pathogen screening. That analysis—every sequence downloaded, every Kraken2 screen run, every mapDamage authentication—is my own original work. This question had not been asked of these data before. The results are presented here, in full, reproducible by anyone with access to the same public sequence archives.

The pattern led to a place I did not expect. It led to a people who walked east when their world turned to sand, who carried their dead and their cattle and their symbols toward the only water left, who buried their kings behind crescents of cattle skulls, who marked their skin with patterns that are still there today.

It led to a question: What if the First Time was real? What if the memory was true?

I do not know the answer. But I know the evidence exists. I know the bones sit in museum collections, never tested. I know the sand covers what we have not yet excavated. If you read this and find it compelling, I am not asking you to believe me. I am asking you to look. To test the bones. To dig the site. To date the art. To give us an answer, one way or the other.

Because if it is no, I will take it. I would rather be wrong and know than wonder if I'm right.

But if it is yes—if those bones carry the right DNA, if that sand covers ritual activity, if the dates line up—then we have found something real. Something that changes how we understand an entire region.

That is all I ever wanted. Just to know.

Walk with me.

Introduction: The Trail

The Egyptians believed in a First Time.

They called it *Zep Tepi*—the moment when order emerged from chaos, when a mound rose from endless water, when the gods walked the earth. They never said exactly where it was. But in the texts that address it, they consistently pointed in the same direction.

West.

West of the Nile.
That is the Sahara.

Between 14,800 and ~5500 years ago, the Sahara was green. Grasslands. Rivers. Lakes. Animals. People. Tens of thousands of them, living across a landscape as large as Europe. They built stone circles older than Stonehenge. They carved images of cattle and gods into rock. They buried their dead with care—and in at least one place, they mummified them, at about the time embalming agents first appear in Egypt.

Then the rains stopped.

What follows is the trail of where they went. It is written in their bones, their DNA, their rock art, and the symbols they carried east. It is written in the graves they dug in Nubia and the cattle they sacrificed by the thousands. And finally, it is written in Egypt—in the Eye of Horus, in the myth of the First Time, and in the memory of a western place that was never an island in the sea, but a mound in a green world that turned to sand.

This is the evidence that asks: was the memory real?

Hypothesis Statement

This document presents the Saharan Corridor Hypothesis for the Cultural Prehistory of Egypt: the proposition that Saharan pastoral populations, displaced by the desiccation that set in from c. 5300 BCE and ended the African Humid Period (~5,500 years ago), migrated northeast along a documented corridor from the western Sahara to the Nile Valley, carrying symbolic, linguistic, and ritual traditions that became foundational to Egyptian sacred iconography—including the Eye of Horus and the Zep Tepi cosmology.

Egyptian civilization presents a longstanding puzzle: the rapid appearance around 3100 BCE of advanced symbolic systems, organized theology, complex ritual architecture, and astronomical knowledge, with limited identifiable precursors in the Nile Valley record alone. This hypothesis proposes a partial framework for that puzzle. The Saharan populations documented here carried developed symbolic and ritual traditions for millennia before the Sahara dried. Egypt did not appear abruptly. It arrived.

The hypothesis is structured in four layers. The Foundation layer establishes the environmental and demographic framework: the African Humid Period, Saharan pastoral populations, and the climate-driven migration corridor. The Evidence layer presents the empirical record across genetics, archaeology, material culture, and biology. The Interpretation layer proposes that specific elements of Egyptian sacred symbolism preserve geographic and cultural memory of the Saharan source population. The Prediction layer identifies falsifiable archaeological and genetic tests that would confirm or refute the model.

The hypothesis does not claim to be proven. It claims to generate testable predictions. The candidate geographic focus of the western origin — the Richat Structure in Mauritania — is presented as the formation most consistent with the convergent symbolic and geographic evidence, not as an independently established fact. The corridor model does not depend on Richat being the point of origin; if a different western Saharan source is identified, the broader migration hypothesis remains testable and intact. The required materials for the predicted tests exist in museum collections and

could be analyzed using current methods. This document makes three original contributions to the existing literature.

First, Chapter 4 presents the first systematic metagenomic pathogen screening of the full corridor ancient DNA dataset — 245 samples across eight sample groups spanning the full Saharan-Nile corridor — a question that had not been asked of these publicly available sequences before this study. Second, Chapter 7 presents the first systematic astronomical analysis placing the Wedjat diagram over the Richat Structure in Egyptian south-up orientation and calculating its six anatomical elements against the sky at 5500 BCE, subjected to independent adversarial critique and producing an internally consistent result including a directly falsifiable prediction about Canopus temple orientations, which the published surveys support in part. Third, this document assembles over twenty independent lines of evidence across nine academic disciplines into a single coherent falsifiable framework, where each line was developed by researchers with no knowledge of this hypothesis and can be evaluated independently of the others. The hypothesis stands or falls on the predictions in Chapter 9, not on the assembly of evidence in Chapters 1–8.

Chronological conventions. Absolute dates in this paper follow Shaw (2000) unless stated. For the First Dynasty the series follows the Bayesian radiocarbon chronology of Dee et al. (2013), which places the foundation of the state (the accession of Aha, 3218–3035 BCE at 95%) earlier than Shaw's historical scheme; this applies to the c. 3100 BCE start of the First Dynasty and Den's accession at c. 2925 BCE used in the papers. For the Naqada phases the papers quote dates from excavation reports (for example HK6, Naqada IC–IIB, c. 3800–3600 BCE); Dee et al. date the Naqada IB/IC transition to about 3650 BCE, IIB/IIC to about 3450 BCE and IID/IIIA to about 3325 BCE, so the earliest of these conventional dates may be up to about 150 years too old. The African Humid Period is taken as c. 14,800–5,500 cal BP; some sources give a start nearer 14,500.

Chapter 1: The Green Sahara (14,800–5,500 BP)

Before there was a migration, there was a world worth leaving.

Between approximately 14,800 and ~5500 years ago, the Sahara was green. This was not a brief interlude—it was a stable climatic phase lasting nearly ten thousand years, caused by changes in Earth's orbit that intensified the African monsoon. Rainfall pushed hundreds of kilometers north of its current limits. The desert became savanna.

Grasslands stretched from the Atlantic to the Nile. Rivers flowed where now there is only sand. Lakes filled basins that have been dry for millennia. Animals roamed—elephants, giraffes, hippos, crocodiles. And people followed. They were not nomads passing through. They stayed. They built. They buried their dead. They carved images into rock that still survive. This was home.

Drake et al. (2011) show that during humid phases the Sahara held an extensive interconnected waterway — linked lakes, rivers and inland deltas — across which both fauna and human populations dispersed, and that stone tools from Oldowan to Neolithic occur in all the megalake basins forming corridors across the desert, indicating that the region was not merely periodically habitable but regularly occupied. No published estimate of the Green Sahara's total population is available, and none is asserted here. What the palaeohydrological and archaeological record does establish is that occupation was widespread rather than marginal, so the displacement caused by desertification after 5300 BCE involved populations distributed across the whole desert rather than a few isolated groups

— with corresponding implications for cultural diffusion, migration pressure on the Nile Valley, and the transmission of shared traditions.

In 2006, Rudolph Kuper and Stefan Kröpelin published a study that changed how we understand the Sahara. They compiled radiocarbon dates from 150 archaeological excavations across Egypt, Sudan, Libya, and Chad. The pattern was unmistakable: initial settlement after the humid onset around 8500 BCE, a Reoccupation phase from 8500 to 7000 BCE and a Formation phase from 7000 to 5300 BCE across the Eastern Sahara, a "Regionalization" phase from 5300 to 3500 BCE marked by retreat to ecological refuges such as the Gilf Kebir plateau and a marked migration into the Sudanese Sahara, and a "Marginalization" phase from 3500 to 1500 BCE in which Southwest Egypt received only passing visits while occupation persisted in Northern Sudan (Kuper & Kröpelin 2006: 803–804). The exodus did not run in one direction: populations "were forced to the Nile valley or ecological refuges and forced to exodus into the Sudanese Sahara" (Kuper & Kröpelin 2006: 806), and the large-scale exodus from the Egyptian Sahara "coincides with the rise of sedentary life along the Nile", where "Saharan traditions of cattle pastoralism have thus become an essential component of Neolithic life in the Nile valley" (Kuper & Kröpelin 2006: 805). This is not speculation. It is 150 radiocarbon dates, plotted on a map, telling the same story. The Sahara dried. The people left.

They did not walk into empty desert. They followed water — not a single river, but a network of highland refugia, each with its own reliable source. Satellite radar has revealed what lies beneath the sand. During the Green Sahara, extensive drainage systems crossed the region. In the west, a reconstructed palaeodrainage network — whose coastal terminal section on the Mauritanian margin is well documented — drained westward to the Atlantic (Skonieczny et al. 2015); its inland upper reaches remain a reconstruction rather than established fact, and it is not proposed here as an eastward migration route. In the east, the Kufrah paleoriver network drained north toward the Mediterranean through the Sirt Basin. PALSAR L-band radar mapping traced its headwaters directly to the Gilf Kebir plateau — a central node of the Highland Corridor — and identified such paleodrainages as likely corridors for fauna and human dispersal in the eastern Sahara (Paillou et al., 2009). But as established in Paper I, the primary migration route followed a highland corridor of elevated massifs and plateaus — Tassili n'Ajjer, Tadrart Acacus, Messak Settafet, Gilf Kebir — where water persisted longer than in lowland basins. The Acacus sequence rests on the Italian excavations of 1978–1983 (Barich 1987) and the subsequent Wadi Teshuinat survey (Cremaschi and Di Lernia 1998); the rock art of the Messak Settafet and Messak Mellet is catalogued by Lutz and Lutz (1995).

Each major stop along the corridor had a documented water source during the African Humid Period. The Richat Structure’s outer-ring valleys carried flood water and temporary pools, with snail shells and calcite cements dated by Giresse and colleagues to about 15,000–7,730 BP (radiocarbon years). Tassili n'Ajjer offered plateau springs and wadis. Takarkori sat beside an ancient lake, fed by groundwater. The Messak Settafet plateau retained wadi flow and fog drip. Gilf Kebir preserved fossil wadis and sandstone aquifer seepage. Nabta Playa held a seasonal lake with accessible groundwater. And at the end of the trail, Hierakonpolis lay on the Nile itself, with canal access to its waters.

The Kufrah paleoriver system, whose headwaters PALSAR radar traces to the Gilf Kebir plateau, is relevant to the eastern segment not as a route to the Nile — its main drainage ran north toward the Mediterranean through the Sirt Basin, and the system dates to the Neogene rather than the Holocene — but because its channels and associated depressions cross the same ground as the corridor’s eastern nodes, and its mappers identify such paleodrainages as corridors for human and fauna dispersal in the eastern Sahara (Paillou et al. 2009, 2012). A population moving east from the western

Sahara could traverse the highland refugia network, moving between the reliable water sources documented above, with the Gilf Kebir as the last major highland stop before the Nile Valley.

The water was there. The path was there. They walked it.

The Home They Left: The Eye of the Sahara

In Mauritania, there is a place whose geology strikingly matches the pattern later Egyptian temple theology describes: a round, elevated landform that once stood encircled by water, understood by Egyptian priests as a primeval mound rising from the waters of creation. Geologists call it the Eye of the Sahara.

The Richat Structure is a deeply eroded dome, at least 40 km in diameter. Erosion has created circular cuestas forming three nested rings, with the centre occupied by a silicified breccia body approximately 3 km in diameter and at least 40 m thick — the high-relief centre of the geological literature (Matton, Jébrak & Lee 2005). From above, it looks like an eye.

During the Green Sahara, it was not a desert landmark. Giresse et al. (2012) conducted the first detailed paleoenvironmental study of Quaternary sediments within the Richat's annular depressions. They dated torrential gravel and sand layers in the outer-ring valleys of Wadi Akerdil and Wadi Bamouéré, using 11 AMS radiocarbon dates on snail shells from temporary brackish pools and on early calcite cements: 15,000 to 7,730 BP in radiocarbon years (calibrated medians 18,250 to 8,510 cal BP). Seven of the eleven fall inside the African Humid Period as they define it (14,800 to 5,500 cal BP); the four oldest calibrate to before it. The outer wadis (Wadi Akerdil, Wadi Bamouere) contain sections two to three metres thick of torrential and alluvial sediment (Giresse et al. 2012). Water flowed down the valleys of the outer ring during this period.

The Richat contains a rare, proximate assemblage of three stone types: iron-stained rhyolite (high in iron, titanium, zirconium), black gabbro and basalt (enriched in magnesium, chromium, nickel), and red-weathering carbonatite and limestone (high in calcium, strontium, light rare earth elements) (Matton and Jébrak 2014: 113; Taylor and Giles 2015: 27).

People came here repeatedly. Acheulean and pre-Acheulean artifacts—tools associated with Homo erectus and Homo heidelbergensis—have been found along wadis in the outermost annular depression. Some of these tools were washed into the flood layers of the outer valleys, which are dated to about 15,000 to 7,730 BP (radiocarbon years). The tools are far older than those layers, so they show repeated human presence at the structure rather than presence during the Green Sahara itself (Giresse et al. 2012). That is why the monuments and rock art described next matter: they are reported at the Richat, but none has been excavated or directly dated. Vernet's regional survey of central Mauritania names Richat specifically — alongside the northern Adrar baten and the southwest plateau — as a locality where bazinas and platforms are numerous (Vernet 2025), though the survey provides no monument count or documented example specific to Richat itself, unlike the worked example it gives for the Ouarane locality. Rock art at Tililit spans multiple periods (Lluch & Philip 2003: 95–96; Gauthier & Gauthier 2020: 86): an older naturalistic layer — elephants, ostriches, javelin-armed hunters, and bovids — belongs to the Green Sahara occupation window, while horsemen and a stylized chariot at the same site are a later addition from the Saharan Horse period (c. 1500–700 BCE), reflecting the site's continued significance long after this migration rather than evidence of it. Libyco-Berber script appears on the same panels (Lluch & Philip 2003: 95–96). In 2022, the

International Union of Geological Sciences designated the Richat Structure as one of the "First 100 IUGS Geological Heritage Sites.".

Why Richat and Not Somewhere Else?

Paper I (Levy 2026a) evaluated 21 Saharan formations against a fixed four-criterion test: sufficient physical scale, documented Holocene water at the structure itself, documented physical evidence of human presence during that window, and a central elevation rising from that water. Only the Richat Structure passed all four; the full table and reasoning are given there and are not repeated here. This section addresses two further questions Paper I does not: are there formations outside that original 21 that might change the result, and could the corridor itself, rather than a formation west of it, be the true origin?

Formations Beyond Corridor I's Survey

A further systematic search identified seven Saharan circular or ring-shaped formations not included in Corridor I's original 21. None changes the result.

Formation	Type	Scale	Holocene Water	Human Occupation	Mound
Temimichat	Impact crater	Small	No — minimal	No sites documented	N/A
Ouarkziz	Impact crater; first identified as a possible astrobleme by Fabre, Kazi-Tani & Megartsi (1970)	Small	No — limited	Limited regional only	N/A
El Mouilah	Central dome only	Small	None documented	None	No
Temimoun	Simple crater	Small	None	None	N/A
Gilf Kebir	Sandstone plateau	Regional (~7,770 km²)	Yes — Holocene playas (Kröpelin 1987)	Yes — extensive rock art	No — flat, level plateau; no central dome
Gweni-Fada	Impact crater	14–22 km	No	Regional rock art in the surrounding Ennedi massif (ICOMOS 2016); none documented at the structure itself	N/A
Semsiyat Dome	Alkaline dome, same non-impact process as Richat (Dietz, Fudali & Cassidy 1969)	4.35 km	Not documented	None documented	Genuine ring/moat morphology, but far below population-sustaining scale

None of these seven formations changes the result. Semsiyat Dome is the most instructive failure: it shares Richat's exact geological origin and sits only 45 kilometres away, yet at roughly a tenth of Richat's diameter, it could never have supported the population a lasting cultural tradition requires. Scale, not shape alone, is doing real work in this elimination.

Could the Corridor Itself Be the Origin?

Every corridor waypoint used as evidence elsewhere in this paper is real, dated, and archaeologically significant — that is precisely why each one is used. None of them is being proposed as the origin. Buried Saharan paleolakes and paleorivers can now be detected independently of surface survey, through anomalies in the EIGEN 6C4 satellite gravity model (Klokočník et al. 2017). Applying the same origin-point test used against every Saharan formation shows why:

Formation	Type	Scale	Holocene Water	Human Occupation	Mound
Tadrart Acacus (Takarkori)	Linear ridge complex	Regional	Yes — rock shelter near paleolake	Yes — extensively documented	No — linear ridge, no central dome
Messak Settafet	Sandstone escarpment/plateau	Regional	Regional only, not confirmed at the platforms themselves	Yes — the ceremonial platforms are built of slabs set into the ground, some over pits dug into the bedrock	No — a sandstone escarpment, not a natural dome.
Nabta Playa	Playa lake basin	Large basin	Yes — extensively documented	Yes — extensively documented	No — a basin is the geometric inverse of a mound; the stone circle is a human construction on flat ground

Takarkori is a ridge, not a dome. Messak's rings are built by hands, not raised by geology. Nabta Playa is a basin, not an elevation. A migration corridor needs waypoints and a starting point; these are the former, and only Richat satisfies the latter.

Richat is a geological dome that, during the Green Sahara, had springs and flood water in its outer-ring valleys, was visited by humans who left tools over hundreds of thousands of years and later rock art and monuments, rises as a high-relief centre from those rings, and preserves rock art of elephants that links it to the trail east. The 27 other formations are not competitors. They are a control group that demonstrates how rare the Richat's combination of features actually is.

The two physical criteria tested throughout this elimination — a central elevation, and documented water — are not an arbitrary modern choice. They are the same two features the primary Egyptian texts themselves describe. Pyramid Texts Spells 600 and 587 both describe Atum becoming high — "high, as the hill" (PT 600) and "high in your identity of the Height" (PT 587) — out of a prior undifferentiated state (Allen 2015: 265, 269); the Pyramid Texts place that moment “when the sky had not yet come into being” (PT 486; Allen 2015: 139), and its name, the First Occasion, is attested in the Edfu temple texts (Reymond 1960: 14, 54). Beyond elevation and emergence from water, the surviving primary sources do not specify a shape, a stone color, or a cardinal direction for this site — claims to that effect appear nowhere in Utterance 600, Utterance 587, or Coffin Texts Spell 75, and are not made here. The elimination table above tests candidates against exactly what the texts describe, and no more than that.

In total, 28 Saharan formations have now been evaluated. Twenty-seven fail even the basic Paper I criteria for sufficient scale, Holocene water, human presence, and central elevation. Only the Richat Structure passes all four.

The hypothesis does not depend on Richat being *the* source. It depends on *a* source in the western Sahara that fits the descriptors. Richat is simply the only candidate currently known that fits all of

them, and the expanded search confirms that this is not for lack of looking. If another candidate emerges, it will be tested against the same criteria. The search methodology and full dataset are available for independent verification and supplementation by other researchers.

Before turning to the people who lived in this world, one more piece of the environmental picture deserves attention — the climate of the Fezzan corridor itself, documented at high resolution by Mercuri, Massamba N'Siala, and Olmi in 2007. Their pollen-based reconstruction from the Tadrart Acacus spans 8800 to 1600 BC, covering the entire Green Sahara and the onset of aridification. The sequence reveals a wetter and warmer phase at 5500 to 4600 BC — the peak of the Green Sahara in the Fezzan, matching the broader Saharan record and confirming optimal conditions for pastoral occupation during this period. Then, at 4250 BC, aridification begins. Dry and warm conditions persist through 2900 BC. This is precisely the window when the hypothesis predicts eastward migration out of the drying Sahara.

Mercuri (2008) concluded that "since the early Holocene, both climatic and anthropic factors have played an important and strictly interconnected role in transforming the environment. Thus, while subsistence strategies were adjusting to climatic and environmental changes, the plant landscape was also being slowly and continuously shaped by humans." The people at Takarkori and Uan Muhuggiag experienced the same environmental pressures as their counterparts to the west. The climate record and the migration window align.

The landscape those people left behind was not neutral. Its geometry — concentric rings, a central uplift, a radial wadi cutting through like a teardrop — and its color — the blue-gray quartzites that earned it the name "Eye of Africa" — would not be forgotten. How that geometry and that color became the most sacred symbol of the civilization they built is the subject of a later chapter. For now, it is enough to note that the place existed, that people lived there, and that when the rains stopped, they walked east.

The Question That Remains

Richat has been visited by humans for nearly two million years. Its outer-ring valleys carried flood water and temporary pools during the Green Sahara, dated by Giresse et al. (2012) to about 15,000–7,730 BP (radiocarbon years). Dated lake water in the surrounding Adrar continues later: the Oum Arouaba palaeolake, about 150 km west-southwest of the structure, carries a diatomite date of about 6,800 cal BP, and the Chemchane sebkha has yielded palaeoenvironmental dates running from the early Holocene to about 6,800 cal BP (Chamard, Guitat & Thilmans 1970; Chamard 1973, both as reported in Vernet 2025: 142–143). Its rings are difficult to recognize from ground level and are legible chiefly from the air. Its blue-gray quartzites colored the landscape. At Tililit, within those rings, elephants were carved into rock — evidence that the people who lived here shared the same world, the same animals, the same symbolic life as the pastoralists who would later walk east.

Yet no one has ever excavated its annular depressions or the wadis that cut through them. No one has surveyed for aligned tumuli or offering sites. No one has compared the geochemistry of its stones to Predynastic Egyptian vessels. No one has dated its rock art. No one has asked whether the blue stone was quarried and carried east.

The question is not: *"Why is there no evidence?"* The question is: *"Why has no one looked?"*

The People: Genetic Continuity from Morocco to the Fezzan

A migration leaves two kinds of evidence: the trail of objects and symbols, and the trail written in DNA. The genetic record from Morocco to the Nile forms a chain of ancient genomes that links the western Sahara to Egypt across fifteen thousand years.

In 2018, a study was published that changed everything. Ancient DNA from seven of the nine individuals sampled at Grotte des Pigeons, Taforalt, Morocco, with nuclear genomes analysed for five—dated to approximately 15,000 years ago—represented one of the oldest well-preserved nuclear genome datasets recovered from North Africa (van de Loosdrecht et al. 2018). The Taforalt individuals carried a dual heritage: approximately two-thirds of their ancestry related to Near Eastern populations, approximately one-third related to sub-Saharan Africans. Their mitochondrial lineages included U6a and M1b, haplogroups indicating deep roots in North Africa that remain present in modern populations.

The Iberomaurusian population was not confined to Morocco. Afalou bou Rhummel in Algeria, excavated by Arambourg (Arambourg et al. 1934), is an ossuary in which about 50 individuals can be observed, dated to 15,000–11,000 BP. Mitochondrial DNA from seven of them is not significantly different from that of Taforalt, and in a comparison with 61 present-day Mediterranean populations both sites lie close to the Berbers of northern Morocco and Jerba and to some south-western European populations (Kefi et al. 2018). Those results come from short mitochondrial fragments, and the lineages Kefi reports for Taforalt (mostly Eurasian H, U, JT and V, with two U6) are not those later found in the complete Taforalt mitochondrial genomes (U6a and M1b; van de Loosdrecht et al. 2018), so the maternal picture is unsettled; in dental traits, too, the Afalou sample is "divergent from all samples", Taforalt included (Irish 2000). A later genome-wide study found that the one Afalou individual with usable data fits entirely “Maghrebi” ancestry, as Taforalt does (Lipson et al. 2025). Together these suggest that the Taforalt-type lineage extended across the Maghreb into Algeria, roughly 700 km east of Taforalt, though the samples are small. The people who would become Saharan pastoralists were already in place, waiting for the rains to return.

In March 2025, a second study confirmed the chain. Genome-wide data from two female individuals at the Takarkori rock shelter in the Tadrart Acacus mountains of southwestern Libya—dated to approximately 7,000 years ago—showed that they were "closely related to ancestry first documented in 15,000-year-old foragers from Taforalt Cave, Morocco" (Salem et al. 2025). The Takarkori individuals carried a previously unknown North African genetic lineage that had diverged from sub-Saharan African lineages in the deep past and remained isolated throughout most of its existence.

And here is the most important finding: pastoralism spread through cultural diffusion into this population, not through population replacement. The people who adopted cattle herding were the same people who had occupied the Sahara for millennia. Their symbols—the rock art, the cattle cults, the eye motifs, the falcon imagery—were their own. Not imports. Not borrowings. Theirs.

The chain from the Atlantic to the Fezzan is now genetically documented: Taforalt (Morocco, 15,000 BP) → Afalou (Algeria, 15,000–11,000 BP) → Eastern Maghreb (Tunisia/Algeria, 15,000–6,000 BP) → Takarkori (Libya Fezzan, 7,000 BP). The Capsian culture is the archaeological face of this population during the early Holocene. At Kef Zoura D in eastern Algeria its dated deposits run from at least 10,400 to about 6,800 cal BP (c. 8450–4850 BCE). The earlier levels hold larger fauna "indicative of wetter conditions"; by 8,400 cal BP conditions were becoming increasingly arid, and the change from Typical to Upper Capsian is "centred around the 8,200 calBP event", with "very clear evidence… for continuity between the Typical and Upper Capsian, as appears to be the case throughout the Maghreb" (Jackes and Lubell 2008: 44, 52–53). Its lithic assemblages have been characterised by

Sheppard (1987). Although no ancient DNA has been published from Capsian sites, dental morphological analysis by Irish (2000) bridges this gap: Taforalt Iberomaurusians "are similar to many post-Pleistocene North Africans, including such Maghreb groups as Capsians and Shawia Berbers", although the Afalou sample is divergent from all samples.

The Capsian culture is not a mystery population. It is the same lineage, adapting to early Holocene conditions. In the eastern Maghreb the same forager ancestry persisted from the Iberomaurusian into the Neolithic, with only small contributions from European farmers and Levantine groups (Lipson et al. 2025). In Morocco the picture is different: European farmers supplied most of the ancestry at some Neolithic sites (Simões et al. 2023). The Taforalt-related lineage itself survived into the Green Sahara, at Takarkori (Salem et al. 2025), at the doorstep of the Fezzan corridor when the Sahara began to dry.

Modern Amazigh populations still carry this autochthonous ancestry. Henn et al. (2012) identify a "Maghrebi" component that increases from east to west across northern Africa and is "more frequent in populations with historical Berber ethnicity", reaching nearly 100% in Tunisian Berbers; Vilà-Valls et al. (2024) describe the Imazighen as "likely to be the descendants of the autochthonous inhabitants of North Africa". In the maternal line, the autochthonous lineages U6 and M1, the lineages of the Taforalt individuals, survive as "a minor portion of North African modern mitogenomes" beside larger Eurasian contributions (Colombo et al. 2025).

The Bones Themselves: Independent Biological Evidence

Before addressing the critical gap in the genetic record, it is worth noting what the bones themselves tell us — even without ancient DNA. Dental morphology provides an independent biological witness. A study of dental traits in 996 individuals from 15 Egyptian samples found the Neolithic Western Desert sample from Gebel Ramlah (c. 4650–4400 BCE) "significantly different from all others"; yet "despite the difference, Gebel Ramlah is closest to predynastic and early dynastic samples from Abydos, Hierakonpolis, and Badari", and Irish concludes that "a Western Desert origin remains a viable hypothesis" (Irish 2006). A Western Desert population on Egypt's doorstep, in the period this hypothesis identifies as the migration window, sits closest to the earliest Upper Egyptians, Hierakonpolis among them.

The craniofacial evidence is even more direct. A major 2016 study published in Scientific Reports analyzed 69 crania and 97 mandibles from Lower Nubia spanning 11,000 years — from the Mesolithic through the A-Group, C-Group, Pharaonic, and Meroitic periods. The results revealed a "strong morphometric distinction between Mesolithic hunter-gatherers and farmers" — the A-Group. The authors explicitly state that their results "could support the 'population influx' hypothesis between the Mesolithic Nubians and the beginning of the A-group" — exactly the pattern this hypothesis predicts if Saharan pastoralists migrated east and contributed to the A-Group population.

After the A-Group appearance, the pattern shifts completely. A-Group and C-Group show relatively smaller morphological distances. C-Group appears to be direct descendants from A-Group. There is no evidence of major population replacement after A-Group. The authors conclude: "Our results give strong support to... the 'population continuity' hypothesis among post-Mesolithic groups." The A-Group were not a brief, isolated phenomenon — they were the founding population for all subsequent Nubian groups. Crucially, the paper notes a temporal gap of up to 4,700 years between the Mesolithic and A-Group in the archaeological record, with "a lack of well-preserved skeletal remains." The authors cannot determine whether A-Group are descendants of Mesolithic people, related to Upper Nubian

populations, or new people from outside Lower Nubia. They explicitly call for "further studies... with ancient DNA" to resolve this question.

The Galland study is thus consistent with something biologically significant happening at the Mesolithic to A-Group transition, and with a population influx from outside Lower Nubia, though the authors "cannot totally reject the presence of a relative biological continuity since the Mesolithic" (Galland et al. 2016: 6). A cranial non-metric study of eighteen Nubian and Egyptian samples reads the transition the other way: its Mesolithic sample "closely clusters with the A- and C-Group samples", "implying a lack of population replacement after the Mesolithic" (Godde 2018). The question is open, and the A-Group skeletons that could resolve it exist in museum collections — including the Panum Institute in Copenhagen — and await genetic testing. Cranial non-metric traits indicate continuity between A-Group and C-Group (Prowse and Lovell 1995), while dental traits show the two groups significantly different (Irish 2005). At Naqada, cranial and dental traits both separate the high-status cemetery from the non-elite ones, and the elite group is "more closely related to populations in northern Nubia than to neighbouring populations in southern Egypt" (Johnson and Lovell 1994; Prowse and Lovell 1996). Dental analysis places the A-Group close to the Kerma-culture samples of Upper Nubia and to the Western Desert Neolithic sample from Gebel Ramlah (Irish 2005), and in the cranial study the A-Group, C-Group and Mesolithic Nubians cluster with Predynastic Badari and Naqada (Godde 2018).

The A-Group are not a biological unknown. Cranial and dental studies place them consistently within the Saharan-Nubian biological cluster.

The Language They Spoke

Both Amazigh and ancient Egyptian belong to the Afroasiatic language family. Linguists have identified shared grammatical patterns across Afroasiatic branches that cannot be explained by chance or borrowing: prefix conjugation for verbs, suffix conjugation, causative prefix s-, middle voice prefix t-, passive/reflexive prefix m-, a common set of pronouns, pharyngeal fricatives, and VSO word order (Weninger et al. 2011). These structural correspondences point to a common ancestral language spoken by the same populations whose genetic continuity we now trace from Taforalt to Takarkori to modern Amazigh. Pastoral vocabulary—terms for cattle, herding, milk—is widely distributed across Afroasiatic branches, consistent with a Saharan homeland during the Green Sahara period.

Language, like genetics and symbolism, points to continuity.

Chapter 2: The Symbols They Carried (8,000–3,700 BCE)

People carry more than their bodies when they move. They carry their symbols, their rituals, their ways of marking stone and skin.

Saharan rock art—across the central Sahara, Tassili n'Ajjer, Tadrart Acacus, and the Messak Settafet plateau—contains symbolic motifs that predate dynastic Egypt by thousands of years. These traditions include:

Motif	Period	Distribution
Cattle cults	Early Pastoral (6000–4000 BCE)	Widespread across Sahara
Masked figures with ritual significance	Round Head phase (c. 9,800–7,500 BP, high chronology; Soukopova 2012)	Tassili, Acacus
Solar disks and rayed symbols	Pastoral period (6000–3000 BCE)	Central Sahara

Motif	Period	Distribution
Spiral motifs	Undated	Tassili, Hoggar (Caldwell 2013)
Falcon-like birds and bird-human hybrids	6000–4000 BCE	Kem-Kem Hamada, Wadi Draa
Rams wearing discs between horns	5000–3500 BCE	Tassili, central Sahara
Concentric stone monument	5520 ± 30 BP	Messak (Wadi Bedis)
Elephants as dominant megafauna	Bubalus Period (10,000–7000 BCE)	Throughout Sahara

All of this predates dynastic Egypt. Some of it predates Egypt by millennia.

The Round Head phase paintings at Uan Tabu and elsewhere depict enigmatic, stylized human figures in complex ritual scenes—sophisticated cosmology, already developed, long before the first Egyptian temple.

A Note on Symbolic Inference: Parallels do not prove inheritance. Convergent symbolism—similar forms arising independently in response to similar human needs—is common across cultures. The concentric circle, for example, appears in the art of many unrelated societies. This note is necessary because the evidence in this domain is symbolic. It requires interpretation. And interpretation requires humility. But humility is not the same as paralysis. The question is not whether any single parallel could arise independently. The question is whether the clustering of multiple specific motifs—an eye marked with tear and spiral, falcon, ram with disc, spiral snake, elephant, cattle cults, masked figures—along a single migration corridor, at chronologically successive periods, among genetically related populations, is more parsimoniously explained as inheritance or as repeated coincidence. This is inference, not proof. But it is inference grounded in multiple independent lines of evidence that together form a coherent pattern.

What the Excavations Show: The Fezzan Corridor

The Fezzan corridor has been studied intensively. What archaeologists found there confirms everything the DNA predicts.

At Uan Tabu, Garcea documented an occupation sequence spanning the Aterian (OSL/TL-dated to roughly 61,000–70,500 years ago) through Early Acacus (9800–8800 BP), Late Acacus (8800–8600 BP), to Late Pastoral—with Round Head paintings and domestic animals appearing late in the dung fill. This is exactly the population continuity the hypothesis requires.

The Wadi al-Ajal Survey documented 2,500 previously unknown carved rocks and an 8,000-year rock art tradition. GIS analysis shows correlation with pasture and movement corridors. The corridor is full of symbols.

The Desert Migrations Project documented five episodes of lake formation, with Mode 1 through Mode 5 lithics—Acheulean, Middle Stone Age, microlithic—all present. This is deep-time occupation of the Fezzan.

The same people. The same places. The same symbols. Generation after generation, waiting for the moment when the world dried and they had to move.

The rock art tradition extends west into Morocco, linking the Fezzan corridor to the Atlantic coast. In the Jbel Bani Mountains near Zagora, southern Morocco, a 2019 study documented twelve newly discovered rock shelters with paintings spanning multiple phases—from hunter-gatherer depictions of elephants to pastoralist cattle scenes to later warrior imagery. The authors explicitly connect these

finds to the migration corridor: "The shelters and paintings cast upon their walls illustrate a transfer of culture, beliefs, technology, and ideas between people groups of the Meridional and Central Sahara and the Jbel Bani region. These discoveries were all made along a mountain path…and may help trace ancient human migration routes" (Moumane et al. 2019). This provides the missing Moroccan link between the Iberomaurusian population at Taforalt (15,000 BP) and the Central Saharan rock art traditions at Tassili and Acacus. The same progression—elephants (hunter-gatherer) → cattle (pastoralist) → warriors (aridification conflict)—visible across the entire corridor, now has a southern Moroccan anchor.

Concentric Enclosures: Architecture as Symbol

Recent excavations document circular ceremonial architecture predating Egypt.

Site	Location	Features	Date
Adrar Bous	Niger	Tumuli burial ground at Agorass n'Tchissikian ("Valley of Tombs"), Pastoral Neolithic period, cattle-keeping context (Clark, Gifford-Gonzalez & Smith 2008)	7000–5000 BCE
Messak Settafet	Libya	Stone corbeilles bounded by vertically set sandstone slabs, at least one documented example with an outer ring enclosing a smaller inner ring around a central standing stone (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013)	c. 5200–3800 BCE (di Lernia et al. 2013)

The Messak platforms — corbeilles bounded by vertically set sandstone slabs, often with a standing stone at the centre — are documented across a landscape of hundreds of stone structures; at least one well-studied example (Site 07/39-C3) shows an outer ring enclosing a smaller inner ring around a central standing stone (di Lernia et al. 2013), a pattern this series reads as an interpretive echo of the later Eye of Horus. At Adrar Bous, a separate and better-documented feature is the tumuli field at Agorass n'Tchissikian, the "Valley of Tombs" — Pastoral Neolithic burial mounds associated with cattle-keeping communities, not a ceremonial ring enclosure (Clark, Gifford-Gonzalez & Smith 2008).

The Messak platforms demonstrate that concentric ceremonial architecture was an established ritual practice in the Fezzan corridor by c. 5200 BCE, with the radiocarbon-dated ritual spanning c. 5200–3800 BCE (di Lernia et al. 2013)—predating the First Dynasty by some two millennia. This is the same corridor through which the genetic and material culture evidence tracks population movement northeast toward the Nile.

Lithic Continuity: Technology Moves with People

Recent analyses confirm that a shared technocomplex extends across the entire Sahara-Nile corridor.

Artifact Type	Western Sahara	Fezzan	Nile Valley
Hollow-based arrowheads	Documented	Documented	Predynastic Egypt
Bifacial "Ounanian" points	Sahara	Fezzan	Tasian (early Predynastic)
Scraper/toolkit traditions	Documented	Documented	Documented

This technological continuity supports the model of population movement carrying manufacturing traditions eastward, rather than independent invention in each region.

Nabta Playa: On Egypt's Doorstep

Further east, in what is now the Egyptian Western Desert, the same symbols appear at Nabta Playa (c. 8300–3400 BCE).

Feature	Description	Significance
Stone circle	4 meters diameter, aligned to summer solstice sunrise	Oldest known astronomical alignment in Africa, predates Stonehenge by 2,000+ years
Megalithic alignments	Five alignments radiating outward, oriented to cardinal directions	Longest extends 2.5 kilometers
Ceremonial complex	Multiple stone circles, tumuli fields, "calendar circle"	Tracks stellar movements
Cattle burials	Stone tumuli over cattle offerings: one complete young cow in a clay-lined, roofed chamber; others with parts of butchered cattle, sheep and goats	Clear evidence of cattle cults
Social complexity	Organized labor, long-distance trade (stone from 100+ km), ritual specialists	Predates dynastic Egypt

The stone circle aligns with the summer solstice sunrise, and several megaliths are oriented north-south. Malville and colleagues described the site's organization as "a symbolic geometry that integrated death, water, and the Sun." Five megalithic alignments radiate outward from central structures, a radial geometry this series compares with the later Eye of Horus.

The excavators themselves suggested that the exodus from this region around 4,800 radiocarbon years BP "may have stimulated social differentiation and cultural complexity in predynastic Upper Egypt"—exactly the scenario this hypothesis proposes.

At Nabta Playa, the geometry of the eye is not carved on rock. It is built into the landscape—stone circles, radiating alignments, cattle offerings buried under stone tumuli. The same people who built this would soon walk east. And when they arrived at the Nile, they would build it again. All of this, on Egypt's doorstep. All of it, before Egypt existed as a unified state.

Archaeobotanical Data from Nabta Playa

The published archaeobotany of Nabta Playa rests on Wasylikowa's analysis of site E-75-6, an Early Neolithic settlement about 8000 years old (sorghum samples dated 8060–7950 bp) that yielded charred plant remains of over 120 taxa, including sorghum grains that were morphologically wild and could have been collected from natural stands or irregularly cultivated (Wasylikowa et al. 1995; Wasylikowa 1997; Wasylikowa and Dahlberg 1999). The archaeobotany of the sites near Nabta Playa is treated at length by Lityńska-Zając (2025) in the Combined Prehistoric Expedition's final monograph on the megaliths.

Source: Lityńska-Zając, M. 2025. "Plants used by Neolithic nomads in the Western Desert in Egypt. The results of archaeobotanical research from sites near Nabta Playa." In R. Schild, A. Czekaj-Zastawny & H. Królik (eds), The Megaliths of Nabta Playa, 241-280. Warsaw: Publishing House of the Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology, Polish Academy of Sciences. ISBN 978-83-68122-15-2.

The wild grass genera documented at Nabta Playa—Panicum, Echinochloa, Sorghum—remain central to the pastoral economy at the eastern edge of the Sahara. The same genera are documented at the western end of the corridor: archaeo-molecular and archaeobotanical analysis of Panicum spikelets from the Early Holocene levels at Takarkori establishes that these grasses were being gathered and processed in the Acacus (Fornaciari et al. 2018). These are the same genera that later appear as domesticated crops in the Fezzan and as weed assemblages in the Egyptian oases, providing direct

archaeobotanical continuity along the corridor. This new data confirms that Nabta Playa remains an active research site with ongoing relevance to the corridor hypothesis.

Gilf Kebir and Wadi Sura

At Gilf Kebir, the "Cave of Swimmers" and the "Cave of Beasts" contain complex ritual scenes, masked figures, and therianthropic and headless-figure imagery.

Site	Date	Features	Significance
Gilf Kebir	c. 6000–4000 BCE	"Cave of Swimmers" with human figures	Ritual scenes on Egypt's doorstep
Wadi Sura	c. 6000–4000 BCE	"Cave of Beasts"—5,000+ figures, headless humans, animal-headed figures	Complex ritual imagery paralleling Saharan Round Head art

All of this, on Egypt's doorstep. All of it, before Egypt existed as a unified state.

Uan Muhuggiag: Intentional Body Treatment

The Uan Muhuggiag mummy (hide radiocarbon dated to 5405 ± 180 BP, calibrated about 4,600–3,800 BCE) from the Tadrart Acacus in Libya is among the oldest known African mummies. The body was eviscerated, treated with a preservative mixture of herbs and resins, and wrapped in animal skins—techniques broadly contemporary with the earliest embalming balms known from Predynastic Egypt, on the Gebelein mummy Turin S. 293, whose linen wrappings are radiocarbon dated to 4741 ± 30 and 4778 ± 32 BP (Jones et al. 2018). This demonstrates sophisticated ritual behavior and knowledge of preservation in the Saharan pastoralist culture. Contemporary rock art at the same site depicts dog-headed figures, resembling later Egyptian iconography of Anubis.

The Caldwell Synthesis

A major peer-reviewed study published in *Rock Art Research* (Caldwell 2013) identifies two sets of Neolithic symbols from the eastern and western ends of the Sahara that exhibit remarkable similarities. The sets of symbols are "so similar, isolated at their loci and unlikely to result from parallel evolution, because of the variety and intimate association of the symbols in the sets, that the similarities may indicate a cultural connection." The study weighs the probability of an eastward contact versus a westward one around 4000–3700 BCE—precisely the period of increasing aridity documented by Kuper and Kröpelin. The article speculates that "some of the elements of Egyptian theology that became the prerogatives of royalty and the rationale for kingship, including the Horus-Osiris cycle, arrived from the west as the result of an exodus caused by climate change."

Caldwell explicitly uses the word "refugees" to describe the population movement—the same model this hypothesis proposes, published in a peer-reviewed journal twelve years before the first Green Sahara genomes were published from Takarkori (Salem et al. 2025). Specific symbolic parallels documented by Caldwell include:

Symbol Type	Saharan Evidence (western Sahara, after Caldwell 2013)	Egyptian Parallel	Geographic Concentration
Falcon imagery	Stone “avian sceptres” representing falcons and owls	Horus as falcon god; royal falcon iconography	Algerian–Moroccan border zone (Hamada du Guir); mostly private collections
Rams with discs	Petroglyphs of rams wearing discs between their horns	Khnum, Amun as ram-headed deities with solar discs	Saharan Atlas, Algeria; a few coifed ovicaprids in

Symbol Type	Saharan Evidence (western Sahara, after Caldwell 2013)	Egyptian Parallel	Geographic Concentration
			the Tassili n'Ajjer
Spiral snake motifs	Grinding platforms with low-relief, inwardly spiralled snakes on their backs	Mehen the coiled serpent; protective cobras	At least three from the Algerian–Moroccan border; two from A-Group Cemetery L, Qustul
Five-pointed stars	Four- and five-pointed stars and rayed suns on western Saharan sculptures	The same star convention in Predynastic iconography from Naqada I; stars on Hathor as celestial cow and around Nut	Western Sahara; Upper Egypt

Caldwell presents the displacement as "reasoned speculation, rather than proven fact", much of his western material is unprovenanced, and his source zone is the Algerian–Moroccan border rather than the Richat. His case converges with this series on the mechanism — climate refugees moving east in the fourth millennium BCE, carrying symbols that later became royal — not on the route.

The Elephant Trail

The elephant appears continuously along the proposed migration corridor, in forms that evolve from naturalistic rock art to royal iconography to living memory. The Egyptian and Nubian half of that record — the animal's presence, capture, and symbolic role from the Predynastic through the Kushite period — is surveyed by Lobban and de Liedekerke (2000).

Location	Context	Date	What It Shows
Tililit (Richat)	Rock art	Holocene	Elephants and javelin-armed hunters (older layer); horsemen and chariot are a later Horse-period addition (c. 1500-700 BCE). Libyco-Berber inscriptions.
Jbel Bani	Rock art	Holocene	Elephants in southern Moroccan shelters, linking Atlantic coast to Central Sahara. Moroccan node.
Fezzan	Bubalus Period rock art	10,000–7000 BCE	Elephants as dominant megafauna. Corridor.
Nabta Playa	Fossil elephant skull	Holocene	Elephants physically present at eastern Sahara. Eastern node.
Wadi Magar	"Elephant-on-mountain" standard	Late Predynastic	Elephant standard, possibly a ruler's name (Yale Egyptology n.d.). Predynastic transformation.
Hierakonpolis	Captive elephant burials	3800–3600 BCE	Two elephants in elite cemetery, curated and buried with ritual. Destination.

The same people who carved elephants at Tililit and Jbel Bani carried that image with them, in art, in bone, in name, and in memory, all the way to the Nile.

The Shared Rock Art Province

The rock art of the Tenere Desert (Niger) and Tassili n'Ajjer (Algeria) forms a continuous symbolic province spanning the central Sahara during the Green Sahara (10,000–3000 BCE).

Region	Key Features	Date	Relationship
Tenere Desert (Niger)	Cattle depictions, human figures with decorated bodies, geometric forms	10,000–3000 BCE	Identical motifs, styles, techniques
Tassili n'Ajjer (Algeria)	Same repertoire	10,000–3000 BCE	Same pastoralist culture
Ennedi Plateau (Chad)	Bichrome cattle paintings, human figures with headdresses	5000–2000 BCE	Direct parallels to Acacus and Messak

This is evidence that as the Sahara dried, pastoralists moved in multiple directions — east toward the Nile, south toward the Sahel, and southeast toward Chad — carrying the same symbolic repertoire. The corridor was not a single track. It was a network of routes radiating from the Saharan heartland, leaving the same cultural signature across Algeria, Niger, Libya, and Chad — a signature that would later appear at Nabta Playa, in A-Group Nubia, and finally in Predynastic Egypt.

The "Culture of the Hunters" Synthesis

In 1980, J. Leclant, P. Huard, and L. Allard-Huard published a lengthy synthesis proposing a single "Culture of the Hunters" whose engravings could be traced "from the Nile to the Atlantic." They documented two different artistic forms descending from this culture: in the Sahara, large, naturalistic incised wild animals; on the Nile, smaller subjects, subschematic and pecked on the entire surface. They proposed that this dichotomy persisted "until the pastoral era."

The authors interpreted this as Nubian influence spreading westward. With the genetic and climatic framework now available, the direction proposed here reverses that reading — the "Culture of the Hunters" would be Saharan pastoralist culture spreading eastward rather than Nubian influence reaching the Atlantic. It should be noted that the "Culture of the Hunters" framework itself has been contested in subsequent scholarship (see Le Quellec's critique of the "archaic hunters" paradigm), independent of the question of directionality addressed here.

Technologies That Travel: Fiber and Twist

Beyond rock art and ritual architecture, categories of material culture that cannot be borrowed casually preserve clear technological signatures of Saharan origin. Two examples illustrate the pattern.

The S-Twist Marker. Spinning direction is documented as a culturally transmitted habit in some contexts, though for flax specifically S-direction twisting may exploit the fibre's natural s-fibrillar orientation, independent of any cultural preference (Gleba and Harris 2019: 2333). The S-twist pattern below is therefore consistent with, but not on its own proof of, population continuity.

Context	Location	Date	Spinning Direction	Significance
Takarkori basketry	Libyan Sahara	c. 10,170–5,600 cal BP (c. 8220–3650 BCE)	S-twist and Z-twist	Saharan fiber technology established
Predynastic Egyptian textiles	Nile Valley	4000–3100 BCE	Z-spun until late Naqada I; S-spun, spliced yarn from the start of Naqada II (Drewsen 2019: 4, 12; Jones 2005)	Distinct from the Z-spinning that continued elsewhere (Jones 2005)
Levantine textiles	Israel, Syria, Jordan	Contemporary	Z-twist dominance	Distinct technological tradition
Nubian cotton textiles	Middle Nile	c. 3000 BCE (seeds about	S-twist influence	Continuation along corridor

Context	Location	Date	Spinning Direction	Significance
		4,500 years old at Afyeh, Egyptian Nubia; Chowdhury and Buth 1970, 1971; date uncertain, Viot 2019)		

If Egyptian weaving technology had been borrowed from the Near East, we would expect Z-twist dominance. Instead, Egyptian spinning changed from Z to S at the start of Naqada II (Drewsen 2019: 12), setting it apart from the Z-spinning that continued elsewhere (Jones 2005); whether that change matches Saharan fibre traditions remains to be tested. If it does, a specific manufacturing choice — invisible to the eye, detectable only under magnification — travels the same corridor as the people.

Cotton. Gossypium herbaceum is an African species — not the Indian Gossypium arboreum that arrived via Indian Ocean trade routes. Its presence in Nubia represents an independent African domestication event (Palmer et al. 2012; Renny-Byfield et al. 2016; Fuller et al. 2024), likely originating in Nubia or Ethiopia. The complete Wagad genome of G. herbaceum, published in 2023, establishes the reference sequence for that African domesticate and makes finer-scale comparison with archaeological material possible (Ramaraj et al. 2023).

Location	What Was Found	When	What It Means
Nubia (Qasr Ibrim)	Cotton seeds — Gossypium herbaceum (African species, not Indian)	Seeds about 1,600 years old	Independent African domestication of G. herbaceum (Palmer et al. 2012; Renny-Byfield et al. 2016; Fuller et al. 2024). First molecular identification of archaeobotanical cotton to species level, with a transposable-element profile that differs from modern G. herbaceum. Qasr Ibrim deposits also preserve ancient DNA from insect fauna (houseflies; Simpson et al. 2020).
Nubia/Ethiopia (genomic origin)	Complete genome of Gossypium herbaceum (African domesticant) now available in public genomic databases	Domesticated ~2,600 BCE region	Enables finer-scale ancient DNA comparison between corridor sites and modern populations.

The ancient Qasr Ibrim cotton, about 1,600 years old and identified as the African species Gossypium herbaceum, differs dramatically in its transposable-element composition from modern G. herbaceum, which the authors read as evidence of punctuated genome evolution under domestication (Palmer et al. 2012). Together with the divergence of the two Old World cottons (Renny-Byfield et al. 2016) and the archaeobotanical review of Fuller et al. (2024), this indicates an indigenous African domestication of G. herbaceum, separate from the domestication of G. arboreum in South Asia; the suggestion that the Qasr Ibrim genome reflects adaptation to environmental stress is a possibility, not a finding. The S-twist spinning preference and the cotton's genomic divergence from modern stock are two separate observations that fit, but do not prove, the same picture: local, in-place development rather than passive import.

The Living Thread: Amazigh Tattoos

Protective marking of the face and body is documented among Berber communities into the twentieth century, and the women who carry those marks are still living. Riviere and Faublee, recording the Aures in 1935–1937, found that the Uled Abderrahman named two classes of tattoo: the lusam, which is decorative, and the ahajam, which is protective and curative. The ahajam is defined by its purpose rather than by any single shape.

Pattern	Placement	Meaning	Ancient Parallel
Circular ahajam	Drawn around the affliction	Encloses a swelling to make it disappear; kept distinct by the practitioners from the decorative "sun"	Messak ring-within-ring monument (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013)
Cross or vertical line (ahajam)	Forehead, wrists, joints	Against headache, fever, eye pain, sterility and weakness	—
Heitelfut, "the eye of the day"	Face	A cross, likened by the tattooists to a fly or to the radiating sun	—
Izan, "flies"	Cheeks, in pairs	Decorative (lusam)	—

These tattoos serve an apotropaic function — protection against harm — exactly matching the Wedjat's protective role in Egyptian tradition. Ethnographers have documented that these tattoo traditions are ancient, passed from mother to daughter for generations. The meanings associated with them — protection, vigilance, connection to ancestors — mirror the symbolic language of Predynastic and Old Kingdom Egypt. The geographic distribution of specific tattoo patterns broadly overlaps with regions where the E-M81 Y-chromosome lineage, the dominant Amazigh paternal marker, is also common; the tattoo tradition itself, however, is culturally transmitted (mother to daughter, as ethnographers document) rather than genetically inherited, so this is a shared geographic and cultural continuity, not a genetic one.

They did not write it down. They marked it on their skin. Generation after generation, mother to daughter, the memory traveling not in words but in flesh.

The Gathering

Multiple threads. Elephant and cattle. Stone and fiber. Cotton and ink.

The elephant runs from Tililit to the Fezzan to Nabta Playa to Wadi Magar to Hierakonpolis — in art, in bone, and in name. The shared rock art province spans Algeria, Niger, Libya, and Chad — the same cattle, the same masked figures, the same geometric forms, radiating outward from a Saharan heartland along every route the pastoralists took. The Culture of the Hunters, once read as Nubian influence spreading west, reverses under the weight of genetics and climate — Saharan pastoralist style, preserved from the Atlantic to the Nile.The S-twist preference travels with the fiber tradition, consistent with — though not proof on its own of — the people who spun it. Cotton, an African species adapted to local stress across millennia, follows the same west-to-east path as the people who cultivated it. And the protective tattoo survives on living skin: in the Aures the Uled Abderrahman distinguished the curative ahajam from the decorative lusam, and drew circular ahajam to enclose an affliction and make it disappear (Riviere and Faublee 1989).

They tell the same story: the people who walked east carried their world with them. In their art. In their fibers. In their crops. On their skin. In their memory. They did not borrow these things from the Nile. They brought them to the Nile.

The threads are still there. You just have to choose to see them.

Chapter 3: The Drying and the Walk East (5,300–3,700 BCE)

After 5300 BCE, the rains failed.

Not all at once. Slowly. A generation might not notice. But over centuries, the grasslands shrank. The lakes receded. The rivers slowed and then stopped. People abandoned the most marginal areas first. Then the next. Then the next. By 3500 BCE, Southwest Egypt received only passing visits, while occupation persisted in Northern Sudan (Kuper & Kröpelin 2006: 803–804). The people who had lived there for millennia were gone from the marginal zones.

They went where the water was. But the route was not a river valley: the western Saharan drainage network flowed toward the Atlantic, not toward the Nile, and its inland reaches are in any case a reconstruction rather than an established watercourse. As established in Paper I, migrating populations moved along a highland corridor of elevated massifs and plateaus—Tassili n'Ajjer, Tadrart Acacus, Messak Settafet, Gilf Kebir. There were two reasons for this choice.

First, water stability. As the African Humid Period terminated, lowland basins destabilized first, becoming seasonal or hyperarid; highland massifs retained groundwater-fed springs, seepages and fog drip longer, offering more reliable water and pasture for cattle. This contrast is inferred from the documented water sources at each corridor node listed above rather than from a single published study of Saharan highland hydrology; Drake et al. (2011) map the lowland palaeoriver and megalake network across which fauna and people dispersed during the humid phase, but do not address highland refugia during its termination.

Second, celestial visibility — an interpretive thread rather than a demonstrated cause, and flagged as such. Canopus declines in altitude with increasing latitude, and at 5500 BCE it fell below the horizon above roughly 27.7°N (see Chapter 7). Every corridor waypoint from Richat (21.1°N) through Tassili n'Ajjer (25.5°N), Takarkori (about 24.6°N; the Acacus lies between 24° and 26°N, Van Neer et al. 2020), the Messak (23.4–26.5°N; Foley and Lahr 2015: 4), Gilf Kebir (about 23.5°N; the plateau spans 22°45′–24°45′N, Berger and Berger 1999), Nabta Playa (22.5°N) and Hierakonpolis (25.1°N) lies south of that limit. Canopus would therefore have remained visible — low on the southern horizon — along the entire corridor, while being below the horizon at the latitude of Giza at that date (it first cleared Giza's horizon about 4630 BCE). At 5500 BCE the Fezzan waypoints stand at only 2–3° altitude, and the star is faint there even in clean air. High ground with unobstructed southern horizons favours observation of a star this low in the sky. The astronomical analysis in Chapter 7 finds that the Wedjat's brow element (1/8, Thought) resolves toward Canopus at these latitudes; on that reading, the route and the symbol preserve the same southern sightline. That correspondence is interpretive, not proof of motive — the corridor's latitude may simply be a consequence of where the highlands lie. It is recorded here because the same Wedjat–Canopus relationship recurs independently across the wider series, and a recurring pattern is itself worth documenting.

The Corridor Segments

The journey had seven segments, each with documented water sources and archaeological evidence.

Segment	Key Sites	Water Source	What's Found There	Why It Matters
1. Western Sahara (Source)	Richat Structure, Tililit	Springs, flood-fed wadis and	Acheulean & Neolithic tools; funerary monuments	The original homeland; the geometry of the eye in the

Segment	Key Sites	Water Source	What's Found There	Why It Matters
		temporary pools (c. 15,000–7,730 BP, radiocarbon years); regional Adrar lake water to c. 6,800 cal BP (Chamard et al. 1970; Chamard 1973)	(bazinas and platforms); elephant rock art; natural concentric rings	landscape itself
2. Central Sahara (Waypoint)	Tassili n'Ajjer	Plateau springs and wadis	more than 15,000 drawings and engravings; Round Head → Pastoral transition; cattle herding scenes	First major eastward waypoint; cattle cult continuity
3. Fezzan Corridor (Genetic Anchor)	Takarkori, Tadrart Acacus	Rock shelter near ancient lake; groundwater	Stratified deposits; burials; **7,000 BP genome (Salem et al. 2025)**; dairy residues	Proves same population lived here and later appeared at Nile
4. Fezzan Corridor (Ceremonial Node)	Messak Settafet	Plateau wadis; fog drip	Pleistocene (Acheulean and Middle Stone Age) stone tools up to 75 lithics/m² (Foley & Lahr 2015); **Hundreds of stone corbeilles; at least one documented example with a central standing stone within a smaller inner ring (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013)**	Direct material link between Richat's natural rings and Wedjat's symbolic geometry
5. Eastern Sahara (Last Refugium)	Gilf Kebir, Wadi Sura	Fossil wadis; aquifer seepage	"Cave of Beasts" (about 8,000 figures; Kuper 2013); "Cave of Swimmers"; complex ritual scenes	Last major stop before the Nile; ritual continuity
6. Egyptian Sahara (Astronomical Hub)	Nabta Playa	Seasonal playa lake; groundwater	Megalithic stone circle (solstice alignment); cattle tumuli; stellar alignments	Astronomical knowledge encoded in stone before Egypt
7. Nile Valley (Destination)	Hierakonpolis / HK6	Nile River; canal access	Elite cemetery HK6; western isotopic signatures; dung fuel shift (3700 BCE); exotic animal burials	Shemsu Hor seat; integration of Saharan pastoral traditions

Notice the pattern: each highland stop offered an unobstructed view of the southern sky. At any one date Canopus stands about 1° lower for each degree of latitude gained, but precession lifts it by about 0.23° each century, so a journey made over centuries partly offsets the loss. Canopus would have been visible from Richat at approximately 6.6° altitude at 5500 BCE and 10.4° at 4000 BCE. Placed at the dates of the evidence along the route, it culminated at 4.3° at Takarkori (5050 BCE, the date of the genome), about 7° at Gilf Kebir (4400 BCE) and Hierakonpolis (about 3700 BCE), and at approximately 8.4° at Hierakonpolis around 3100 BCE; at Memphis at unification (3100 BCE), where the move north outruns precession, it stood at only 3.6°. These are geometric altitudes at upper

culmination, computed with the long-term precession model of Vondrák, Capitaine & Wallace (2011); the same computation reproduces the published figure for Nabta Playa (22.5°N), where Canopus reached roughly 8° in 4500 BCE (Malville 2015). Near the horizon, atmospheric extinction dims and reddens the star without materially changing its altitude, and it matters: at Memphis in 3100 BCE the star would shine at about magnitude 2.6 in clean air, 3.9 in moderately dusty air and 5.9 in heavy dust (a smaller magnitude is a brighter star; the Pole Star is 2.0). The highland route preserved the star's visibility along the journey in clean to moderately dusty air, allowing it to function as a southern marker and — on the reading developed in Chapter 7 — as the referent for the loss encoded in the Wedjat's missing 1/64 fraction.

Now, let us walk the path.

Origin: Richat Structure — Where They Left

The journey begins in Mauritania, at a geological formation that looks like an eye from above. The Richat Structure is a deeply eroded dome at least 40 km in diameter, in which erosion has formed three nested cuestas of resistant quartzite rising from the surrounding plain. A prominent wadi—Wadi Akerdil—runs west to east for about 40 km along the outermost ring valley to the salt flat of Sebkha Akerdil (Sao et al. 2008: 5), creating a feature that resembles a teardrop extending from an eye.

During the Green Sahara, this was not a desert landmark. Giresse et al. (2012) dated torrential gravel and sand layers in the outer-ring valleys, using 11 AMS radiocarbon dates on snail shells from temporary brackish pools and on early calcite cements, at 15,000 to 7,730 BP in radiocarbon years (about 18,250 to 8,510 cal BP). Springs on the north-west of the outer ring fed Wadi Akerdil to the east and Wadi Bamouéré to the south, and water flowed down their valleys; the inner rings saw only weak, sporadic runoff even in the wettest episodes (Sao et al. 2008). The high-relief centre — a silicified breccia body approximately 3 km in diameter and at least 40 m thick (Matton, Jébrak & Lee 2005) — would have stood above a ring of spring-fed valleys and temporary pools (Sao et al. 2008: 5, 8; Giresse et al. 2012: 18–20)—a "mound rising from water."

The trail begins at Richat. The full archaeological and geological case for Richat as the origin landscape — the water, the human presence, the symbolic geometry — is established in Chapter 1. Here, it is simply where they stood before they left.

The Richat contains a rare, co-located assemblage of three stone types: iron-stained rhyolite (high in iron, titanium, zirconium), black gabbro and basalt (enriched in magnesium, chromium, nickel), and red-weathering carbonatite and limestone (high in calcium, strontium, light rare earth elements) (Matton and Jébrak 2014: 113; Taylor and Giles 2015: 27). This tri-lithic suite bears directly on the hard-stone sourcing problem in Egypt, where the quarry sites for the diorite varieties are still unknown (Aston 1994); the Predynastic basalt vessels, by contrast, have been traced to the Haddadin flow near Cairo (Mallory 2000).

From this source region, domesticated crops began moving east. Pelling's (2007) doctoral thesis on Garamantian and Fezzanese agriculture documents the eastward movement of domesticated African summer crops within and beyond the Fezzan. The pattern is suggestive: these crops appear first in the western Sahara, then in the Fezzan, then in Nubia—consistent with the same path as the hypothesized human migration, though the thesis itself is focused on the Fezzan rather than the full corridor.

Tassili n'Ajjer — The First Waypoint

From Richat, the highland corridor leads northeast into Algeria, to the sandstone plateau of Tassili n'Ajjer. This is the first major waypoint, where the Round Head phase of rock art (c. 10,000–7,500 BP) gives way to the Pastoral or Bovidian phase (c. 7,000–4,000 BP). Over 15,000 paintings and engravings document the transition from hunting to herding, with abundant cattle depictions, daily life scenes, and masked ritual figures.

The water sources here—plateau springs and wadis—provided reliable sustenance. The rock art itself testifies to a cattle cult that will echo across the corridor for millennia. Cattle and the cattle cult will reappear at every stop along the way.

Takarkori — The Genetic Anchor

From Tassili, the corridor moves into the Tadrart Acacus mountains of southwestern Libya, to the rock shelter of Takarkori. This is the genetic anchor of the entire hypothesis.

Salem et al. (2025) published the first ancient nuclear genome data from the Green Sahara—two naturally mummified women from Takarkori, dated to approximately 7,000 BP. Their ancestry stems from a deeply isolated North African lineage, genetically continuous with 15,000-year-old foragers from Taforalt Cave, Morocco. This is the same population that will later appear in the Nile Valley.

Here too is the earliest direct evidence of dairying in Africa. Dunne et al. (2012) analyzed 81 potsherds from Takarkori and found that 29 samples contained degraded animal fats, with 18 identified as pure animal fat. A Middle Pastoral potsherd contained 17.2 mg g^{-1} of lipids—among the highest concentrations ever recorded in archaeological pottery. In the Tadrart Acacus region, 50% of Middle Pastoral period pottery residues plot within dairy fat ranges, with another 33% as ruminant adipose fats. Dairying was not a marginal activity; it was the dominant use of pottery in this pastoral economy.

Dung layers at Takarkori (Biagetti & di Lernia 2013) confirm that pastoralists used dung not only as fuel but also for smoking and perhaps as construction material—a behavioral signature that will appear later at Nabta Playa and Hierakonpolis.

The Fezzan corridor was not merely occupied; it was actively traversed. A systematic GIS analysis of over 2,000 engraved rock art panels in the Wadi al-Ajal found a direct correspondence between the distribution of rock art sites and patterns of human settlement and movement over millennia. The study found that "spatial analysis of these data indicates a correspondence between the frequency of rock art sites and human settlement over time. However, while changes in settlement location were guided primarily by the constraints on accessibility imposed by surface water, the distribution of rock art relates to the availability of pasture and patterns of movement through the landscape" (Barnett & Guagnin 2014). The study concludes: "Natural routes that connected the Wadi al-Ajal and areas to the south continued to be a focus for carvings over several thousand years." This is direct, peer-reviewed confirmation that the Fezzan was not merely occupied but was actively traversed by pastoralists moving between pasture zones—exactly the corridor dynamic this hypothesis proposes.

Messak Settafet — The Ceremonial Node

Just east of Takarkori, the Messak Settafet plateau is littered with Pleistocene stone tools “on an unprecedented scale”, up to 75 lithics per square metre (Foley & Lahr 2015). The landscape outlived its makers: the old quarry pits held small pools that drew game long afterwards, and many of them contain trapping stones used to tie game or cattle during the Holocene (Foley & Lahr 2015: 10).

But the most striking feature is the corbeilles carved into and set upon the bedrock — stone platforms bounded by vertically set sandstone slabs, documented across a landscape of hundreds of such structures, with at least one well-studied example showing an outer ring enclosing a smaller inner ring around a central standing stone (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013). The Messak platforms document concentric ceremonial architecture as an established ritual practice in the Fezzan corridor. The same people engraved the plateau: the builders of the corbeilles were the authors of the Messak-style engravings (Gauthier & Gauthier 2008), and two features of that engraving tradition bear on the symbol this series follows. The first is the ovaloid, an engraved oval, usually set on a vertical axis and often with a small cupule partitioned inside it; 337 were recorded on the Messak in the mid-1990s, a count its recorders note has grown since, frequently in pairs and often with animals that appear to enter or leave them, and their recorders regard their meaning as unresolved (Gauthier & Gauthier 1999, 2008). Le Quellec (1993) read the related circular “Radnetze” engraved on the Messak as abstractions of radial game traps and proposed that the ovaloids were drawn into the same symbolism, connoting both hunting and animal fertility; the Gauthiers answer that each functional reading proposed for the ovaloids — trap, water point, enclosure — meets counter-examples, among them at least ten ovaloids that contain human figures (Gauthier & Gauthier 1999). The second is a stylistic convention that, by the same authors' account, distinguishes Messak engraving from its neighbours: animals' eyes drawn with “tears” (Gauthier & Gauthier 1999). The excavated corbeilles yielded livestock bone — mainly cattle, associated with the Saharan "cattle cult" — systematically radiocarbon dated across five monuments to between 6080 ± 80 BP and 5150 ± 110 BP; the excavators bracket the practice of decorating panels for cattle burials to 6100–5100 BP (c. 5200–3800 BCE once calibrated; di Lernia et al. 2013), with the Pastoral style itself directly dated to 5430–5150 BP and probably beginning earlier (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010). This places established concentric ceremonial architecture in the corridor from the late sixth millennium BCE onward — ending as the Naqada Predynastic period began in Egypt.

The Wadi al-Ajal GIS study (Barnett & Guagnin 2014) covers this region as well, confirming that natural routes through the Messak continued to be foci for carvings and movement over thousands of years.

Gilf Kebir — The Last Refugium

From the Fezzan, the corridor continues northeast into Egypt, to the isolated sandstone massif of Gilf Kebir. Here, at Wadi Sura, the "Cave of Swimmers" and the "Cave of Beasts", the latter alone with about 8,000 figures (Kuper 2013), contain complex ritual scenes, headless and animal-headed humans, and cattle.

This is the last major refugium before the Nile. The water sources—fossil wadis and sandstone aquifer seepage—would have sustained pastoralist groups making the final push eastward. The symbolic continuity from Saharan rock art to Predynastic Egyptian iconography is nowhere more evident than here, where the same ritual grammar appears on Egypt's doorstep before Egypt itself exists.

Nabta Playa — The Astronomical Hub

From Gilf Kebir, the corridor moves north and east to Nabta Playa in the Egyptian Western Desert. Here, in the Late and Terminal Neolithic (about 7,500–5,400 BP, roughly 5,550–3,450 BCE; Malville 2015), nomadic pastoralists built a ceremonial centre that includes a megalithic stone circle aligned to the summer solstice sunrise—the oldest known astronomical alignment in Africa, predating Stonehenge by well over a thousand years. Five megalithic alignments radiate outward from central

structures, oriented to cardinal directions, with the longest extending 2.5 kilometers (Malville et al. 1998).

Cattle tumuli—one holding a complete young cow in a clay-lined, roofed chamber, the others parts of butchered cattle, sheep and goats (Malville et al. 2007)—provide clear evidence of a cattle cult. The excavators themselves suggested that an exodus from the Nubian Desert at approximately 4,800 radiocarbon years BP "may have stimulated social differentiation and cultural complexity in predynastic Upper Egypt" (Malville et al. 1998). The ceremonial complex itself spans roughly 4650–3450 BCE, with final abandonment as intensifying aridity drove the pastoral population toward the Nile.

Dung fuel use at Nabta Playa (Wendorf & Schild 2001) demonstrates that pastoralists adapted to the increasingly arid environment by exploiting animal waste as a combustible material—the same behavioral signature documented at Takarkori and soon to appear at Hierakonpolis.

Mitochondrial haplogroup T1 is near-fixed across African cattle and rare in Southwest Asian herds, a distribution argued to indicate independent or secondary African domestication; the T1 mitochondrial genomes themselves support a Near Eastern origin for the T1 sub-haplogroups, with a possible North African origin for one of them (T1d) (Bonfiglio et al. 2012). This rests on modern cattle surveys; ancient cattle genomes from Nabta Playa and A-Group burials have not been published. A corridor-wide study assembling ancient cattle genomes from western Sahara, Fezzan, Nubia, and Egypt remains a testable prediction.

Before reaching Hierakonpolis, the corridor passes through Nubia—the bridge between the Sahara and Egypt. The full evidence for this stage—dairying at Kadruka, A-Group emergence, cattle burials at Qustul, and the Kerma elaboration—is in Chapter 5.

Cunliffe's 2023 Oxford synthesis describes cattle spreading into the region, with early cattle remains reported at Nabta Playa and Bir Kiseiba from shortly after 5700 BC, at El-Barga near Kerma around the same time, and in the Faiyum by roughly 5200 BC, with herding spreading through the central Sahara and into the Maghreb over the following two millennia. It should be noted that the identification of the earliest Nabta Playa/Bir Kiseiba Bos remains as domesticated rather than hunted wild aurochs is contested in the specialist literature (Brass 2018), a debate running back at least to Gautier (1987); Cunliffe's synthesis reflects one position in an active debate, not a settled finding. Cunliffe's own account is consistent with the currently dominant model in which cattle domestication occurred once, in the middle Euphrates valley between roughly 8600 and 8200 BC, with subsequent hybridization between incoming domesticated cattle and African wild aurochs as herding spread into Africa. This means African cattle have deep local roots—and so does the symbolism.

Sorghum domestication has been resolved by a landmark multi-proxy study published in 2025. Using genomics, archaeobotany, ethnolinguistics, and spatial Bayesian modeling, researchers located the origin of sorghum domestication in eastern Sudan and western Ethiopia and dated the start of the expansion of sorghum agriculture to about 4,600 years ago (2600 BCE) (Gilabert et al. 2025); domesticated traits are already visible in fourth-millennium BCE pottery impressions from eastern Sudan (Winchell et al. 2017). Critically, the study found that "human social groups and sorghum populations co-diffused"—meaning people moved with the crop, and ethnolinguistic barriers shaped sorghum diversity. This places sorghum's origin directly on the Nubian bridge, exactly when the hypothesized migrants were moving through the corridor. The genetic structure of sorghum follows ethnolinguistic groups. The people who carried sorghum east and west were not just traders—they were populations moving with their crops.

The final corridor stop — Hierakonpolis (ancient Nekhen) — is where the trail arrives at the Nile. Around 3700 BCE, the archaeological record changes abruptly: dung-fuel fires, exotic animal burials, and new grave types appear simultaneously. The full analysis of Hierakonpolis is in Chapter 7.

The Physical Corridor in the Sediment Record

The migration is not an inference. It left physical evidence at every step. The dust itself carries the story.

The Nile fan sediments off the Egyptian coast preserve a record of where the river's silt came from. Strontium and neodymium isotopes act as fingerprints—Ethiopian basalts have one signature, local desert wadi and aeolian sources another. During two key windows—8,500–6,500 BP and 6,500–3,200 BP—the isotopic signature shifts dramatically. The silt is no longer coming predominantly from Ethiopia. A growing share comes from tributary wadis and aeolian dust within Sudan and Egypt itself, as the Ethiopian monsoon-driven contribution weakens (Revel et al. 2015). ODP Site 658C, drilled off the coast of Mauritania, shows a synchronous dust spike at ~5500 BP—the moment the Sahara dried (deMenocal et al. 2000). A gradual rise in the Ca/Ti ratio in Nile Delta sediments between 5,000 and 4,000 cal BP indicates increased aeolian input from the calcareous source rocks of the dry Egyptian Sahara (Pennington et al. 2019); in the Nile deep-sea fan, dust input peaks between about 4,300 and 3,700 years ago, the culmination of a gradual drying that marks the end of the African Humid Period (Blanchet et al. 2013). The dust itself carries the story. You just have to know how to read it.

Crops, Weeds, and Involuntary Migrants

The crops moved with the people.

Date	Location	Crop	Source
1900–1500 BC (Mauritanian impression evidence; Fuller et al. 2021)	Oued Chebbi, Dhar Oualata, Mauritania	Domesticated pearl millet impressions in pottery	Amblard & Pernès 1989
3460 ± 200 and 2960 ± 370 BP (uncalibrated)	Birimi, Ghana	Domesticated pearl millet	D'Andrea, Klee & Casey 2001
360–110 cal BC	Tinda B, Fezzan	Sorghum (race caudatum or durra, probably caudatum) 360–190 cal BC and pearl millet 200–110 cal BC, both AMS-dated	Pelling 2007
Roman period (AD 0–350)	Qasr Ibrim, Nubia	Pearl millet + sorghum	Rowley-Conwy 2012; Pelling 2007

The pattern is unmistakable: these crops appear first in the western Sahara or Sahel, then in the Fezzan, then in Nubia—following the same path as the hypothesized human migration. Critically, Pelling (2007) notes that "none of these sites has produced evidence for in situ domestication; the implication being that pearl millet arrived from elsewhere in a domesticated form." The crops moved—already domesticated—from the western Sahara and Sahel into the Fezzan, and from there to Nubia. For pearl millet the sequence is now documented from several independent directions: wild pearl millet was used in northern Mali from the fifth millennium BC and predomestication cultivation was probably established in the fourth, within the corridor window (Fuller et al. 2021); domesticated forms appear in the Lower Tilemsi Valley in the mid-third millennium BC (Manning et al. 2011); genetic studies place domestication in the western Sahel and estimate eastward expansion by 2900 BCE (Burgarella et al.

2018); Dhar Tichitt in Mauritania gives 1936–1683 cal BC (D'Andrea and Casey 2002); and the crop reaches Sudan by about 1850 BCE (Beldados et al. 2018; Jiménez-Arteaga et al. 2025). This is not Nile influence moving south. This is Saharan and Sahelian agriculture moving north and east, carried by the same people whose genetic trail we are tracing.

The weeds tell the same story. Weed seeds are involuntary migrants. They travel with grain, in dung, on clothing, in irrigation water. They do not lie. Pelling's correspondence analysis of weed floras across North Africa provides statistical evidence of connection along the corridor. The results are striking:

Figure	Analysis	Finding
7.6	All sites, all taxa	Egyptian Nile sites cluster separately from Maghreb/Fezzan
7.8	Crops + weeds; Nile Valley, Mediterranean, oasis and Fazzan sites (39 sites, 54 taxa)	The Fazzan sites, the Egyptian oases and Medieval Carthage separate together from the remaining sites along axis 2; Jarma and Tinda B plot furthest left
7.8	Egypt, oases, Maghreb/Fezzan	Oases and Fezzan cluster; Nile sites separate
7.10	Weeds only (the oasis sites drop out: weed seeds are rare in the oasis phases)	The Fazzan sites again plot away from the rest; Zinkekra moves close to the other Fazzan sites
7.13	Charred only, all regions	Sahelian sites separate; Fezzan clusters with oases
7.15	Charred weeds only (26 phases, 31 taxa)	Jarma and Tinda plot away from the other Maghreb and Egyptian phases; the Fazzan separation is unaffected by period

Pelling's interpretation, in the passages that can be quoted from the thesis: the association between Zinkekra and the oasis sites is "particularly interesting, although it is unfortunate that weed seeds were rare in the oasis phases" (section 7.5.4); the northern Saharan sites "are more Mediterranean/Egyptian (or Near Eastern) in character than the Sahel sites"; and the earliest Fazzan farming package was "introduced from elsewhere, presumably from the Nile Valley or oasis settlements in the eastern Sahara… possibly by new populations or small groups of individuals moving into the area from more easterly oases" (section 5.7.1). Pelling therefore does link the oases and the Fazzan through movement of plants and people, and he does not choose between explanations. On the corridor hypothesis, movement of plants and people between the regions is the expected one; the clustering itself is the result, and it is a real one.

The Cattle Cult Transformation

And the cattle tell the deepest story of all. Early monumental behavior in the Sahara begins with animal ritual, not human burial. At Nabta Playa, the cattle tumuli, ceremonial stone alignments, and ritual deposits—all focused on cattle—predate any evidence of human monuments (Wendorf & Schild 2001; Malville et al. 1998). In later Nile cultures, monumentality shifts toward human elites and gods.

Stage	Focus	Examples
Saharan Pastoral (6000–4000 BCE)	Cattle	Nabta Playa cattle burials, rock art
A-Group Nubia (3700–2800 BCE)	Elite humans + cattle	Qustul royal tombs with cattle burials
Kerma (2500–1500 BCE)	Divine kingship + cattle	Massive cattle sacrifices around royal tumuli
Dynastic Egypt (2600 BCE onward)	Gods + divine king	Bat (later Hathor), Apis bull, "Strong Bull" epithet

This transformation—from cattle as the focus of ritual to cattle as the symbol of divine kingship—is the longue-durée arc of the corridor. A 2026 volume edited by Elena Garcea, Julia Budka, Salima Ikram, John Galaty, and Shayla Monroe—titled *The Deep History of Cattle Herding and Symbolism*—explicitly traces this tradition, weaving a thread across the deep history of cattle symbolism from the late Pleistocene through the Neolithic to pre-state societies into the present day (Garcea et al. 2026). The people who buried cattle at Nabta Playa were the same populations whose genetic continuity we trace from Taforalt through Takarkori to modern Amazigh. The ritual template they created did not disappear when the Sahara dried. It moved east, transformed, and eventually re-emerged in the divine kingship of Egypt and the cattle-skull crescents of Kerma's royal tombs.

The Branch: Those Who Stayed

Not everyone left. While some groups walked east toward the Nile, others remained in the Fezzan — clinging to the last lakes, the shrinking springs, the diminishing pasture. These were the ancestors of the Garamantes. The archaeological continuity in the Fezzan is strong: the same rock art traditions — cattle and the cattle cult — continue to be carved, and the same cattle cults persist. Genetic continuity between the Takarkori pastoralists (7,000 BP) and the Garamantes remains untested: Badura et al. (2025) attempted ancient DNA extraction from Garamantian skeletal remains and did not recover usable results. This is one of the clearest open tests of the hypothesis — successful Garamantian aDNA would bridge the prehistoric Sahara to the historical period directly.

They did not vanish. They adapted. They dug the first wells. They developed techniques to survive as the rains failed. By 900–500 BCE they were building hillforts at Zinkekra and Tinda — excavated by Daniels in the 1960s (Daniels 1968) and set out in his survey of the Garamantes (Daniels 1970); the plant remains from the site were published by van der Veen (1992). Their tombs evolved from simple antenna forms to elite drum tombs, stepped tombs, and eventually pyramid tombs that paralleled — but did not copy — Egyptian forms. Libyan inscriptions appear, attesting to a written script. They became the people who, millennia later, would build cities, carve foggaras, and trade with Rome.

The foggaras themselves tell the story of the corridor. The Garamantes are generally held not to have invented this technology: qanats were introduced to the Kharga Oasis in Egypt under Achaemenid rule in the 5th century BCE, and the Fezzan foggaras appear later, radiocarbon dated from around 400 BCE onward (Sterry, Mattingly and Wilson), and probably introduced in the last few centuries BCE on the archaeological evidence (Drake et al. 2006). The precise route of transmission remains an active research question rather than a settled one. On any of the proposed routes, the direction is the same, and it is not a contradiction. It is confirmation. The corridor was still open. The people who stayed were in contact with the people who left. And when their cousins in the east developed a better way to farm the desert, the knowledge traveled back along the same route their ancestors had walked — now in the opposite direction. The Garamantes took this technology and built a civilization, digging over 550 foggaras, irrigating thousands of hectares, and supporting a population that would not be equaled in the Fezzan until the 20th century.By the 1st century CE, Roman imports were abundant in Garamantian tombs — oil, wine, glass, pottery. Garamantian goods traveled north: carnelian, amazonite, salt, slaves, and possibly gold. The corridor had become two-way. But the first direction was west to east.

The ones who stayed are the control group. They are the evidence that the migration happened. They are the reason the corridor stayed open — and later became two-way. They stayed in the Fezzan and continued carving the same symbols; whether they also maintained the Takarkori genetic lineage is the untested prediction noted above. Their rock art continued to depict elephants — an animal extinct

in the Sahara for millennia. They were not painting what they saw. They were painting what they remembered. And their Amazigh cousins still carry protective marks on their skin.

The corridor became two-way. But the first direction was west to east.

What the Corridor Now Shows

The corridor evidence tells the same story as the genes and the symbols:

Evidence Type	Western Sahara	Fezzan Corridor	Nubia	Egypt
Physical	Dust source	—	—	Nile sediments show Saharan input 8.5–3.2k BP
Dairying	—	Takarkori (5200–3800 BCE)	Kadruka milk proteins, cattle or sheep (~4050 BCE)	—
Fuel	—	Takarkori dung layers	—	Hierakonpolis dung shift (3700 BCE)
Crops	Dhar Tichitt millet (1900–1700 BC)	Tinda B sorghum (360–190 cal BC)	Qasr Ibrim millet + sorghum	—
Weeds	—	Fezzan cluster (distinct from the Nile and Mediterranean sites)	—	Egyptian oases separate with the Fazzan in the crops-plus-weeds plots (weed seeds rare in the oasis phases)
Cattle ritual	Nabta Playa (5,550–3,450 BCE)	—	A-Group burials (3700–2800 BCE); Kerma bucrania crescents (2500–1500 BCE)	Bat (later Hathor), Apis bull
Cattle DNA	Untested; T1 near-fixed in modern African cattle (Bonfiglio et al. 2012)	—	Untested	—
Rock Art	Tililit elephants	Messak ovaloid engravings, Jbel Bani, Wadi al-Ajal GIS	—	Eye of Horus
Textiles	—	Takarkori S-twist basketry	—	Predynastic S-twist preference
Human Genetics	—	Takarkori genome (7k BP)	Kadruka 1 genome (~2080 BCE) — Middle Nile pastoralist closest to early eastern African Neolithic pastoralists, not yet linked to the Taforalt lineage (Wang et al. 2022)	Nuwayrat (2855–2570 BCE)

The pattern is consistent across every independent line of evidence.
The only missing link is A-Group aDNA.

This is the trail. They walked it.

Chapter 4: The Pathogen Corridor

And the pathogens moved with them. This section presents the author's original contribution to this

document — a unified metagenomic pathogen screen applied to 245 publicly archived ancient shotgun sequencing samples across eight sample groups spanning the full corridor. This question had not been asked of these data before. The methodological framework — Kraken2 k-mer classification followed by mapDamage authentication of positive hits — is established practice in ancient pathogen genomics (Spyrou et al. 2019; Orlando et al. 2021).

Raw FASTQ files were screened using Kraken2 against a targeted 22-pathogen database (DB_CORRIDOR, 48 MB) built from NCBI RefSeq full reference genomes and loaded in-memory for speed. All samples producing positive Kraken2 hits were then subjected to mapDamage 2.0 authentication against full reference genomes, using a threshold of greater than 2% C→T deamination at position 1 on either strand — the effective filter for confirming genuine ancient DNA. Across 245 samples and 82 authentication runs, 58 pathogen-host combinations authenticated and 24 did not: 34 for Brucella and 24 for M. tuberculosis. Of those 58, 48 come from Takarkori, one from Gobero, one from Kadruka 1, and eight from three Neolithic Hungarian individuals deposited in the same BioProject as the Gobero material (see below). Five of the 58 should be set aside on depth grounds. The damage threshold is a frequency at a single base position, and five authenticated Brucella results at Takarkori rest on one to eight classified reads: ERR14230609 reports 100% 5′ C→T on a single read, ERR14230568_1 reports 50% 3′ G→A on a single read, ERR14230607 and ERR14230608 on two reads each, and ERR14230572_2 on eight. A frequency computed over one to a handful of bases passes the threshold arithmetically without constituting evidence of ancient damage, and genuine ancient 3′ G→A rates run between about 10% and 30% rather than at unity. Counting only combinations supported by at least ten classified reads gives 53 authentications: 43 at Takarkori, eight Hungarian, one at Gobero and one at Kadruka 1. Both figures are given throughout; the 53 is the defensible one.

No pathogen screening was reported in the original publications of these genomic data—including the Salem et al. 2025 *Nature* paper on Takarkori ancestry, the Lipson et al. 2025 *Nature* paper on Maghreb continuity, and the van de Loosdrecht et al. 2018 *Science* paper on Taforalt foragers. This question had not been asked of these data before.

Full Kraken2 screening results for all 245 samples—including negatives and all positives—are provided in Supplementary Table 1, included with this document's Zenodo submission (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22771915). Complete mapDamage authentication results for all positive samples are provided in Supplementary Table 2, also included at that DOI. Raw sequence data are publicly available under the following BioProjects: Takarkori (PRJEB84057), Gobero (PRJNA1031139), Kadruka (PRJEB53198), Taforalt (PRJNA422662), Eastern Maghreb (PRJEB83667), Neolithic Morocco (PRJEB59008), and Nuwayrat/Egypt (PRJEB88328).

Source populations: Taforalt and Natufians. Eighteen sequencing datasets from Grotte des Pigeons, Taforalt, Morocco, dated to approximately 15,000 BP and attributed to the Iberomaurusian culture, were screened (from the nine individuals sampled by van de Loosdrecht et al. 2018, seven of whom yielded usable genomic data). Of the 18 datasets, 15 returned zero reads for all 22 target taxa; two returned a single Brucella read and one returned three M. tuberculosis complex reads, out of 11 to 29 million reads each. No Taforalt dataset reached the threshold for damage authentication, and none was carried forward. These single reads define the pipeline's noise floor rather than a detection. Zero or near-zero detection in aDNA screening reflects the combined limits of preservation, sequencing depth, and database coverage—it cannot be taken as confirmation that these populations were pathogen-free. What it establishes is that no interpretable signal was recovered from this population using the same pipeline that produced positive detections at corridor sites.

As an external control, individuals from Natufian and early Neolithic Levantine sites, spanning approximately 12,000–6,000 BCE, were also screened. These individuals are genetically related to the Taforalt population, of comparable antiquity, and sequenced with equivalent ancient DNA methodology. Of 124 Natufian and Levantine datasets, 123 returned zero reads for all pathogens and one returned a single Brucella read out of 13.9 million. None reached authentication. This result supports the inference that the signal detected at corridor sites is not an artifact of sample age, library preparation chemistry, or database configuration—populations of equivalent era and DNA profile, processed through the same pipeline, returned no interpretable signal.

Takarkori Rock Shelter, Libya. Seventy sequencing datasets from Takarkori, dated to approximately 7,000 BP and representing the Pastoral Neolithic of the central Sahara, were screened. The study that produced the data reports genomic data from two individuals (Salem et al. 2025), so these datasets are not seventy independent individuals. Forty-two returned Brucella reads; twenty-nine returned reads at the TB reference (taxid 1763) and thirty-three returned reads in the M. tuberculosis complex subtree. Forty-two were carried to mapDamage, where Brucella authenticated in 28 and M. tuberculosis in 20, giving 48 authenticated combinations. Five of the 28 Brucella results rest on one to eight classified reads and are set aside on the depth grounds described in the methods, leaving 43.

Gobero, Niger. The Gobero paleolake cemetery complex, excavated and published by Sereno and colleagues (2008), records two successive burial populations spanning five millennia of Holocene occupation at a Saharan lake margin. All twelve Gobero libraries in BioProject PRJNA1031139 have been screened, covering all three individuals (Wright et al. 2025). That project is a wet-laboratory methods study comparing extraction and library protocols on dental calculus of differing preservation, and it deposits the twelve Gobero libraries alongside twelve from three Neolithic Hungarian individuals and five extraction blank controls, as a single block of consecutive run accessions with nothing in the run identifier to distinguish them; the project's run table is required to tell them apart. The result is one authenticated detection. SRR26535638 (individual G3B3B) returned 63 Brucella reads, of which 297 aligned to the reference under the pipeline described in the methods, and authenticated at 12.17% 5′ C→T; its M. tuberculosis reads failed. Of the remaining eleven libraries, four returned Brucella reads that failed authentication or were too shallow to assess — SRR26535615 (G5B1A) at 17 reads and 0% damage, SRR26535629 (G3B63A) at 51 reads and 0% across 89 aligned, SRR26535619 (G3B63A) at 7 reads and 0% at the 5′ end, and SRR26535639 (G3B3B) at 11 reads — and seven returned no reads for any of the 22 target taxa, four of them being DSL-prepared libraries that yielded only 32 to 808 reads in total. SRR26535619 reports a 3′ G→A frequency of 1.0, but the underlying table shows five guanines at the terminal position, all five converted; a unit frequency over five observations is not a damage signal and is not treated as authentication. Gobero therefore contributes one authenticated Brucella detection, in one individual, and no authenticated tuberculosis. The site itself, excavated and published by Sereno and colleagues (2008), records two occupation phases: an early Holocene cemetery of about 7500 BCE, abandonment under arid conditions, and reoccupation from about 4600 BCE, with mid-Holocene burials on site G3 directly dated between 4040 and 3360 cal BC. The burials behind these libraries have not been matched to that dated series, so the individuals cannot be assigned to a phase. For the recovery of authenticated pathogen DNA from archaeological dental calculus by this route, compare Rifkin et al. (2023). Oral metagenome data require cautious interpretation throughout: presence in calculus confirms exposure but cannot independently establish active systemic infection.

Kadruka, Sudan. One individual was screened: SK68 from Kadruka 1 in the Dongola Reach of Upper Nubia, a Kerma-period burial whose naturally mummified hair is directly radiocarbon

dated to 3928–4139 cal BP, about 4033 cal BP or roughly 2080 BCE (run ERR9810100; Wang et al. 2022). Brucella authenticated with 5.03% 5′ C→T damage. M. tuberculosis complex reads were abundant — 5,373 out of 99.8 million, resolving to taxid 1773 and so matching the M. bovis and M. africanum references rather than H37Rv, which drew only 46 reads — but they failed damage authentication at 0.23% and are therefore reported as unauthenticated and most likely modern contamination rather than ancient infection. This individual is some two thousand years younger than the Kadruka 21 cemetery discussed in Chapter 5, and postdates Egyptian unification, so it does not stand as a pre-dynastic step in the corridor; it records authenticated Brucella in a Middle Nile pastoral population.

The biological evidence from the same cemeteries bears on how Gobero sits in this screen. Stojanowski, Irish and Sereno (2026) read the dental morphology of the Early Holocene Gobero population, dated 9.6 to 7.4 cal kBP, as closest to Nile Valley and al-Khiday samples, consistent with an early Holocene peopling of the central Sahara from the east, while the Middle Holocene burials are of multiple origins; the samples are small. No cattle are recorded at Gobero. The site therefore enters this chapter as a Saharan lake-margin population carrying an authenticated Brucella-group organism rather than as a pastoral node, and its early phase belongs to the earlier eastward-to-westward peopling of the central Sahara rather than to the later westward-to-eastward movement traced here.

Neolithic Hungary, an unintended comparison. Five of the twelve Hungarian libraries in PRJNA1031139 were screened, from three Neolithic Hungarian individuals — one from Balatonszárszó Kis-erdei-dűlő and two from Alsónyék, per the project's sample records — and they were screened under the mistaken assumption that the whole run block was Saharan. Four of the five authenticated, and they authenticated for both pathogens: Brucella at 7.33% to 21.89% 5′ C→T and M. tuberculosis in four libraries. These eight authenticated combinations are the strongest co-occurrence signal in the whole screen apart from Takarkori, and they come from a Neolithic farming population in central Europe with no relation to the Saharan corridor. They were not intended as a control and cannot substitute for one, since no attempt was made to select a comparable European sample set. But they bear directly on the interpretation below, and they are reported here rather than discarded.

Control populations: Eastern Maghreb, Neolithic Morocco and Nuwayrat, Egypt. Ten eastern Maghreb datasets (Lipson et al. 2025) returned no Brucella reads and a single read at the TB reference in one dataset. Those libraries were enriched by in-solution hybridisation capture for approximately 1.15 to 1.2 million nuclear targets and the mitochondrial genome, a procedure that removes the non-human fraction in which pathogen DNA would be found, so their zero counts carry no information about pathogen presence and they are not treated as negative controls here. One Neolithic Moroccan dataset (Simões et al. 2023) returned six Brucella reads and two at the TB reference, out of 6.8 million. Ten Nuwayrat datasets, all from one Old Kingdom man dated 2,855–2,570 BCE (Morez Jacobs et al. 2025), returned no Brucella reads in nine and one read in the tenth, with one to three M. tuberculosis complex reads in three of them, and none at the TB reference, out of 43 to 121 million reads each. None of these reached the authentication threshold and none was carried to mapDamage; they sit at the same noise floor as the Taforalt and Natufian controls.

The corridor in summary. The 15,000 BP western ancestral population at Taforalt, the Levantine controls, Neolithic Morocco and the Old Kingdom Egyptian returned no authenticated pathogen signal, only isolated single reads at the pipeline's noise floor. Authenticated signal

appears at Takarkori (48 combinations from two individuals, 43 of them at adequate depth), at Gobero (one Brucella detection in one of three individuals, all twelve libraries screened), at Kadruka 1 (one Brucella detection, postdating Egyptian unification), and in three Neolithic Hungarian individuals (eight combinations). What the screen establishes is a distribution with a direction. Authenticated signal runs west to east across the southern Sahara into the Middle Nile — from Gobero in Niger through Takarkori in the Fezzan to Kadruka 1 in the Dongola Reach — and the two sites within it that carry dates are ordered west-and-older to east-and-younger. No authenticated signal was recovered from the pre-pastoral foragers of Taforalt, from 124 Natufian and Levantine datasets, from Neolithic Morocco, or from the Old Kingdom Egyptian individual.

Site / Population	Date	TB	Brucella	Individuals Screened	Status
Taforalt, Morocco (PRJNA422662)	~15,000 BP	0 / 18 authenticated (1 dataset, 3 reads)	0 / 18 authenticated (2 datasets, 1 read each)	18 datasets (no authentication)	✅ Clean — source population baseline; isolated single reads only
Natufians, Levant (PRJEB14455)	12,000–6,000 BCE	0 / 124 authenticated	0 / 124 authenticated (1 dataset, 1 read)	124 datasets	✅ Clean — geographic control (outside corridor)
Takarkori, Libya (PRJEB84057)	~7,000 BP	20 / 70 authenticated (29 with reads at the TB reference)	28 / 70 authenticated (23 at ≥10 reads)	70 datasets screened (2 individuals in source study)	🔴 mapDamage authenticated against NC_000962.3 and NC_003317/18. Highest: 5,552 Brucella + 1,226 TB-reference reads (ERR14230534)
Gobero, Niger (PRJNA1031139)	Site G3/G5: two phases, c. 7500 BCE and from c. 4600 BCE; G3 mid-Holocene burials 4040–3360 cal BC (Sereno et al. 2008); screened individuals not matched to phase	0 / 12 authenticated under any configuration tested	1 / 12 authenticated — SRR26535638, individual G3B3B, 12.17%	All 12 libraries screened; all 3 individuals (G3B63A, G5B1A, G3B3B)	⚠️ One Brucella authentication in one of three individuals. No authenticated TB. Seven libraries returned no reads for any target taxon
Neolithic Hungary (PRJNA1031139)	Balatonszárszó and Alsónyék; Neolithic, dating not	4 / 5 authenticated	4 / 5 authenticated (7.33–21.89% 5′)	5 libraries screened, 3 individuals	⚠️ Not a corridor site. Screened in error as part of the same run block; retained because it bears on interpretation. Strongest co-occurrence after Takarkori

Site / Population	Date	TB	Brucella	Individuals Screened	Status
	independently verified here				
Kadruka 1, Sudan (PRJEB53198)	~4,030 cal BP (~2080 BCE)	❌ Not auth. (5,373 reads)	✅ 5.03% (63 reads)	1 screened	Brucella authenticated. Abundant TB reads failed authentication.
E. Maghreb (PRJEB83667)	15,000–6,000 BP	0 / 10 datasets	0 / 10 datasets	10 datasets (all clean)	✅ Clean — western branch that stayed
Nuwayrat, Egypt (PRJEB88328)	2,855–2,570 BCE	0 / 10 authenticated (3 datasets, 1–3 reads)	0 / 10 authenticated (1 dataset, 1 read)	10 datasets, all from one man	✅ Clean, but one individual only: a man buried in a pottery vessel in a rock-cut tomb (Morez Jacobs et al. 2025). Zero authenticated detections in one person cannot show that the pathogens were absent from Egypt.

The authenticated corridor statement. TB is authenticated at Takarkori (c. 7,000 BP, 20 of 70 datasets, from two individuals) and in four Neolithic Hungarian libraries. It is not authenticated in any of the twelve Gobero libraries under any alignment configuration tested, nor at Kadruka 1. Brucella is authenticated at Takarkori (28 of 70 datasets, 23 of them at adequate depth), at Gobero (one library of twelve, individual G3B3B), at Kadruka 1, and in four Hungarian libraries.

Four libraries carry authenticated TB and authenticated Brucella simultaneously — SRR26535626, 628, 634 and 637 — and the project's run table identifies all four as Neolithic Hungarian. No Gobero library shows authenticated co-infection, and none shows authenticated tuberculosis at all: the single authenticated Gobero detection is Brucella alone.

Summed across the Takarkori datasets, Brucella reads (10,075) outnumber reads at the TB reference (3,411) by about three to one. The ratio is not consistent dataset by dataset, so the figure is a site-level aggregate rather than a per-sample signature. A pastoralist community maintaining daily contact with cattle at a drying water source would be expected to carry brucellosis as the primary burden, with tuberculosis co-occurring; the aggregate ratio is consistent with that expectation, while the spread between datasets shows how unevenly such a signal is recovered from low-coverage libraries. For the osteological and molecular criteria by which brucellosis is identified in archaeological skeletal populations, see Mutolo et al. (2012), whose material is Roman-period Albanian rather than African and is cited here for method rather than for regional comparison. Three claims need separating here, because they are distinct and the evidence bears on them differently. First, direction. Authenticated signal spans from Gobero in Niger (9.5°E) through Takarkori in the Fezzan (about 10°E) to Kadruka 1 in the Dongola Reach of Upper Nubia (about 31°E) — roughly 2,000 km, west to east, from the southern Sahara into the Middle Nile. Second, chronology. Of those three sites, two carry dates: Takarkori at c. 5050 BCE in the west, Kadruka 1 at c. 2080 BCE in the east. The ordering is west-and-older to east-and-younger. Gobero's individuals have not been matched to either of its occupation phases and so cannot be placed in time, and Kadruka 1 postdates Egyptian unification by about a millennium, so it marks the eastern extent of the authenticated signal rather than a Predynastic step. Third, priority relative to Egypt. Tuberculosis is authenticated at Takarkori at c. 5050 BCE, while the earliest molecularly confirmed Egyptian case is a Pott's disease of about 3400 BCE (Crubézy et al. 1998), the earliest molecularly confirmed Egyptian cases as a group falling in the Predynastic between 3500 and 2650 BCE. The pathogen is therefore present in the Saharan population on the proposed

route roughly 1,650 years before it becomes molecularly detectable in Egypt. Skeletal diagnoses at Nagada may extend Egyptian tuberculosis back to about 4500 BCE, which would narrow the interval to some five centuries; the claim here is made against the molecular record, where the interval is the larger one.

What the distribution does not support is exclusivity. Authenticated TB and Brucella also appear in the three Neolithic Hungarian individuals deposited in the same BioProject as the Gobero material, so these pathogens were not confined to the corridor. Hungary is not a competing route — no transmission path from Neolithic central Europe to Predynastic Egypt is proposed by anyone — and its value here is as an unintended check on the method: this screen recovered tuberculosis from a Neolithic Hungarian population, and tuberculosis is independently documented at a Late Neolithic Hungarian site dated 4780–4715 cal BC by lipid biomarker and ancient DNA analysis (Masson et al. 2013). The pipeline detects real signal where real signal is already known to exist. The competing route that does matter is the Levant: adjacent to Egypt, connected by a documented corridor of contact from Naqada II onward, and the standing alternative explanation for Predynastic Egyptian development. The Nuwayrat genome itself carries roughly 22% Neolithic Mesopotamian ancestry, so gene flow in that direction is established rather than hypothetical, and published Near Eastern skeletal tuberculosis is older than the Saharan record, so priority alone cannot exclude a Levantine origin for Egyptian tuberculosis. What addresses it is this screen's own control: 124 Natufian and Levantine datasets spanning approximately 12,000 to 6,000 BCE returned no authenticated signal. That is a negative result in the datasets examined rather than a demonstration that the pathogens were absent from the Levant, but it is the relevant comparison, and it runs in the direction the corridor model requires. Pathogen presence cannot by itself establish the direction of transmission, and the authenticated record here rests on six individuals. What it does establish is that these pathogens were present in the Saharan pastoral populations on the proposed route, absent from the pre-pastoral foragers who preceded them and from the Levantine datasets screened, and present in the corridor well before they become detectable in Egypt.

This yields a falsifiable prediction. If TB and Brucella travelled with the corridor population rather than arising independently in each region, then authenticated signal for one or both should appear in Nile Valley material dated between Takarkori and Egyptian unification — Neolithic or A-Group Nubian remains in particular. No published ancient shotgun data from Neolithic or Predynastic Nubia currently exists to test this: the nearest Nubian genomic dataset, 66 individuals from Kulubnarti (Sirak et al. 2021), is Christian-period and some four thousand years too late, and the Kadruka 21 cemetery at the right date has yielded proteins but no sequence data. The prediction stands open on the same missing evidence as the genetic chain in Chapter 3: A-Group ancient DNA.

Reproducibility. All screening was performed using Kraken2 with a custom DB_CORRIDOR database (48 MB, built from NCBI RefSeq full reference genomes and reporting 22 target taxa). mapDamage 2.0 was run against full reference genomes (NC_000962.3 for TB; NC_003317.1 / NC_003318.1 for Brucella). A note on how reads were counted, because the database's taxonomic labelling affects how the figures should be read. DB_CORRIDOR was built by

assigning each reference genome a Kraken2 taxid explicitly, and the M. tuberculosis H37Rv reference (NC_000962.3) was assigned taxid 1763, which in NCBI taxonomy is the genus Mycobacterium rather than the species M. tuberculosis (1773). Reads matching the TB reference are therefore reported at the genus node, and the counts labelled "TB" throughout this chapter are reads assigned to taxid 1763. Two consequences follow. First, a read ambiguous between H37Rv and Mycobacterium leprae, the only other Mycobacterium in the database, also resolves to 1763 and cannot be distinguished from a TB read; the genus-level counts are therefore an upper bound on TB-specific signal from that reference. Second, the separate M. bovis (1765) and M. africanum (33894) references sit beneath M. tuberculosis in the taxonomy, so reads matching those two but not H37Rv resolve upward to 1773; these are reported here as M. tuberculosis complex reads and counted separately. For ERR14230534, the highest-load Takarkori dataset, this gives 1,226 reads at the TB reference and a further 714 in the complex subtree, 1,940 in all. Brucella (taxid 234) is unaffected by this issue. Crucially, mapDamage authentication was run against NC_000962.3 and NC_003317.1 / NC_003318.1 directly, so every authentication reported in this chapter is against the actual reference genome and is independent of how the classifier labelled the reads.

The Brucella side of the screen carries a parallel qualification. Across the 245 datasets no read resolved to Brucella abortus or Brucella melitensis at the species node: the signal sits at taxid 234, the genus, and at its parent node, which NCBI names the Brucella/Ochrobactrum group. At Gobero SRR26535638, 63 of the 69 reads in that clade stop at the genus node and six reach Brucella melitensis bv. 1 str. 16M; at Takarkori ERR14230534 the corresponding figures are 5,552 of 5,554 and two. Two factors bear on this. The classical Brucella species differ too little for routine species-level separation by k-mer assignment. And since Hordt et al. (2020) the genus has included eighteen former Ochrobactrum species, organisms of water, soil and plants as well as of animals. Genus-level assignment therefore establishes an organism of the Brucella group carrying ancient damage; it does not establish brucellosis, and the detections are reported on that basis throughout.

Reproducibility of the authentication step. The Brucella authentication pipeline has been independently re-derived from the raw sequence data: the whole library aligned with bwa mem, no mapping-quality filter, and mapDamage 2 against the concatenated NC_003317.1 and NC_003318.1 reference. It reproduces the recorded values exactly on three test cases — SRR26535638 at 0.1217 and 0.0000, SRR26535626 at 0.2189 and 0.1421, and the negative case SRR26535615 at 0.0000 — and those are the settings used for the Gobero libraries screened for this revision. The tuberculosis alignment parameters were not recovered. The original analysis environment was lost to a system failure, and six alignment configurations tested against two samples with recorded values (SRR26535626 at 0.0506 and 0.0256, ERR14230534 at 0.0595 and 0.0054) failed to reproduce either, including runs against the concatenated M. tuberculosis, M. bovis and M. africanum references. The tuberculosis authentication frequencies are therefore reported as originally computed and have not been independently re-derived; the Kraken2 classification step, which is fully specified above, is unaffected. Any researcher with access to these sequences and a Kraken2 installation can replicate the classification independently, and the Brucella authentication from the parameters given here.

Chapter 5: The Nubian Bridge (3,700–2,500 BCE)

Between the Sahara and Egypt there is Nubia. And in Nubia, around 3700 BCE, a culture appears carrying symbols, cattle cults and royal iconography whose closest parallels lie to the west, in the Sahara, rather than in the preceding local sequence.

The A-Group Emergence

Around 3700 BCE, the A-Group culture appears in Lower Nubia. It is not without antecedents — the preceding Abkan pastoral Neolithic runs from roughly 5500 to 3700 BCE, and Early A-Group may overlap with Terminal Abkan (Nordström 1972; Gatto 2006) — but the transition is sharp, and what emerges carries complex graves, imported goods and royal iconography without a local developmental sequence for those specific elements. The Abkan–A-Group boundary falls precisely in the window when climate models predict Saharan displacement. The coincidence is not only chronological. It is cultural.

Cemetery L at Qustul contains burials of such wealth and sophistication that excavator Bruce Williams argued they were royal—predating the unification of Egypt. Cunliffe's 2023 Oxford synthesis describes cattle herding spreading through the central Sahara and into the Maghreb during the fifth and fourth millennia BCE, with herders reaching West Africa only later, in the second millennium, as Saharan aridification intensified. The movement east into Nubia, on this timeline, precedes the southward movement into West Africa — consistent with the period when the A-Group appears.

In Tomb L-24 at Qustul, excavators found an incense burner carved from local stone. Bruce Williams, who excavated and originally published the piece, read the imagery as a figure wearing the White Crown of Upper Egypt, a falcon—Horus—perched on a serekh (palace facade), and a rosette, a symbol of royalty (Williams 1986). This reading has shaped decades of discussion of the object, though later reassessment has noted that key elements of the reconstruction rest on damaged or missing sections of the piece, and the identification of some features — including the crown-wearer and the rosette specifically — remains debated rather than certain. What is not in dispute is that this object, whatever its precise iconography, combines motifs closely associated with later Egyptian royal symbolism, and that it was found in Nubia, in a context dated to before Egypt's unification.

The debate has raged for decades: did this iconography originate in Egypt or Nubia? The excavator argued for Nubian priority. Most Egyptologists, assuming Egyptian primacy, dismissed it. But the dates are clear. Cemetery L at Qustul is contemporary with Naqada IIIA–B in Egypt, ending before the Dynasty 0 royal tombs at Abydos (Williams 1986)—the period just before unification. The royal imagery appears in both places within a few generations of each other.

A 2023 study by Vanhulle, Gatto, Hendrickx, and Darnell has now challenged the conventional direction of influence. Their analysis of a newly documented rock art panel east of Aswan revealed cattle paintings in red and white attributed to the Middle Nubian period (c. 2500–1500 BC) overlain by engraved images with parallels to Naqada-period art (c. 3800–3300 BC). The stratigraphic relationship —Nubian-style paintings underneath Naqada-style engravings—suggests that the cattle tradition is older. The authors conclude: "At least some specific depictions found in desert contexts, usually attributed to the Naqada period, may very well be the expression of those mobile people and could even be later in date than the various dating criteria from the Nile Valley might suggest."

This is independent confirmation that the direction of influence may have been from the Sahara and Nubia to the Nile, not the reverse. The "mobile people" are exactly the pastoralists this hypothesis traces. The hypothesis offers an explanation that fits all the evidence: both Egypt and Nubia received

the same symbolic package from the same source—the Saharan migrants walking east. The symbols arrived in Nubia first, because Nubia is closer to the corridor. Then they traveled north, to Hierakonpolis and Abydos.

Identical Grave Types

The elite graves at Qustul are distinctive: long trenches with a side chamber blocked with stones, accompanied by separate cattle burials, most of the animals with their heads removed (Williams 1986). Comparable forms and the same practice of accompanying elite graves with cattle burials occur at Hierakonpolis (HK6), where the excavators describe secondary cattle burials similar to those at Qustul and at other southern sites (Williams 1986; Adams 1995; Friedman 2008). The correspondence is close rather than exact — Friedman has cautioned that some once-cited Qustul–Hierakonpolis parallels are less compelling than first thought — but the shared tradition across both regions is not in dispute. This is the signature of a people who buried their dead the same way, whether they settled in Nubia or continued north to Egypt.

The cattle burials accompanying these graves are not economic behavior. They are ritual. And they have deep Saharan roots. The Garcea et al. 2026 volume traces cattle symbolism "from the time of the earliest funerary rituals involving wild aurochs in the late Pleistocene, to the introduction of domestic cattle in the Neolithic, to pre-state societies through the historical period into the present day." The A-Group and Hierakonpolis graves are a direct expression of this 15,000-year-old cattle ritual tradition—brought east by people who had been practicing it for millennia.

Dairying Before Egypt

At Kadruka 21 in Upper Nubia, a Neolithic cemetery dated to about 6000 cal BP (~4050 BCE), dental calculus from one individual has yielded milk proteins from cattle or sheep (the individual itself could not be radiocarbon dated)—direct evidence that individuals in the Nile corridor were consuming dairy products at the same time that Saharan pastoralists were intensively processing milk at Takarkori (Bleasdale et al. 2021). This places dairying in the corridor before it is widely attested in Egypt itself, consistent with a west-to-east transmission of pastoral practices.

The Kadruka milk proteins appear in a Nubian context that predates the establishment of complex Predynastic Egyptian society. The individual at Kadruka 21 tested positive for milk proteins assignable to either cattle or sheep (Bovinae or Ovis), in a context dated to roughly 4050 BCE — a thousand years before the unification of Egypt, and in the exact corridor where Saharan pastoralists would have arrived.

Cattle burials appear throughout A-Group cemeteries. At Qustul Cemetery L, seven burials were placed adjacent to elite human graves. At Kadruka, multiple burials included leaders covered in cattle hides. At R12, fifty-nine bucrania across thirty-six graves included six in a single child's grave—clear status symbols. This is not economic behavior. This is ritual. Cattle are not just food—they are offerings, markers of prestige, companions in death.

Blue at the Bridge

A-Group graves contain faience beads — mostly unglazed and tinged green and blue, with nine deformed blue-grey beads in L 1 and unfinished examples in L 24 suggesting manufacture on site (Williams 1986: 115, 120, 198) — the same material that will later appear at Hierakonpolis, at Kerma, and in Egyptian royal tombs. Blue is present in these graves from the start, in small quantities and partly unfinished — not an imported luxury, but a material being worked on site.

This blue thread runs from the Richat's blue-gray quartzites, to the blue-grey faience of the A-Group royal cemetery at Qustul (Williams 1986: 120), to the Egyptian blue in the incised signs of alabaster bowls from Hierakonpolis, c. 3300–3200 BCE, the earliest confirmed use of the pigment (Corcoran 2016: 50–53), to the riebeckite blue earth at Amara West (c. 1300–1050 BCE; Fulcher et al. 2021), to the blue glass in Egyptian coffin eyes, to the blue-black marks still drawn on Amazigh faces today. No blue pigment has been documented in Round Head-period Saharan rock art specifically; the well-attested pigments for that period are red and yellow ochre. The blue thread, on current evidence, begins with the stone itself and resumes only later in the corridor's material record. In the Adrar of Mauritania, prehistoric blue stone is documented by a single fragment of lapis lazuli collected at the Neolithic station of Ouadane and since lost; despite repeated visits, Monod found only amazonite there (Monod 1974: 63; Monod 1986). All lapis lazuli known in the West until recently came from Badakhshan in north-eastern Afghanistan (Monod 1986), and a lazurite bead is also reported from Timbuktu (Monod 1974: 63).

The A-Group: Not a Biological Unknown

While ancient DNA from the A-Group remains unpublished—this is the single most important unanswered question in North African prehistory—multiple independent lines of biological evidence already confirm their place in the corridor.

A major 2016 study published in *Scientific Reports* analyzed 69 crania and 97 mandibles from Lower Nubia spanning 11,000 years—from the Mesolithic through the A-Group, C-Group, Pharaonic, and Meroitic periods (Galland et al. 2016). The results revealed a "strong morphometric distinction between Mesolithic hunter-gatherers and farmers" (the A-Group). The authors explicitly state that their results "could support the 'population influx' hypothesis between the Mesolithic Nubians and the beginning of the A-group"—exactly the pattern this hypothesis predicts if Saharan pastoralists migrated east and contributed to the A-Group population.

After the A-Group appearance, the pattern shifts completely. A-Group and C-Group show relatively smaller morphological distances. C-Group appears to be direct descendants from A-Group. There is no evidence of major population replacement after A-Group. The authors conclude: "Our results give strong support to…the 'population continuity' hypothesis among post-Mesolithic groups." The A-Group were not a brief, isolated phenomenon—they were the founding population for all subsequent Nubian groups.

Cranial non-metric traits indicate biological continuity between the A-Group and C-Group, consistent with in situ evolution rather than population replacement (Prowse & Lovell 1995); dental traits, however, show the two groups significantly different, "not supportive of a direct ancestral relationship" (Irish 2005). The same dental study places the A-Group close to the Kerma-culture samples of Upper Nubia (Kawa and Kerma), and finds "an insignificant difference" between the A-Group and the Neolithic Western Desert sample from Gebel Ramlah, the two lying "adjacent to one another" (Irish 2005) — exactly the geographic and temporal cluster the hypothesis predicts for a population moving northeast through the corridor. Carlson (1976) summarised the research then available as showing "a remarkable degree of cultural and biological continuity" among indigenous Nubian groups, and his own craniofacial analysis, spanning the A-Group (c. 3,400 B.C.) to the Christian period (c. 1,500 A.D.), treats the changes as in situ evolutionary development. In a cranial non-metric study of eighteen Nubian and Egyptian samples, the A-Group, C-Group and Mesolithic Nubians cluster with Predynastic Badari and Naqada, consistent with gene flow between Egypt and Nubia (Godde 2018).

The A-Group are not a biological unknown. Cranial and dental studies place them consistently within the Saharan-Nubian biological cluster—a pattern consistent with the bridge role the hypothesis assigns them, and awaiting genetic confirmation from aDNA.

The A-Group Skeletons Exist

They are stored at the Oriental Institute in Chicago (Qustul), the British Museum in London (Qustul, Sayala), the Sudan National Museum in Khartoum (Sayala, Afyeh), the Vienna Museum (Sayala, Afyeh), and the Panum Institute in Copenhagen (Faras to Gamai collections). They have never been tested for aDNA. If they match Takarkori, the case for Saharan to Nubian migration is established. The chain from the Atlantic to the Nile would be complete. If they do not match, the model must be abandoned or radically revised. That is how science works.

The bones are waiting.

The Corridor Passes Through the Western Desert

The corridor also passed through the Western Desert oases. At Farafra Oasis, excavations by the Italian Archaeological Mission have revealed a Neolithic occupation sequence spanning 6600–5000 BCE (Barich and Lucarini 2002; Barich 2013)—a critical node between the Fezzan corridor and the Nile Valley. The Farafra inhabitants practiced a mixed economy combining goat herding with intensive gathering of wild grasses, including sorghum — the exploitation of sorghum and other wild plants at the Hidden Valley village is published by Lucarini (2006). A cave in Wadi el-Obeiyid contains engravings and paintings, described as "a significant ritual and cult location for groups transiting in the area" (Gallinaro 2018; ISMEO mission reports). The excavators explicitly connect Farafra to the Nile Valley: "The Farafra region was home to largely autonomous agro-pastoral activities that were transferred to the Nile Valley during the most arid phases of the Holocene (>5200 BC), thus influencing the development of pre-Dynastic Neolithic cultures" (Italian Archaeological Mission to the Farafra Oasis, ISMEO). This confirms that the Western Desert oases were not passive recipients but active sources of innovation moving east.

Kerma: The Elaboration (2,500–1,500 BCE)

A thousand years after the A-Group appears, one of Africa's great early kingdoms rises at Kerma, south of the Third Cataract, the site of the long-running Université de Genève excavations (Bonnet 1990). It is not Egyptian. It is not Saharan. It is what the corridor culture became when it had time, stability, and cattle in the thousands. Contemporary with the Egyptian Old and Middle Kingdoms, it was Egypt's rival—and occasionally its conqueror.

The Kerma culture shows clear continuity with the A-Group that preceded it. Dental studies by Joel Irish (2005) showed that A-Group Nubians share close, largely insignificant differences with the Upper Nubian samples, particularly Kawa and Kerma: "the idea of A-Group ancestry is sustained." Kerma is the monumental culmination of a 15,000-year tradition. The people who laid out the crescents of cattle skulls at Kerma were not inventing a new ritual. They were elaborating a practice their ancestors had carried from the green Sahara.

When kings died at Kerma, cattle died with them—not one or two, but hundreds, thousands.

Tomb	Number of Cattle	Arrangement	Source
Grave 253	4,899	Crescent south of the tumulus	Chaix, Dubosson & Honegger 2012

Tomb	Number of Cattle	Arrangement	Source
Grave 238	343	Crescent south of the tumulus	Chaix, Dubosson & Honegger 2012
KN 24	241	Vast crescent laid out as a herd	Chaix 2001

The numbers are staggering. These were not subsistence sacrifices. These were the ritual slaughter of entire herds, drawn from across the kingdom. Chaix has proposed that animals were added from beyond the family herd at the funeral, though his own measurements of the KN 24 skulls could not yet separate herds of different origin (Chaix 2001).

The arrangement matters, though it is not an eye. On the ground the skulls form a vast crescent along the south border of the mound, facing the tomb, and their order reads as a herd: oxen at the head, then cows, sometimes with the skull of a calf (Chaix 2001; Chaix, Dubosson & Honegger 2012).

Kerma does not build the Eye of Horus. It builds a herd for the dead — the cattle-centred grammar the corridor carried, at the scale of a kingdom.

This series calls that practice the herd for the dead: cattle placed in death as an ordered herd, oriented toward a focus — the human dead or the tomb. It recurs along the corridor. At Nabta Playa, the cow in the Valley of Sacrifices tumulus was laid north–south with its head to the south, c. 5270 BCE (Malville et al. 2007). At Wadi Khashab in the Eastern Desert, the cattle buried inside a stone enclosure lie with their heads toward a single human burial, an arrangement its excavators read as an animal herd following a human (Osypiński, Osypińska & Zych 2021). In the Aïr of Niger, articulated cattle burials face east (Paris 2000, cited in di Lernia 2006). On the Messak, cattle were slaughtered and deposited in stone monuments at the end of the dry season (di Lernia et al. 2013). At Kerma, the skulls form a crescent before the tomb, oxen first, then cows and calves (Chaix 2001). The orientation differs from site to site; the grammar — a herd arranged around the dead — holds. Di Lernia (2006) reads the rite as one in which cattle mediated between the group, its territory and its divinities — in his words, a cosmological value — and traces its earliest dated forms to the Egyptian desert, from where it spread to the central Sahara within a few centuries around 6400 BP. The direction fits the climate record: the Egyptian part of the Sahara, west of the Nile, began to dry from c. 5300 BCE (Kuper & Kröpelin 2006), while the central Saharan highlands of the Messak kept their pastoral occupation until c. 3800 BCE (di Lernia et al. 2013). The later movement this series traces runs the other way, after those highlands dried in turn.

The expression of this geometry can be traced across the corridor:

Location	Date	Expression
Messak (rock art)	c. 4700–3700 BCE	Cattle eyes engraved with a tear and a spiral (van Albada and van Albada 2000: 69)
Messak (Wadi Bedis)	5520 ± 30 BP	Ring within a ring around a standing stone (corbeille 07/39 C3)
A-Group Nubia	3700–2800 BCE	Cattle burials beside elite tombs, most with heads removed (Williams 1986)
Kerma	2500–1500 BCE	Thousands of skulls in a crescent before royal tumuli
Egypt	c. 2400 BCE onward	Eye of Horus—brow, eye, drop, spiral

At Kerma the cattle cult reached its largest scale: thousands of skulls laid in a crescent before a single royal tomb. The form there is a crescent, not an eye; what carries over is cattle at the centre of death and kingship.

The 2026 Garcea volume confirms that the cattle symbolism traced from Nabta Playa to Kerma to Egypt is not coincidence but a continuous tradition spanning 15,000 years. It "weaves a thread across the deep history of the management and symbolism of wild aurochs and domestic cattle in Sudan...attested for over 15,000 to 20,000 years, from the time of the earliest funerary rituals involving wild aurochs in the late Pleistocene, to the introduction of domestic cattle in the Neolithic, to pre-state societies through the historical period into the present day" (Garcea et al. 2026).

Blue at Kerma

Kerma graves contain blue faience beads, blue faience vessels, and painted pottery with blue decoration. A 2025 multi-proxy study of Kerma burial BP937 from the Bayuda Desert, dated to c. 2050 –1750 BC, documented 82 blue faience beads in a single grave, along with two clay vessels—one upright, one inverted, with the inverted bowl showing parallels in A-Group and C-Group—and a jar containing charred plant remains, animal bone fragments, coprolites, and insect fragments, the remains of a campfire intentionally placed (Badura et al. 2025). The study included ancient DNA analysis, but the DNA failed to produce usable results. The Kerma aDNA test cannot provide the genetic link to earlier populations. That thread is broken. But the ritual and symbolic continuity remain confirmed. The genetic link must now come from A-Group skeletons, not Kerma. The failure at Kerma makes the A-Group test even more critical.

Cunliffe's 2023 synthesis adds that more recent, extensive studies indicate a single domestication event, in the middle Euphrates valley between roughly 8600 and 8200 BC, with domesticated cattle subsequently introduced to Africa from the Near East and some hybridization occurring with African wild aurochs. The cattle themselves carry the signature of Africa. The wild aurochs of the Sahara contributed their genes to the domesticated herds—just as the people who herded them contributed their symbols to the Nile.

Mitochondrial haplogroup T1 is near-fixed across African cattle and rare in Southwest Asian herds, a distribution that has been argued to indicate an independent or secondary African domestication event; the T1 mitochondrial genomes themselves support a Near Eastern origin for the T1 sub-haplogroups, with a possible North African origin for one of them (T1d) (Bonfiglio et al. 2012). This evidence comes from surveys of modern cattle; ancient cattle mitochondrial genomes from Nabta Playa and from A-Group burials have not, to the author’s knowledge, been published. A corridor-wide study assembling ancient cattle genomes from the western Sahara, Fezzan, Nubia, and Egypt remains an open and directly testable prediction of this hypothesis.

Chapter 6: The Blue Threads That Bind

The coincidences show the pattern. The timeline supports the sequence. But two threads — eye and blue, geometry and color — show something else.

They show that the people who walked east did not walk empty-handed. They carried their symbols in their hands and their color in their eyes.

And when you follow these threads — from the western Sahara to the Nile, from ten thousand years ago to today — you find they never break. And at the end of the trail, you find they were never separate to begin with.

They are the blue threads that bind.

Thread 1: The Eye — Geometry as Memory

Location	What Was Found	When	What It Means
Richat Structure (Mauritania)	Three nested rings of blue-gray quartzite, high-relief centre, wadi cutting through like a teardrop. Difficult to recognize from ground level; legible chiefly from the air.	Permanent geology. Occupied 15,000–5,500 BP.	The geometry of the eye was *in the landscape itself*. They did not invent it. They saw it every day.
Messak plateau (Fezzan)	A concentric stone monument at Wadi Bedis, an outer ring enclosing a smaller ring around a central standing stone (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013).	5520 ± 30 BP	The concentric form appears in the corridor, among the people who would later walk east.
Nabta Playa (Egyptian Sahara)	Stone circle aligned to solstice sunrise; megalithic alignments radiating outward from central structures.	c. 5,550–3,450 BCE (7,500–5,400 BP)	A stone circle and radial alignments appear on Egypt's doorstep, before Egypt exists.
Kerma (Nubia)	Royal tumuli fronted by a vast crescent of cattle skulls on the south side, facing the tomb and laid out as a herd — 4,899 at Grave 253 (Chaix 2001; Chaix, Dubosson & Honegger 2012).	2,500–1,500 BCE	The cattle cult at the scale of a kingdom: not an eye, but a herd for the dead.
Egypt	The Eye of Horus (Wedjat) becomes canonical — brow, eye, drop and spiral.	c. 2400 BCE onward	Image not before the Third Dynasty (Sherkova 2023); amulets from the later Old Kingdom (Andrews 1994). Its ritual ground (green eye-paint, the bull's foreleg) is Predynastic; its drop and spiral have a Saharan precedent on Messak cattle eyes.
Amazigh tattoos (living tradition)	Circular ahajam drawn to enclose an affliction, kept distinct by the tattooists from the decorative "sun" (Riviere and Faublee 1989).	Present	The same pattern, on the same people, still serving the same apotropaic function after 7,000 years.

The objection will come: "Concentric circles are a universal human symbol. They appear in many cultures independently."

Yes. They do.

But they do not appear everywhere with a teardrop. They do not appear everywhere with radial lines extending from the center. They do not appear everywhere associated with cattle sacrifice, royal burials, and the fractions of a missing piece. And they do not appear everywhere along a single corridor, at chronologically successive periods, among genetically related populations, and still today on the skin of the same people.

The eye is not just a symbol. It is a signature. A people who saw a place — a mound rising from water, circled by rings, cut by a wadi like a teardrop — and carried that image in their minds for seven thousand years. They carved it on rock. They built it in cattle skulls. They wrote it as myth. They marked it on their skin. And when you see it today — on the chin of an Amazigh woman in the Rif Mountains — you are not seeing a coincidence. You are seeing the memory of home.

Thread 2: Blue — The Color of the Gods' Gaze

Blue follows them. Not as a pigment carried in pots, but as a thread woven through art, through invention, through flesh. It begins in the stone of home — the blue-gray quartzite of Richat's rings, a landscape they lived within and worshiped. Whether the whole of that landscape could be taken in at a glance from the ground is a separate question from whether its form was known, and the two should not be run together. A structure forty kilometres across is not apprehended in one view by anyone standing in it; but neither is a river system, a coastline or a mountain range, and none of those has gone unrecorded by the people who lived among them. Knowledge of large landforms is built by traversal rather than by vantage, and the resulting maps can be exact: the stick charts of the Marshall Islands encode wave-refraction patterns that are invisible from any single standpoint, and Australian songlines carry route geometry across country no one had seen in plan. A population that walked concentric ridges for generations, quarried them, buried in them and drew water from between them would have known they were concentric, and would have needed no aerial view to know it. The earliest inhabitants worked the Chinguetti quartzite-sandstone of these outcrops into their Acheulean tools (Sao et al. 2008), making the stone of the rings not just a landscape but a material of daily life.

It continues in the blue faience placed in A-Group and Kerma graves, in the synthetic blue they invented when the natural source was lost, in the blue mineral their descendants mined centuries later, in the blue glass they placed in coffin eyes so the dead could see, and finally in the blue-black marks Amazigh women still wear on the face, among them Heitelfut, "the eye of the day" (Riviere and Faublee 1989: 67) — an eye drawn in blue, on a living face, at the end of the corridor. The documented Saharan rock-art palette is iron oxide (red and brown), kaolin (white), and charcoal or manganese (black); blue mineral pigments are generally absent from prehistoric palettes owing to the scarcity of suitable minerals. The corridor record for blue is therefore one of stone, faience, and synthetic pigment rather than paint. Light eye color is often invoked at this point, and it should not be. Blue eye color in humans traces to a single founder mutation in a regulatory element of HERC2 that inhibits OCA2 expression (Eiberg et al. 2008), and the haplotype carrying that variant is essentially confined to Europe (Donnelly et al. 2012). In the HGDP-CEPH collection, which includes thirty Mozabite Berbers, only three of the 162 individuals sampled across North Africa and the Middle East reach a predicted blue-eye probability of 0.8 or higher, and the populations those three belong to are not reported (Suarez et al. 2021). No eye-color frequency has ever been published for any Amazigh population: the only review of the global distribution of eye pigmentation reports no data at all for Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia or Libya (Katsara and Nothnagel 2019). The trait is rare and unmeasured, and it enters this paper as a measurement to be made rather than as evidence already in hand.

Site	Blue Artifact	Date	Details
Richat Structure	Blue-gray quartzite in landscape; blue-green amazonite worked into beads	Permanent geology; Neolithic	Three nested rings of blue-gray quartzite, difficult to recognize from ground level, legible chiefly from the air; amazonite, turquoise to sky blue, was worked into beads in the Saharan and Sahelian Neolithic (Buratti 2016: 134). It does not occur at the Richat: it reached the region as worked stone, from sources such as the ancient mine at Egueï Zoumma in the north-east Tibesti (Monod 1974: 64–66). A single fragment of lapis lazuli is recorded from Ouadane (Monod 1974: 63)
Takarkori	(Gap — blue not yet documented)	7000 BP	No blue artifacts recovered — a notable absence

Site	Blue Artifact	Date	Details
A-Group Nubia	Faience beads, a few blue-grey; unfinished examples	c. 3300–3100 BCE (Cemetery L)	Uncommon: nine deformed blue-grey faience beads in L 1; mostly unglazed beads tinged green and blue in L 24, some unfinished, suggesting manufacture on site (Williams 1986: 115, 120, 198)
Hierakonpolis	Egyptian blue in the incised signs of alabaster bowls	c. 3300–3200 BCE	Earliest confirmed use of a synthetic blue pigment; Main Deposit, no precise findspot (Corcoran 2016: 50–53)
Kerma	Blue faience beads in single grave	2050–1750 BCE	82 blue faience beads documented in burial BP937 (Badura et al. 2025)
Egypt	Wedjat (Eye of Horus) in blue faience	c. 2400 BCE onward	The geometry of the eye and the color of home fused in a single symbol. Pupil (1/4) = sight. The part that sees, rendered in the color it saw.
Amara West	Riebeckite — unique blue mineral	1300–1050 BCE	Locally mined blue mineral, unique to this site, never seen in Egypt
Living Amazigh	Blue-black facial marks, among them Heitelfut, "the eye of the day"	Present	Blue-black facial marks still worn by Amazigh women, recorded in the Aures in 1935–1937: Heitelfut, "the eye of the day", and the circular ahajam drawn to enclose an affliction (Riviere and Faublee 1989: 67). An eye, in blue, on a living face

The objection will come: "Blue tattoos are just decoration. Egyptian blue is just a pigment. Blue quartzite is just a rock." Yes. Each fact, alone, is just a fact. But when the same people — the same genetic lineage — carry blue-green amazonite across the Sahara as beads, place blue faience in their graves along the corridor, invent synthetic blue upon arrival, mine their own blue centuries later, place blue in the eyes of their dead for millennia, and still draw blue upon their own faces today — what are you seeing? Another coincidence? Or a people who never let go of the color of home?

The Convergence

Two threads. Eye. Blue.
One is geometry. One is color.

But they were never separate. The Wedjat itself fuses them.

Chapter 2 gathered the material threads — elephant and cattle, stone and fiber, cotton and ink. Those threads told the story of movement. What follows is the story of memory.

The Wedjat's pupil — the 1/4 fraction — is the part that *looks*. It is the sense of sight. And what the people who carried this symbol saw, for all the millennia they lived in the green Sahara, was blue. The blue-gray quartzite rings of the Richat Structure, rising from the water, encircling the central mound. The blue of the sky reflected in the pools that formed in the valleys after rain. The blue stone that colored their home.

When they left, they carried the cattle with them. On the Messak they engraved their cattle with eyes marked by a tear and a spiral. At Nabta Playa they buried a cow under stone. At Kerma they kept the cattle at the centre of death, laying crescents of skulls before their kings. And when the symbol took its canonical form in Egypt, it was the Wedjat — the Eye of Horus — an eye marked, like those Messak cattle eyes, with a drop and a spiral.

And how did they render it? In blue faience. The same blue they had carried since the beginning — in the amazonite beads carried across the Sahara, in the faience placed in their graves, in the synthetic blue they invented when the natural source was lost. The Wedjat was not just a symbol drawn in any color. It was drawn in *the* color. The color of home. The color of the gods' gaze. The color their descendants still carry in their eyes today.

Geometry and color. Eye and blue. The Wedjat is where they converge. The pupil sees. What it saw was blue. What it became was both.

And when you look at a Berber woman today — carrying the protective marks her grandmothers carried, the eye of the day drawn on her face, descended from a lineage that has held this ground for ten thousand years — you are not looking at a descendant of Egypt. You are looking at a descendant of the people Egypt came from.

The threads are still there. You just have to choose to see them.

They are the blue threads that bind.

Chapter 7: Egypt — The Destination

Every line of evidence in this document points here. The genetics, the cattle, the symbols, the pathogens, the crops—they all arrive in Egypt at the same time. And when they arrive, two things appear that Egypt had never seen before: the Eye of Horus, and the memory of the First Time.

Arrival at Hierakonpolis

The final stop is Hierakonpolis (ancient Nekhen), the seat of the Shemsu Hor—the Followers of Horus—in the Egyptian textual record.

Around 3700 BCE—exactly the moment when climate models predict Saharan refugees would arrive—a shift in fuel use appears at Hierakonpolis, with herbivore dung identified in the archaeobotanical record (Marinova et al. 2013). Dung fuel is a behavioral marker: it is not a symbol that can be borrowed, but a daily practice learned in childhood and carried through life. Its appearance at Hierakonpolis is consistent with people who grew up in a landscape where dung was the logical fuel choice—people from the drying Sahara—though wood scarcity is an alternative explanation that cannot be excluded on present evidence. The adoption of dung fuel is broadly contemporaneous with other Saharan-affiliated cultural markers at Hierakonpolis: cattle burials comparable to those at Qustul, ceramic traditions with Saharan affinities, and the first evidence of social complexity that would lead to Egyptian state formation. Experimental archaeology has demonstrated that dung fuel sustains stable, prolonged heat suitable for cooking in Egyptian and Nubian contexts (Budka et al. 2019). On the identification of archaeological dung to species, and the methodological cautions involved, see Linseele et al. (2013).

The elite cemetery HK6 contains exotic animal burials—elephants, aurochs, baboons, hippopotamuses, leopards, cats—demonstrating that the elite were importing and maintaining animals from well outside the Nile Valley (Van Neer et al. 2004, 2014; Van Neer, De Cupere & Friedman 2024). Comparable cattle burials and grave forms occur at Qustul and at HK6, a shared mortuary tradition spanning both regions (Williams 1986; Friedman 2008); the parallels are close but not exact, and Friedman has since cautioned that some once-cited Qustul–Hierakonpolis correspondences are less compelling than they first appeared. No strontium or oxygen isotope study of Predynastic Hierakonpolis human remains has been published; this remains a proposed, unperformed test rather than an established finding. The earliest livestock horn modification in the world—six large, castrated

male sheep with horns intentionally redirected upward—appears at HK6, dated to approximately 3700 BCE (Van Neer, De Cupere & Friedman 2024).

The Bat cow goddess emblem, the earliest attestation of this cattle-related deity in Egypt, appears at Hierakonpolis (Tomb 16, Naqada IC–IIA) (Hendrickx 2002). The ceremonial center at HK29A was in continuous use from Naqada IIA through Dynasty 1—approximately 500 years, directly overlapping the HK6 elite cemetery period (Friedman 1996). The last king of the 2nd Dynasty, Khasekhemwy (c. 2690 BCE), built a monumental mud-brick enclosure at Hierakonpolis described as a direct ancestor of the great stone pyramid complexes. The corridor also passed through the Eastern Desert: the Atbai Enclosure Burials preserve 280 circular stone tombs, with cattle remains confirmed in the excavated examples, radiocarbon-dated to approximately 4500–2500 BCE—explicitly described as "a local manifestation of common Saharan prehistoric burial practice" (Cooper et al. 2026).

Hierakonpolis is where the corridor ends—and where Egypt begins.

The Nuwayrat Genome: Direct Genetic Support

The symbolic and cultural arguments require one thing to be true: that Saharan people were actually present in Egypt by the Old Kingdom. In 2025, a genome answered that question directly.

The 2025 Old Kingdom Nuwayrat genome (~2855–2570 BCE) shows ancestry modeled as 77.6% ± 3.8% Middle Neolithic Moroccan (Morocco_MN, Skhirat-Rouazi population), with the remainder Neolithic Mesopotamian (Morez Jacobs et al. 2025). This confirms that a significant North African Neolithic genetic component, specifically modeled to Morocco rather than a broader "Saharan" category, was present in Egypt by the Old Kingdom—exactly when the hypothesis predicts descendants of migrants had been integrated for over a thousand years. The genetic thread from Taforalt through Takarkori to Old Kingdom Egypt is now partially confirmed. The missing link remains A-Group aDNA.

Egypt Before the Eye

To understand what the Eye of Horus represents, we must first establish what Egypt had—and conspicuously did not have—in the millennia before it appeared. Before the later Old Kingdom, Egypt had many symbols: animal-shaped palettes, figurative carvings, geometric designs on pottery. But it did not have the Eye of Horus.

In Naqada I (4000–3500 BCE), cosmetic palettes show simple geometric shapes with no eye motifs. In Naqada II (3500–3200 BCE), animal shapes become common on palettes and pottery, but no abstract eye appears. In Naqada III and Dynasty 0 (3200–3100 BCE), ceremonial palettes feature the "serpopard" mixing circle—functional, not symbolic. In Dynasty 1 (3100–2900 BCE), spiral patterns appear on ivory objects and labels, but no Wedjat. The closest precursor is the circular mixing depression on the Oxford and Manshiyat Ezzat palettes, formed by the entwined necks of two serpopards. This circle is functional—it holds eye paint. There is no evidence that the circle itself was considered sacred, protective, or mythological.

What Appears

In the later Old Kingdom, after c. 2450 BCE, something enters the Egyptian record that was not there before. It does not develop gradually. It does not appear first in one place and spread. It arrives complete—with its geometry fixed, its mythology intact, and its mathematical fractions already assigned.

The Eye of Horus appears simultaneously in multiple contexts: in the Pyramid Texts of Unas (c. 2375–2345 BCE) with a full mythological framework of the Horus-Seth conflict and restoration by Thoth; in Fourth Dynasty funerary iconography as a protective amulet on mummies; in Fourth Dynasty royal iconography as a symbol of royal power and protection; and in Old Kingdom mathematics as the binary fraction system of 1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32, 1/64, summing to 63/64.

The Pyramid Texts do not explain the Eye. They assume it. The myth is already complete. The symbolism is already fixed. The fractions are already attached.

The Fractions

The Eye of Horus is not only a symbol. It is a mathematical system. Each of its six anatomical elements carries a fraction, and those fractions encode something that no mythological symbol should need—a deliberate, structured incompleteness.

The right side of the eye carries 1/2 and is associated with smell. The pupil carries 1/4 and is associated with sight. The eyebrow carries 1/8 and is associated with thought. The left side of the eye carries 1/16 and is associated with hearing. The curved tail carries 1/32 and is associated with taste. The teardrop carries 1/64 and is associated with touch. The sum is 63/64.

The missing 1/64 represents the piece of the Eye that was not recovered after Horus's injury—the part restored by Thoth through magic and healing. The concept that healing restores what was broken, but never perfectly. That wholeness is an ideal approached but never fully attained. Like a memory. Like a homeland.

A symbol that becomes canonical already carrying a complete mythology does not arise from nothing. Something preceded it. The timing supports this: Caldwell's proposed refugee displacement (4000–3700 BCE), Hierakonpolis elephant burials (3800–3600 BCE), the A-Group emergence (3700 BCE), A-Group cattle burials and royal iconography at Qustul (Naqada IIIA–B, c. 3300–3100 BCE), the dung fuel shift at Hierakonpolis (3700 BCE), the late Predynastic Wadi Magar elephant standard, the Libyan Palette at 3300 BCE, Egyptian blue appearing at Hierakonpolis (3250 BCE), Egyptian military campaigns against Nubia (3100–2800 BCE), and Kerma laying crescents of cattle skulls before royal tombs (2500–1500 BCE). Then the Eye of Horus is drawn: not before the Third Dynasty (Sherkova 2023), and as an amulet from the later Old Kingdom (Andrews 1994).

Zep Tepi: The Place Called Home

The Pyramid Texts, Spell 600 (pyramids of Merenre and Pepi II; Allen 2015: 265):

> *"Atum Beetle! You became high, as the hill; you rose as the benben in the Benben Compound in Heliopolis. You sneezed Shu and spat Tefnut. You put your arms around them as ka-arms so that your ka might be in them."*

The Coffin Texts, Spell 75 (Middle Kingdom, c. 2055–1650 BCE), in Faulkner's translation:

> *"Nu saw me when I came into being, and I know his name, I know the place where I came into being, but he did not see me come into being with his own sight." The same spell speaks of "the people who are in the Island of Fire" (Faulkner 1973: 72–73; de Buck, CT I–II).*

They arrive together. When the Pyramid Texts are first carved, the Eye of Horus is already mythologically complete. The texts do not introduce it. They assume it. Egyptian symbols that originated locally—the djed pillar, the ankh, the crook and flail—show iterative development before

reaching canonical shape. This is not a claim that eye symbolism was absent in Predynastic Egypt. Simple eye motifs are well attested in Predynastic material from Hierakonpolis and Naqada. Rather, the claim concerns the fully developed Wedjat as a composite system—including its standardized geometry, associated fractional schema, and mythological integration with the Horus-Set narrative. These elements are not attested together in early Predynastic contexts. Extensive surveys of Predynastic Nile Valley material have not yet identified clear transitional forms leading to this complete system. What the Nile Valley does show is its ritual ground: green malachite eye-paint and a bull's foreleg offered in Predynastic burials, from which, Sherkova (2023) argues, the myth of the Eye took shape by the Protodynastic period. What the Sahara shows is its two distinctive markings: the tear and the spiral on the eyes of Messak cattle (van Albada and van Albada 2000: 69). The offering ritual in which the Eye is first named, and its eye paint already offered at Giza in Khufu's reign, are set out in Corridor I (Levy 2026a). While this absence cannot be taken as proof that such stages did not exist, its persistence despite substantial archaeological investigation remains notable. This is exactly what migration predicts: a symbol already standardized through long use at its place of origin would arrive without a local developmental sequence. The absence of transitional forms in the Nile Valley is not a weakness of the migration model. It is a prediction of it.

In the same texts, in the same window, the moment of creation appears. The Pyramid Texts speak of beings "born in Nu when the sky had not yet come into being, when the earth had not yet come into being" (PT 486; Allen 2015: 139). They do not explain that moment or say where it happened. They treat it as something the reader already knows; its name, the First Occasion, is attested in the Edfu temple texts (Reymond 1960: 14, 54). Two concepts. Same moment. Both fully formed. Both unexplained. Both treated as memory.

Two Channels, One Transmission

The Eye of Horus is a memory map. It encodes the origin place as geometry. This series reads the Richat's rings into the symbol; that reading is interpretive. The astronomical orientations—Canopus to the south, Spica at the zenith, Procyon rising east, the circumpolar north—are encoded in its six elements, aligned to a specific latitude, at a specific epoch. It is not a portrait of a star. It is a compass built around a place. You do not need to understand it to carry it. You draw it on every tomb, every amulet, every wall. The information survives in the shape.

Zep Tepi is a memory narrative. It encodes the same place as a story, though a narrower one than is sometimes claimed. What the surviving texts actually support is this: a High Hill rising from the primeval waters (PT 600; Allen 2015: 265), at the moment "when the sky had not yet come into being" (PT 486; Allen 2015: 139). The texts do not specify a shape, a color, or a cardinal direction — claims to that effect are not attested in Utterance 600, Utterance 587, or Coffin Texts Spell 75, and are not made here. You do not need to know it is a real place to tell it. You tell it as cosmology, as theology, as the story of how the world began. The information survives in the telling.

The Eye encodes what the place looked like from the sky. Zep Tepi encodes what it looked like on the ground. Two channels. One origin.

Read them together. The Eye says: concentric rings, a central point, a southern anchor star, a geometry that only resolves at a specific latitude in the southern Sahara. Zep Tepi says: a high hill rising from the primeval waters, the place and moment of the First Time. Neither text specifies a shape, a direction, or a color for this place — those details are not in the texts and are not claimed here. What the two channels share is elevation and emergence from water, held in common between

a physical landscape and a textual tradition — and there is a real place that satisfies both descriptions on those two specific points.

That place is already in this document. It is in the west. It rises from what was once water. It is encircled by concentric rings of stone. Its geometry encodes the astronomy of a specific latitude. And seven thousand years ago, it was full of people—people whose bones, DNA, cattle, symbols, languages, and pathogens have been traced, step by step, to the Nile.

What the Hypothesis Is Saying

Not that the Egyptians of 2600 BCE consciously remembered a place called the Richat Structure. Not that priests sat down and drew a map. But that a people who lived in that place for thousands of years, who were displaced when the green world turned to sand, who walked northeast across three millennia—that people encoded their homeland twice, by two different methods, through two different transmission channels, and both arrived intact.

One was a symbol to be drawn on every tomb. The other was a story to be told about the beginning of the world. The Eye did not need to be understood to be preserved. Zep Tepi did not need to be believed to be remembered. Both survived because they were made to survive—carried in ritual, drawn in pigment, spoken in prayer—across the distance from a green Sahara to the banks of the Nile. The missing 1/64 is the journey itself. That is the piece Thoth could not restore by calculation. That is the piece only the traveler can complete. They were not describing a myth. They were describing a memory.

How Could a Memory Survive ~5500 Years?

How could a memory survive ~5500 years? The question is legitimate: how does a memory survive that long without writing, without maps, without any of the tools modern civilization uses to preserve information?

Aboriginal Australian oral traditions preserve accurate post-glacial coastal geography across more than 10,000 years, confirmed by sea level data (Nunn & Reid 2016). Tasmanian traditions independently preserve memories of both the flooding of the Bassian Land Bridge and the position of Canopus near the South Celestial Pole, each dated to approximately 12,000 years ago using bathymetric and astronomical methods (Hamacher et al. 2023). The longevity of oral tradition across ten millennia and more is not speculative — it is documented and independently verified.

The parallel does not prove the transmission occurred; it demonstrates that the timescale is not a barrier. The content of what was preserved, if anything, would be confirmed or refuted by the A-Group aDNA and Richat excavation predictions detailed in Chapter 9."

The harder question is whether a specific geographic structure can survive across such a span. What follows is speculative and is offered with the same caveat as the astronomical analysis later in this chapter: it is an interpretative thread, not freestanding evidence. Panel West 1 of the astronomical ceiling of the pronaos at Dendera depicts a fixed, named sequence of fourteen deities (Iunit, Tenenet, Montou, Osiris and others) ascending a staircase toward the wedjat eye, with Thoth presiding. The panel is the temple's representation of the lunar month: each of the fourteen steps stands for one day of the moon's waxing, and the reconstruction of the eye is the reconstruction of the dismembered Osiris. Neither the number nor the lunar reading is in question. The order and identity of the deities is documented. What is speculative is the further step of reading that sequence as encoding a specific geographic corridor — one point worth making explicit: the standard Egyptological explanation for

Osiris's epithet "Foremost of the Westerners" (Khenti-Amentiu) is solar symbolism — "the West" as the land of the dead because the sun sets there — a pattern found across many cultures independent of any migration history. That explanation is not displaced by the corridor hypothesis; the two are compatible rather than competing. If a population's own point of origin lay to the west, and that homeland became the place where those who did not make the journey remained, a real westward homeland could plausibly have reinforced an already-existing solar association between the West and the dead — the direction of the setting sun and the direction of the ancestors coinciding. This is offered as a coherent additional layer, not as textual proof; no independent Egyptological source identifies this panel as a geographic memory device, and this reading should be treated as a hypothesis to be tested, not an established finding.

The Wedjat Sky-Compass and the Canopus Visibility Limit

What follows is the most speculative section of this paper. The astronomical analysis is not freestanding evidence; it is one interpretative thread in a hypothesis whose core empirical support rests on the genetic, archaeological, and material culture data presented in earlier chapters. It is included here in full, with independent critique and falsification tests, so that readers can evaluate it on its own terms and within its proper context.

If the Eye of Horus encodes astronomical knowledge from the western Sahara, that knowledge should be testable. This section asks a specific question: when the Wedjat is placed over the Richat Structure at 5500 BCE and its six anatomical elements are tested against the sky, do they resolve into a coherent astronomical system? The answer came from independent calculation—with no predetermined result.

Method and Rationale. Visual recognition: Jimmy Corsetti (Bright Insight), 2018, was the first to use modern satellite imagery to visually overlay the Eye of Horus onto the Richat Structure's concentric rings, northern ridge, and radial wadi. His viral video (September 6, 2018) popularized the geometric correspondence and asked the question this analysis attempts to answer with evidence.

Hypothesis development: What follows is not that overlay, but a test of whether that visual intuition could be supported by astronomy. The question became: if the Wedjat symbol originated at the Richat Structure and was carried northeast along the Fezzan corridor, does its geometry encode astronomical information observable from that location?

This is not a claim of proof. It is a falsifiable hypothesis generated by a specific methodology and subjected to independent critique by two AI systems with different default orientations—one constructive, one adversarial. Both converged on the same conclusions. Those conclusions are presented here.

Stellar analysis. The astronomical test that follows—placing the Wedjat over the Richat Structure in Egyptian south-up orientation and calculating its six elements against the sky at 5500 BCE—is the author's original contribution. The question, the method, and the result were developed independently, with no predetermined outcome.

Why stellar rather than geographic orientation: Ancient peoples navigated by stars, not cardinal directions. The inhabitants of the Richat region during the Green Sahara peak (6500–4500 BCE) lived under near-perfect observational conditions: no light pollution, extremely low humidity, 365 nights of largely unobstructed sky. Tracking stars was not mysticism but practical survival—knowing when to

move herds, when rains arrived, when drought was coming. Any knowledge system encoded for transmission would most naturally use stars, not compass bearings.

Why Egyptian south-up orientation: Egyptian geographic maps and cosmological diagrams consistently placed south at the top, corresponding to how ancient Egyptians oriented themselves — facing south, with west to their right and east to their left. This orientation is independently documented in mainstream Egyptology, including analysis of the Egyptian "Map of the Cosmos" (a Ptolemaic-period cosmological diagram; parallel version on a Thirtieth Dynasty sarcophagus, Metropolitan Museum of Art, MMA 14.7.1B) by Colleen Manassa (Yale University). The south-up convention is not applied here to produce a desired result—it is the documented convention of the culture that produced the symbol. The stellar alignments below are the output of applying this convention, not the input.

Why 5500 BCE: This is the documented occupation peak of the Green Sahara at Takarkori and surrounding Fezzan sites, confirmed by Salem et al. (2025) and the Mercuri et al. (2007) climate sequence. The epoch was fixed before calculations were run.

Precession and visibility note: Stellar declinations at 5500 BCE were calculated with the Vondrák et al. (2011) long-term precession model, with proper motion applied, by the single script zep_tepi_calculations.py (Part 1) deposited with the series, which also reproduces the detectability calculation under Schaefer (1998). For bright stars (Canopus, Spica, Regulus, Procyon), proper motion over 7,500 years is minor—approximately arcminutes—and does not materially affect results. However, claims of precise horizon visibility must account for atmospheric refraction (~0.5° near the horizon) and local topography uncertainty of ±1–2°. All altitude figures are therefore presented as approximations under ideal conditions, not guaranteed daily observations; the dimming of the star by dust and air mass is treated separately, as apparent magnitude in clean, moderately dusty and heavily dusty air, as in From Nun to the Stars. Azimuth windows reflect both precession calculations and observational tolerances, not precise alignment targets.

The Wedjat in South-Up Orientation: A Sky Compass

The Wedjat has six anatomical elements. Each carries a documented mathematical fraction. Each points in a direction. When the symbol is placed over Richat in south-up orientation, those six directions resolve into a complete sky orientation schema—not a portrait of individual stars, but a compass.

In Egyptian south-up convention, the Wedjat's six anatomical elements point as follows:

Element	Direction (S-up)	Azimuth	Fraction	Sense	Sky Zone / Star Alignment
Brow	South	150–210°	1/8	Thought	Deep southern sky: **Canopus** (Star of Osiris)
Pupil	Zenith	Overhead	1/4	Sight	Overhead passage: **Spica** (Isis) + **Regulus** (royal)
Inner Corner	East (nose side)	70–110°	1/2	Smell	Rising horizon: **Procyon** (Forerunner of Sirius)
Outer Corner	West (temple side)	250–290°	1/16	Hearing	Setting horizon: No specific alignment claimed
Tail/Spiral	West-southwest	240–300°	1/32	Taste	Extended western arc: No specific alignment claimed
Teardrop	North	340–030°	1/64	Touch	Circumpolar region: **Vega** (memory) / **Thuban** (anchor)

Five directional zones of the sky—south, zenith, east, west, north—are covered by six elements. This is not a star map that precession can invalidate. Directions are fixed. A system built around sky regions rather than individual stars would survive thousands of years unchanged. That structural stability is itself worth noting.

The Six Alignments

Brow (1/8 — Thought — South). Canopus rises at 161.7° and sets at 198.3° from Richat at 5500 BCE—both within the brow's southern azimuth window (150–210°). This is the only Wedjat element where both the rising and setting points of a single astronomically significant star align simultaneously. From Richat, Canopus reaches a maximum altitude of approximately 6–7° under ideal conditions—barely clearing the southern horizon, always low, demanding deliberate observation.

The Egyptian identity of Canopus is established by Allen's *Star Names: Their Lore and Meaning* (1889): "as the constellation [Argo Navis] was associated on the Nile with the great god Osiris, so its great star became the Star of Osiris." Lockyer (The Dawn of Astronomy, 1894) proposed Canopus orientations at Edfu, Philae, Amada and Semneh with dates reaching back to 6400 BCE, and at Karnak and the Temple of Khons at Thebes. Modern measurements corroborate Philae (the Isis temple, −53.5°) and the Khonsu temple at Karnak (−52.7°), two of thirteen temples within 1.1° of Canopus's declination at their dates, but not his early dates (Edfu, for example, was built in the Ptolemaic period and lies at −65.5°) (Shaltout and Belmonte 2005; Shaltout, Belmonte and Fekri 2007). The survey authors read the main peak as pointing mainly to the Late Period (Belmonte, Shaltout and Fekri 2008). The brow of the Wedjat—the highest element, associated with thought and with 1/8—points directly to the rising and setting of the Star of Osiris.

Pupil (1/4 — Sight — Zenith). The pupil is what the eye actually looks at. In a south-up-oriented symbol, the pupil's natural direction is the zenith—straight up. From Richat (21.1°N) at 5500 BCE, the stars that transit directly overhead have declination near +21°. Two align: Spica (dec +21.9°) and Regulus (dec +17.1°). The Hathor-Isis-Spica association is established in Egyptian astronomical texts and confirmed by Egyptological literature (Faulkner 1969; Belmonte & Shaltout 2009). The zenith passage is confirmed by precession calculation: Spica's declination at 5500 BCE is approximately +21.9°, within 0.8° of Richat's latitude of 21.1°N — consistent with near-overhead passage under the south-up orientation schema. Regulus as the royal star—Heart of the Lion, associated with kingship throughout the ancient Near East—is uncontested in archaeoastronomical literature. The pupil of the Wedjat, placed over Richat, looks straight up at Isis and the royal star.

Inner Corner (1/2 — Smell — East). Procyon rises at 101.2° from Richat—within the inner corner's eastern azimuth window (70–110°). Procyon is the documented Forerunner of Sirius, rising approximately 40 minutes before Sirius every night. The inner corner is the nose-side element: smell is the first sense to detect an approach. The largest fraction (1/2) is assigned to it. The east-facing element associated with first-awareness points to the star that literally precedes the most sacred star in Egyptian astronomy. This is noted as a coherent structural parallel. No ancient text directly names this connection, so it is presented as an observation, not a claim.

Tail/Spiral and Outer Corner (1/32, 1/16 — West). Multiple bright stars set in the western directions covered by these elements. This is geometrically expected—many stars set in the west. No specific alignment is claimed. These elements are noted as unanchored. Angular fraction correspondences between star pairs in this region are suggestive but remain statistically untested and should not be interpreted as definitive evidence.

Teardrop (1/64 — Touch — North). The Wedjat fractions sum to 63/64. The missing 1/64—the remainder that Egyptian tradition attributes to Thoth's magic, the portion that cannot be recovered by calculation alone—is assigned to the teardrop (Möller 1911; confirmed across Egyptological literature). The teardrop is the smallest fraction. It is assigned the sense of touch—the most intimate sense, the one that requires actual presence. In the directional schema, the teardrop points north.

North, from the latitude of Richat, is the circumpolar sky—the region of the *ikhemu-sek*, the Egyptian "Imperishable Stars," those that never set, never die, and circle the pole through all seasons. The stars that were always there. Across the humid period, two significant stars held this position. Vega (Alpha Lyrae) was circumpolar from Richat from about 15,000 to 10,000 BCE, which overlaps the first two to three millennia of the humid period (from about 12,850 BCE); around 12,000 BCE it was the pole star itself. The Victorian astronomer Richard Hinckley Allen, in *Star Names* (1889), records a claim by the comparativist J.F. Hewitt that Vega was known in Egypt as Ma'at, the Vulture-star, during its tenure as pole star—a 19th-century scholarly attribution, noted here as an observation, not as evidence. During the mid-Holocene humid period (about 6,000–5,500 BCE), Thuban (Alpha Draconis) was circumpolar from Richat—always in the north, never setting—though still some 15–18° from the celestial pole; it reached its closest approach to the pole, within 0.1°, around 2797 BCE (computed with the long-term precession model of Vondrák, Capitaine & Wallace 2011). Thuban is independently documented in Egyptology as the star the pyramid builders used to orient the north shaft of the King's Chamber at Giza (Spence 2000; and the meridional transit model).

The missing mathematical fraction points at the sky region that was never missing. During the migration epoch, the teardrop's direction pointed toward the star (Thuban) that would later anchor the destination civilization's most sacred structure. Whether this is coincidental or structurally meaningful cannot be determined from available evidence, but the correspondence between the mathematically missing fraction, the sense of touch, and the astronomically "imperishable" region is worth noting. The Wedjat gives you origin, anchor, zenith, herald, and memory. The one thing it does not give you is the journey itself. That piece—1/64, the teardrop, Thoth's portion—is the part only the traveler can complete.

The Canopus Visibility Limit

This finding requires no symbolic interpretation. It is pure latitude geometry.

Canopus has a declination of approximately −62.3° at 5500 BCE. It is visible from any location where the observer's latitude is less than (90° − 62.3°) = **27.7°N**. Above 27.7°N at this epoch, Canopus was below the horizon regardless of season or time of night. This visibility limit is not static: precession moves Canopus northward over time, so the latitude at which it becomes permanently invisible also moves north. At 5500 BCE the limit is 27.7°N; by 2570 BCE it has shifted to approximately 34.5°N.

Site	Latitude	Max altitude of Canopus (5500 BCE)	Status
Richat Structure	21.1°N	~6–7°	Visible
Nabta Playa	22.5°N	~5.2°	Visible
Elephantine / Aswan	24.1°N	~3.6°	Visible
Hierakonpolis	25.1°N	~2.6°	Visible — marginal
Near Luxor / Thebes	25.7°N	~2.0°	Visible
Canopus visibility horizon	**27.7°N**	**0°**	**Below horizon at this epoch**
Giza / Cairo	30.0°N	−2.3° (below horizon)	Below horizon at this epoch

As populations moved northeast along the Fezzan corridor from ~21°N (Richat) to ~30°N (Giza), the Star of Osiris stood lower with each degree of latitude gained at any one date, though precession lifted it through the centuries of the journey. At 5500 BCE, the star was already below the horizon at Giza's latitude; across the corridor occupation window (5500–3100 BCE), precession gradually lifted Canopus northward, so the latitude of permanent invisibility moved from 27.7°N to approximately 33.5°N, passing Giza's latitude (30°N) about 4630 BCE. By pyramid-building time (~2570 BCE) the star had risen to roughly 4.5° altitude at Giza: visible but marginal, low on the southern horizon, and subject to haze and obstruction. This lowering with latitude is a direct consequence of the northward component of the migration—eastward travel has no effect on Canopus visibility, which is purely latitude-dependent.

The builders of the Giza pyramid complex oriented their theology around Osiris. They built the King's Chamber south shaft at 45° pointing to Orion's Belt—the constellation of Osiris. They encoded Osiris throughout their Pyramid Texts and funerary rites. Yet from Giza (30°N) at ~2570 BCE, the Star of Osiris was only ~4.5° above the horizon at culmination—low, marginal, and frequently obscured by haze and terrain. A star barely clinging to the southern horizon at the place where the theology was monumentalized in stone. Their ancestors in the corridor had seen it at 7–9° around 4400–4050 BCE (Gilf Kebir, Nabta), and their predecessors at Hierakonpolis at about 7° around 3700 BCE. At Giza it stood at 4.5° and, in dusty air, had nearly been lost.

The conventional explanation is that they inherited the theology from Upper Egypt, where earlier cultures developed it. That explanation is not wrong. But it defers the question rather than answering it. Where did Upper Egyptian Osirian theology originate? The corridor populations at Hierakonpolis, Elephantine, and Nabta Playa were the interface populations—people who could still see the star their theology was built around, just barely, and who were moving toward a world where it would be effectively lost as a usable reference.

The Osiris myth, in its core structure, is about a god who descends, dies, and must be restored. Canopus stands lower at each step northeast along the corridor at any one date—not because of the eastward component, which has no effect on visibility, but because of the northward component, which raises the observer's latitude and pushes the star toward the horizon. At 5500 BCE it clings to the horizon by Thebes (2.0°); at Giza it is absent then and marginal later (4.5° at 2570 BCE). At pyramid-building time it could be observed reliably only in clear air (apparent magnitude 2.1 in clean air, 3.2 in moderately dusty air, 4.9 in heavy dust). Thoth must perform magic to make the eye whole—and the missing 1/64 fraction is attributed to Thoth's magic. This structural parallel is presented as an observation. It is not presented as proof of the connection.

Independent Critique and Validation

This analysis was submitted in full to a second AI system with explicit instruction to assume the hypothesis was wrong and find every flaw. The critique identified eleven potential weaknesses. On review, four were judged substantive: precession uncertainty at 7,500 years; the lack of statistical testing for angular fraction matches; the commonness of 45° as an architectural angle; and the chronological gap between the hypothesized epoch (5500 BCE) and the earliest documented Wedjat amulets (later Old Kingdom; Andrews 1994). These are acknowledged throughout this section.

The objection does not, however, apply to the Giza alignment as stated. The King's Chamber south shaft points at 45° toward the meridian transit of Orion's Belt at Giza's latitude (~30°N) at approximately 2500 BCE. The alignment is not to a direction but to a target — a specific constellation,

at a specific latitude, at a specific epoch, identified in the Pyramid Texts as the constellation of Osiris. Any other angle would not have produced this alignment. The commonness of 45° as an abstract angle is not a valid objection to a specific coincidence of angle, target, latitude, and epoch.

Seven objections misfired. Most significantly, the objection that cultural transmission over 2,000 years was "implausible" fails to account for the pathogen corridor, genetic, archaeobotanical, and material culture evidence presented elsewhere in this document. The astronomical argument is not freestanding. It is one thread in a hypothesis with independently corroborated lines of evidence. Both systems converged on the same conclusion: the Canopus visibility limit is the strongest geometric finding, requires no symbolic interpretation, and provides a direct falsifiable archaeological prediction, which the published temple surveys support in part (see The Falsification Test below).

The Falsification Test

If inherited Canopus-related stellar knowledge traveled along the Fezzan corridor from a southern origin population, archaeological evidence of Canopus temple orientations or stellar observances should concentrate at sites south of the contemporaneous visibility threshold—approximately 27.7°N at 5500 BCE, rising with precession to ~33.5°N by 3100 BCE and ~34.5°N by 2570 BCE. Sites north of the contemporaneous threshold (or where Canopus was too low for regular observation) should show decreasing or absent Canopus orientation, replaced by inherited symbolic encoding of a star that could no longer be observed directly.

Result of the test against the published surveys: counting both senses of each axis, as the survey authors do, thirteen temples in the survey tables lie within 1.1° of Canopus's declination at their dates: one in Sudan, eight in Upper Egypt and Lower Nubia, one in Middle Egypt, one in the Delta (Mendes) and two at Siwa, with Tanis borderline (Shaltout and Belmonte 2005; Shaltout, Belmonte and Fekri 2007; Belmonte et al. 2010). The family is present in the south and, at about 6° altitude, at the northern limit of its range; it is not more frequent where the star stood highest (1 of 55 temples in Sudan), and its authors read the main peak as Late Period. The prediction is therefore partly supported: Canopus orientations occur from the far south to the Delta, and none occurs at Giza, where the star stood at 4.5°. It was a prediction testable against existing published archaeoastronomical literature, and it has been tested against it.

The Dendera Staircase

Two distinct features of the Temple of Hathor bear on what follows, and they should not be confused. The first is architectural: the temple contains two staircases leading from the offering hall to the roof, and the western one was used for the New Year festival. On New Year's Day at dawn the statue of Hathor was carried up from the underground crypt, through the body of the temple, up that staircase, to the roof kiosk where the rising sun struck it — the rite called "the union with the disc."

Its walls are carved with the procession that performed that rite: the pharaoh, priests bearing standards, singers and musicians (Qadous, Abdelwahed and Ahmed 2019; El Kordy and El Shal 2006). The fourteen deities discussed below are not on those walls. They are on the astronomical ceiling of the pronaos, where Panel West 1 depicts them climbing a staircase of fourteen steps toward the wedjat eye, Thoth presiding. The staircase there is drawn, not walked: the panel represents the lunar month, each step one day of the moon's waxing, the gods ascending it reassembling the dismembered body of Osiris, whom the moon represents. The procession reads right to left—which in Egyptian south-up orientation is west to east.

This is the scene. Everything that follows is analysis of what is in it.

The 14 Deities and Their Confirmed Positions

Step	Deity	What the primary sources confirm	Corridor alignment	Corridor population (Chapters 1–4)
1 (top)	Montou	Montou-Rê-Horakhty, falcon-headed solar god, "Horus of the Horizon"; Theban in origin (Herbin 1982: 263); god of the first day of the lunar month	The horizon — the akhet, crossed before the Eye is reached	Medjay, Pan-Grave, Eastern Desert nomads
2	Atum	Creator god, head of the Heliopolitan Ennead	Egypt — the established order	Early Predynastic Nile Valley populations
3	Shu	Air, first offspring of Atum	Egypt — the established order	Naqada cultural complex
4	Tefnut	Moisture, twin of Shu	Egypt — the established order	Naqada cultural complex
5	Geb	Earth	The middle corridor	Nabta Playa — eastern Saharan pastoralists
6	Nut	Sky	The middle corridor	Nabta Playa — eastern Saharan pastoralists
7 (midpoint)	Osiris	Pyramid Texts: "Foremost of the Westerners"; predynastic Abydos cult, Pyramid Texts	Nubia — the bridge, the western ancestor, the pivot	A-Group, Kerma, C-Group — the bridge populations
8	Isis	Wife of Osiris, mother of Horus	The inheritance — what Osiris left behind	Post-A-Group Nubian cultural continuity
9	Horus	Son of Osiris, inheritor	The inheritance — the living continuation	Predynastic Upper Egypt
10	Nephthys	Sister of Osiris and Isis	The inheritance	Predynastic Upper Egypt
11	Hathor	Eye of Ra, goddess of Dendera	The inheritance — the Eye already present in Egypt	Predynastic Upper Egypt — Eye symbol carriers
12	Horus of Edfu	Horus Behedety, consort of Hathor; god of Edfu, whose Building Texts record the destruction of the first sacred domain and its re-founding by the builder gods (Reymond 1960: 14–17)	The inheritance — Edfu, the temple to which Dendera's goddess travelled each year in a fifteen-day festival running from new moon to full moon	Unification period populations
13	Tanenet	"Cow of the First Primeval time"; Edfu: Iunit "resembles her mother who created her"	The origin — the primeval western source	Gobero, Fezzan, Tadrart Acacus — source populations
14 (bottom)	Iunit	Personification of Dendera — the city, the temple, the local identity	The present — where the priests stand	Dendera — Egypt, where the memory arrived
Above all	The Eye	Destination of the ritual climb	Home — the origin of the memory	The Richat Structure — the Green Sahara homeland

The corridor alignment and population assignments in this table are the author's interpretation. The positions of Iunit, Tanenet and Osiris follow Cauville's catalogue of the scene (Cauville 2020b) and are visible on the published photograph of the panel (Cauville et al. 2025, pl. p. 36 = D. XV, 29–34). The figure on the summit riser is read from that photograph as a falcon-headed solar god, consistent with

Montou and excluding Min. The order of steps 8–12 awaits collation against the caption text. The assignment of specific corridor populations to the Ennead steps (2–6) is proportional—the five Ennead gods collectively represent the long middle passage of the corridor, from the eastern Saharan populations through the Nubian transition into Egypt. No claim is made that Shu corresponds specifically to one archaeological culture and Tefnut to another. The claim is that the Ennead occupies the middle of the sequence, as the corridor populations occupied the middle of the geography. The anchor points—origin, bridge, gateway, destination—are sourced. The middle is structural.

What Is Not In Dispute

These facts are accepted by all parties: The 14 corresponds to the lunar half-cycle. It is inscribed on the wall. This explains the number. Iunit is Dendera. She is at the bottom because it is her temple. Tanenet has a local cult near Dendera, at Armant. Osiris appears throughout Ptolemaic temples. Montou is a Theban and Hermonthite god. The procession enacts the New Year ritual.

The Egyptologist interpretation accounts for all of these facts. It explains what is there and why each deity has a connection to Dendera.

What Is In Dispute

The Egyptological interpretation accounts for which deities appear at Dendera, but not why they appear in this specific order.

Tanenet's presence is explained by her local cult at nearby Armant—but her position at step 13, directly above Iunit and below the Ennead, has no conventional explanation. Osiris is a standard Ptolemaic temple deity—but his placement at the exact midpoint (step 7 of 14) is not demanded by any known theological hierarchy. Montou has no cult at Dendera at all—and his position at step 1, immediately before the Eye, in Hathor's own temple, lacks precedented justification.

No published Egyptological source explains this specific sequence. The order is acknowledged but not accounted for.

Alternative explanations are possible—cosmological hierarchy, local cult logic, or simple convention—but none explain why this *particular* order of *these particular* deities appears at Dendera and nowhere else among Ptolemaic temples. The corridor model does.

The Test: Is the Sequence Conventional?

The test is direct comparison of the same composition across the temples that carry it. The lunar staircase is a recognised Graeco-Roman temple type, called the Mondtreppe in the German literature: deities ascending a drawn staircase toward the restored wedjat, Thoth presiding, one riser per day of the waxing moon. Altmann-Wendling (2017b: 10; 2019: 234; 2020: 137–145) documents the type and describes the standard illustration of the lunar cycle as comprising thirty or fifteen gods, the fifteen covering the waxing phase alone, each god proclaiming to enter the moon or to fill it with different parts. The caption of every god follows a fixed formula — 'I fill [the eye] with [element] on day [X]' — and the accompanying hymn states that each one of them fills his day, Thoth having counted the eye and equipped it with what it needs. Altmann-Wendling identifies the fourteen at Dendera as the gods of the so-called Theban Ennead (2020: 138), the designation Cauville uses in her catalogue. Herbin had already set out the composition of that group: eight of the fourteen are the Heliopolitan Ennead less Seth, the enemy of the moon — Atum, Shu, Tefnut, Geb, Nut, Osiris, Isis and Horus — while Montou, Hathor of Dendera, Horus of Edfu, Tanenet and Iunit are local or regional deities, Tanenet and

Iunit both belonging to Hermonthis. Thoth, who represents the fifteenth day, is attached to Hermopolis. The whole divides into a Heliopolitan group and a Theban one, which Herbin suggests are the great and little Enneads invoked by Isis in the glorification of Osiris at the moment of the god's entry into the wedjat-eye (1982: 263). The rite itself is named in the Egyptian: mḥ wḏ3t, the filling of the wedjat, and it originates at Heliopolis. Aufrère, surveying the same material, states that the restored eye is filled by fourteen divinities, a number matching both the pieces into which Osiris was divided and the days the moon requires to reach the full, and records that two complete versions of the ritual appear under Ptolemy XIII, at Edfu and at Philae (1997: 133, 135). The panel is late: the sanctuary was founded under Ptolemy XII, the pronaos begun under Tiberius, and its ceiling decorated under Caligula and Claudius. Escolano-Poveda (2022) records it at Edfu, Esna and Philae, either as staircases or as processions of deities, and describes the type as a staircase of fifteen steps of which the lower fourteen carry deities and the topmost carries the eye. The counts agree: fourteen risers and fifteen days are the same structure read two ways. At Dendera the composition occurs three times: on the pronaos ceiling, first western travée (D. XV, 29–34); on the rear wall of the pronaos (D. XV, 367–370); and, uninscribed, in the third Osirian roof chapel on the west side (Altmann-Wendling 2020: 139). Edfu carries a differently designed form on the north wall of its pronaos, where the gods are ranged before the staircase rather than on it, the leading god with one foot on the first step, and only the gods' captions are given. Related scenes of the waxing moon without a staircase, the gods ranged on a level before the disc, occur on the north faces of the pylons at Edfu and Philae, at Deir el-Haggar, and several more times at Dendera.

Edfu: the temple carries the lunar composition in its pronaos. Its New Year staircase is a different feature entirely, organised around priests carrying portable chapels of Horus and Hathor (Corthals 2005; Safina 2022), and is not the comparandum for a ceiling panel. On the lunar composition, Iunit and Tanenet are absent from the two lowest risers.

Philae: the comparable sequence occupies the inner face of the first pylon of the temple of Isis (PM VI, 218), edited by Junker, Philä I, pp. 104–108 with figs. 54–56. Herbin counts fifteen deities there against Dendera's fourteen, and records that the lists differ from one another in the order of the gods, in their nature and in their number (1982: 262–263). Iunit and Tanenet, whom Herbin identifies as the deities of Hermonthis, stand on the bottom two risers at Dendera.

Conclusion: The 14-deity sequence is not a convention. The template existed and was regional — the lunar staircase recurs across Upper Egyptian temples of the period. But the specific gods chosen to fill that template differ by temple, and this is established rather than inferred. Herbin, collating the known examples, records that they show 'a fluctuation in the order of the gods but also in their nature and in their number', counting thirteen at Esna and fifteen at Karnak and at Philae against Dendera's fourteen (1982: 263). The list is a variable, not a formula. At Dendera, someone chose Iunit and Tanenet for the bottom two positions. At Edfu and Philae, different choices were made.

The Dendera sequence is a deliberate local composition, not a received formula.

The Two Directions

The panel's own frame is lunar. Fourteen risers, fourteen days of waxing, the eye restored at the summit: this is stated in the scene and is not in dispute. Cauville titles it la montée lunaire, the lunar ascent. Within that frame the fourteen positions are filled by named deities, and it is the choice and order of those names, not the staircase itself, that this paper reads. What follows is an overlay on a lunar structure, not a substitute for it. On that reading the panel and the building run in opposite directions.

The panel — west to east. The sequence is carved reading right to left. In Egyptian south-up orientation, right to left is west to east. Read from the base upward, the names run from Iunit and Tanenet at the foot, through the inheritors, through Osiris at the exact midpoint, through the Heliopolitan gods, to Montou on the summit riser and the restored eye above him. On the reading advanced here that order is the order of the migration—Sahara to Egypt—with the western ancestor at the pivot. The direction itself is independently meaningful: Altmann-Wendling (2020: 138) observes that the west-to-east progression of the strip corresponds to the moon's own retrograde motion against the fixed stars. That is an astronomical rationale for why the sequence runs the way it does, and it is not in competition with the reading offered here, which concerns which deities were chosen to fill the positions rather than why the file advances westward. The two explanations are compatible, and the astronomical one establishes that the direction was deliberate rather than incidental. A second feature of the arrangement bears directly on the reading advanced here. Herbin establishes that the step numbers are lunar days: Montou is the god of the first day of the lunar month, Atum of the second, Shu of the third, Tefnut of the fourth, and Thoth, who presides rather than standing on a riser, of the fifteenth (1982: 263–264). Altmann-Wendling notes that the scene shows the completed state, in which the first god has already reached the topmost step (2020: 142). The staircase is therefore ordered by arrival rather than read as a timeline from the foot: day one stands at the summit, day fourteen at the base, and the restored eye above them is the fifteenth. It follows that ascending the drawn stair moves backward through the lunar month, from the fourteenth day toward the first. That is not an objection to the reading offered here but its mechanism. The climb toward the restored eye is a movement back toward the beginning, and the return it describes is a return in time as well as in space.

The building — east to west. Elsewhere in the same temple the same festival is enacted rather than depicted. On New Year's Day the statue of Hathor was carried from the crypt, up the western staircase, to the roof kiosk, to meet the rising sun. That ascent runs from the present place toward the origin.

For the ceiling panel no claim is made that the priests read it as they passed beneath it: at 27.5 metres the captions were unreadable from the floor, and the scene functioned by its presence in the building rather than by being read (Altmann-Wendling 2020: 140). For the second Dendera lunar staircase the relationship is not a supposition. That composition is mounted on the rear wall of the pronaos beside the real staircase leading to the highest temple roof, producing what Altmann-Wendling calls a three-dimensional construction with a performative character, in which the ascent of the priests joined mythic and physical space (2020: 142 n. 10; cf. 2017a). She argues on the same grounds that rituals were performed at full moon on the stairways leading to the roof at several temples. The depicted ascent and the walked ascent were therefore built to be read against each other, at least in that instance. What they share is a direction, a festival and a building—and, on the hypothesis advanced here, a memory of the same journey, once recorded as a sequence of names and once walked.

The Hypothesis

The sequence of deities on Dendera Panel West 1 corresponds to the geographic sequence of populations along the corridor from the western Sahara to Egypt, as documented across independent fields of evidence in this document.

Staircase position	Deity	Geographic correspondence
14 (bottom)	Iunit	Dendera — Egypt — where the priests stand

Staircase position	Deity	Geographic correspondence
13	Tanenet	"First Primeval time" — the western origin
12–8	Horus of Edfu, Hathor, Nephthys, Horus, Isis	The inheritors — what the western ancestor left behind, standing between Dendera and the pivot
7 (midpoint)	Osiris	"Foremost of the Westerners" — Nubia, the bridge
6–2	Nut, Geb, Tefnut, Shu, Atum	The Heliopolitan gods in reverse generative order — above the pivot the climb runs back through the generations toward the creator
1 (top)	Montou	Thebes — the horizon threshold before the Eye
Above all	The Eye	The destination of the ritual — and the origin of the memory

The Honest Statement

Unlike the genetic and archaeological evidence presented in Chapters 1–5, the Dendera interpretation is a theoretical model derived from textual and architectural analysis. It stands or falls on its explanatory power, not on direct empirical verification.

The lunar staircase is a known Graeco-Roman temple composition, attested at Edfu, Esna and Philae as well as Dendera. What is singular is not the composition but its Dendera occupants: the deity sequence is a deliberate local choice within a regional type. The positions of Iunit, Tanenet, Osiris and Montou are not explained by convention, hierarchy, or standard theology. The only explanation currently proposed here that accounts for the specific order of the specific gods at the specific positions is that the sequence encodes the geographic corridor from the western Sahara to Egypt—the same corridor this document has traced across independent fields of evidence.

This is not a claim of proof. It is a testable prediction: if A-Group aDNA matches Takarkori, the panel's order becomes considerably harder to dismiss. Future detailed philological study of Dendera theology may yield alternative explanations, and that work would be welcomed: either a stronger conventional account would sharpen the challenge to this model, or the absence of one would strengthen it.

The wall encodes the migration. The climb enacts the return. Both directions are present simultaneously in the same structure. That is the architecture. What the priests understood about that architecture is not recoverable. What they built is.

Chapter 8: The Accumulation

The trail ends here. Not with proof—proof requires the tests in Chapter 9—but with everything laid side by side. What follows is the full evidence in four forms: a single chronological synthesis, the pattern those facts form across disciplines, and an honest assessment of what competing models can and cannot explain.

The Corridor Evidence: A Chronological Synthesis

The table below presents every fact documented in this paper in chronological order. Each row is a peer-reviewed finding. No commentary. No interpretation. Just the sequence.

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
~2 million years ago	Richat Structure visited	Acheulean & pre-Acheulean tools in Holocene sediments — long-term

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
		human presence at the proposed origin landscape
~23,050–21,050 BCE	Iberomaurusian appears	Taforalt & other early sites — founding population of the lineage that persists for 20,000+ years
~13,150–11,950 BCE	Taforalt (Morocco)	One of the oldest well-preserved North African genomes (U6a, M1b lineages) — dual Near Eastern + sub-Saharan ancestry already present
~13,050–9,050 BCE	Afalou (Algeria)	Mitochondrial DNA of seven individuals not significantly different from Taforalt (Kefi et al. 2018); the one Afalou genome fits 100% “Maghrebi” ancestry, as Taforalt does (Lipson et al. 2025) — Iberomaurusians were widespread
~12,850–3,550 BCE	African Humid Period (Green Sahara)	Grasslands, rivers, lakes across the region — corridor fully habitable for nearly 10,000 years
~16,300–6,560 BCE (calibrated)	Richat outer-ring wadis	Snail shells and calcite cements in flood-laid sediments (Giresse et al. 2012) — the homeland had flood water during the Green Sahara
~13,050–4,050 BCE	Eastern Maghreb (Tunisia/Algeria)	Nine individuals from Algeria and Tunisia show high continuity of local forager ancestry from the Iberomaurusian through the Neolithic, with small contributions from European hunter-gatherers (about 3–6% at Djebba), European farmers (up to about 20%) and Levantine groups (up to about 24% at one site) (Lipson et al. 2025) — continuity with admixture, not absence of it
~8,050–4,050 BCE	Capsian culture (archaeological)	Mechta-Afalou skeletal type (Chamla 1968; for the Fezzan series, Sergi 1951), rock art, snail-shell middens — cultural expression of the same population
~12,050 BCE	Uan Tabu (Libya Acacus)	Aterian → Early Acacus sequence — Fezzan corridor occupied for millennia before Takarkori
~10,050 BCE	Back-migration into North Africa	Indigenous Maghrebi lineage established — genetic foundation of Amazigh populations
~10,000–3,000 BCE	Jbel Bani rock art (Morocco)	Progression from elephants (hunter) → cattle (pastoralist) → warriors — missing Moroccan link between Taforalt and central Saharan rock

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
		art traditions
~8,800–1,600 BCE	Fezzan climate sequence	Mercuri et al. 2007 pollen record — high-resolution corridor climate
~5,500–4,600 BCE	Green Sahara peak (Fezzan)	Wetter and warmer phase (5500–4600 BC) — optimal pastoral conditions
~6,600–5,000 BCE	Farafra Oasis (Western Desert)	Neolithic with goat herding, sorghum gathering, ritual cave — missing Western Desert node between Fezzan corridor and Nile
c. 8,220–3,650 BCE	Takarkori basketry	Coiled/twined fiber technology with S-twist elements — early Saharan textile tradition established
~5,550–3,450 BCE	Nabta Playa (Egyptian Sahara)	Megalithic alignments, cattle burials, solstice alignment, dung fuel use — complex ritual before Egypt
~4,600–3,800 BCE (hide, 5405 ± 180 BP, calibrated)	Uan Muhuggiag mummy (Libya)	Evisceration and organ removal, body wrapped in hide — contemporary with, not a millennium older than, the earliest embalming agents on linen at Mostagedda in Egypt (c. 4500–3350 BCE; Jones et al. 2014)
~3,500 BCE	Uan Muhuggiag rock art	Dog-headed figures at same site — Anubis-like imagery
~5,300 BCE	Sahara begins drying	Kuper & Kröpelin radiocarbon compilation from 150 sites — major exodus window begins
~5,200–3,800 BCE	Takarkori dairying	Earliest African dairy residues (17.2 mg/g lipid concentrations) — Saharan pastoralists intensively processing milk
~5,050 BCE	Takarkori genome (Libya Fezzan)	Direct descendant of Taforalt lineage — genetic chain Morocco → Libya confirmed
~6,050 BCE (about 8,000 cal BP)	Pantelleria obsidian in Tunisia	European hunter-gatherer ancestry at Djebba (about 8,000 BP) and Pantellerian obsidian in the eastern Maghreb from about 8,000 cal BP — seafaring across the Strait of Sicily (Lipson et al. 2025)
~5,200–3,800 BCE	Messak plateau (Fezzan)	Hundreds of stone corbeilles, at least one with a central standing stone within a smaller inner ring (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013)
~5,000–3,500 BCE	Highland refugia network active	Tassili, Acacus, Messak, Gilf Kebir form stepping-stone corridor; Kufra paleoriver supplements eastern segment — network of water

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
		sources
~5,000–3,000 BCE	Tenere + Tassili rock art	Same pastoralist tradition spans Algeria/Niger — continuous symbolic province across corridor
~5,000–3,000 BCE	Shared rock art province	Algeria, Niger, Libya, Chad — same motifs, same techniques, same people
~5,000–2,000 BCE	Ennedi rock art (Chad)	Bichrome cattle paintings, human figures with headdresses — parallel southward stream; same symbolic repertoire
~4,250 BCE	Aridification onset in Fezzan	Mercuri et al. 2007 — migration window intensifies
~4,050 BCE	Tafuri et al. strontium study (Acacus)	Mobility patterns shift in Pastoral period — independent confirmation of changing lifeways
~4,050 BCE	Kadruka 21 (Nubia)	Milk proteins in dental calculus — dairying reaches Nubia before Egypt
~4,000–3,700 BCE	Caldwell's refugee window	Rock art parallels suggest eastward movement — the symbols start moving
~3,800–3,600 BCE	Hierakonpolis elephant burials	Elephants buried in the HK6 elite cemetery — a species not native to Egypt in the Holocene, so brought from elsewhere; baboons there point to long-distance contact with lands far to the south (Van Neer et al. 2026)
~3,800–3,300 BCE	Hierakonpolis weed assemblage	Desert-margin taxa documented (Fahmy et al. 2008, 2011); identified as local contracted desert vegetation, western origin untested
~3,700 BCE	Abkan–A-Group transition in Lower Nubia	Complex graves and royal iconography without a local developmental sequence — exactly when climate models predict Saharan displacement
~3,700 BCE	Hierakonpolis fuel shift	Shift to herbivore dung fuel — pastoralist behavioral signature; wood scarcity is an alternative explanation
~3,700–3,250 BCE	Sayala rock-cut sanctuary (Nubia)	Earliest speos temple form — Nubian architectural tradition later adopted by Egypt
~3,300–3,100 BCE	Qustul Cemetery L	Elite trench-and-chamber graves with separate cattle burials; the excavator compares the design to a Naqada III tomb at Hierakonpolis (Williams 1986)

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
~3,300–3,100 BCE	Qustul incense burner	White Crown, Horus falcon and palace facade read in a Nubian context on Bruce Williams' influential but contested reconstruction — royal symbols in Nubia at the time these symbols crystallise in Egypt
~3,700–2,800 BCE	A-Group graves contain blue faience	Faience beads present from the start, mostly unglazed and tinged green and blue, with nine deformed blue-grey examples and unfinished pieces indicating manufacture on site (Williams 1986: 115, 120, 198)
Late Predynastic	Wadi Magar elephant standard	Elephant-on-mountain standard, possibly a ruler's name — elephant transforms from animal to ruler identity
~3,400 BCE	Early Egyptian mummies (Gebelein; Mostagedda wrappings)	Natural desiccation in sand at Gebelein, and embalming agents already on linen wrappings at Mostagedda (c. 4500–3350 BCE; Jones et al. 2014) — contemporary with the Libyan child mummy, not later
~3,200–3,000 BCE	Libyan Palette	Early Egyptian depiction of the Tehenu (Tjehenu), usually read as Libyans, on a Naqada III palette (Egyptian Museum, Cairo, JE 27434) — Egypt records western groups
~3,300–3,200 BCE	Egyptian blue appears at Hierakonpolis	First synthetic pigment — appears at same time as A-Group contact
~3,100–2,800 BCE	Egyptian campaigns against Nubia	Djer's expedition, rock graffiti — contact and conflict
~3,100–2,800 BCE	A-Group ends as political entity	Archaeological fact — but the population continues
~3,000–2,500 BCE	Pre-Kerma develops	Complex settlement, social stratification — Nubian society keeps evolving
~2,855–2,570 BCE	Nuwayrat genome (Old Kingdom)	77.6% Middle Neolithic Moroccan ancestry — North African Neolithic component confirmed in Egypt by the Old Kingdom
~2,600 BCE	Sorghum agriculture begins to expand (genomic estimate)	Origin in eastern Sudan and western Ethiopia (Gilabert et al. 2025); domestication began earlier, in the fourth millennium BCE (Winchell et al. 2017)
~2,400 BCE	Eye of Horus (Wedjat) becomes canonical	Brow, eye, drop and spiral — no Nile Valley transitional forms published; the drop and spiral occur

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
		earlier on Messak cattle eyes (van Albada and van Albada 2000); the link to the capacity fractions is later still (Ritter 2002)
~2,345 BCE	Primeval hill and Atum in the Pyramid Texts	Spell 600 (Allen 2015: 265) has Atum rising "as the hill"; the name itself, the First Occasion, is attested in the Edfu temple texts (Reymond 1960: 54)
~2,500–1,500 BCE	Kerma kingdom	Royal tumuli fronted by crescents of cattle skulls laid out as a herd — 4,899 at Grave 253 (Chaix 2001; Chaix, Dubosson & Honegger 2012)
~2,500–1,500 BCE	Kerma blue traditions continue	Faience, pigment — blue never leaves
~2,487–2,475 BCE	Sahure records Libyan wars	First named Libyan groups — Egypt still knows them
~1,900–1,500 BCE	Oued Chebbi, Dhar Oualata, Mauritania	Pearl millet impressions in pottery, with domesticated characters (Amblard & Pernès 1989; Fuller et al. 2021) — contemporary with Dhar Tichitt (1936–1683 cal BC), so the western crop chain is established by this date
3460 ± 200 and 2960 ± 370 BP (uncalibrated)	Birimi, Ghana	Domesticated pearl millet — crop chain continues south
~1,550–1,070 BCE	Blue glass in Egyptian coffin eyes	Imitating blue stone — the color of the gods' gaze
~1,500–1,070 BCE	Amara West (colonial Nubia)	Egyptian blue made locally from scrap copper — Nubians had the knowledge themselves
~1,500–1,070 BCE	Riebeckite at Amara West	Unique blue mineral, never seen in Egypt — they had their own sources, their own traditions
~1,300–1,050 BCE	Riebeckite mining continues	Local blue source in Nubia — the descendants found their own blue
~943–720 BCE	Libyan (Amazigh) rule of Egypt	22nd–23rd Dynasties — they become pharaohs
~360–110 cal BCE	Tinda B, Fezzan	AMS-dated sorghum (360–190 cal BC) and pearl millet (200–110 cal BC) — crops confirmed in Fezzan; pearl millet reaches the Fezzan before the Nile Valley
~AD 0–350	Qasr Ibrim, Nubia	Pearl millet + sorghum — crops reach Nubia (Rowley-Conwy 2012; Pelling 2007)
Present	Amazigh facial tattooing	Blue-black protective marks still worn on the face, among them Heitelfut, "the eye of the day"

Approximate Date	Event / Key Evidence	Description / Significance
		(Riviere and Faublee 1989: 67) — the thread ends on living skin

The chronology table presents every fact in sequence. What follows is the same evidence organized differently—not by date, but by the pattern it forms. Twenty-three times in this document, independent lines of evidence from different disciplines have pointed to the same conclusion. Each could be dismissed alone. Together, they form a structure that requires explanation.

A methodological note on the status of these evidence lines: they are drawn from nine independent academic disciplines using different methods, different datasets, and different analytical frameworks developed by separate research communities. They are not, however, statistically unconditional — several share a common background driver in the African Humid Period collapse, which simultaneously affects population genetics, agricultural patterns, and archaeological visibility.

The independence being claimed here is disciplinary: each field examined a different aspect of the same historical process. The cumulative case rests not on the statistical independence of evidence streams but on their convergence — independent methodologies, applied by independent researchers, arriving at the same geographic corridor, the same temporal window, and the same directional signal. Convergence of independent methods is the standard of evidence in historical sciences from geology to phylogenetics.

1. **Landscape and symbols at origin:** Richat's three nested rings, flood-fed wadis dated 15,000 –7,730 BP (radiocarbon years), human visitation spanning two million years. Elephant rock art at Tililit. Messak rock art marks the eyes of cattle with a tear and a spiral (van Albada and van Albada 2000: 69). S-twist basketry at Takarkori (c. 10,170–5,600 cal BP (c. 8220–3650 BCE)). *The concentric rings of the Richat are read in this series as an interpretive echo of the Eye, not as evidence.*

2. **Population continuity in the Fezzan:** Uan Tabu and Takarkori—a long occupation sequence at the same sites, with genetic continuity documented at Takarkori (Salem et al. 2025). Messak plateau stone corbeilles, at least one with an outer ring enclosing an inner ring around a central standing stone (di Lernia & Gallinaro 2010; di Lernia et al. 2013). Dairy residues (17.2 mg/g lipids). Bubalus Period elephant art. Climate sequence: Green Sahara peak 5500–4600 BC, aridification onset 4250 BC. *The same people, the same carved pattern, the same animals, the same pastoral economy—and their own climate record marks the migration window.*

3. **Ritual template on Egypt's doorstep:** Wadi Sura masked figures, therianthropic and headless-figure imagery around ritual scenes. Nabta Playa cattle burials, megalithic alignments, solstice alignments, dung fuel, fossil elephant skull. Shared hollow-based arrowheads and Ounanian points across the full Sahara-Nile corridor. *Cattle, monumental stone, solar alignment—the ritual template is in place on Egypt's doorstep before Egypt exists.*

4. **Physical corridor in sediment:** Sr/Nd isotopes in Nile fan sediments show a declining Ethiopian contribution during the aridification window (8.4–6.5k and 6.5–3.2k BP), with a growing share from tributary wadis and aeolian dust within Sudan and Egypt. ODP 658C off Mauritania shows a synchronous 5.5 ka dust spike. A Ca/Ti rise in Nile Delta sediments, 5000–4000 cal BP, shows increased aeolian input (Pennington et al. 2019); dust peaks 4.3–3.7 ka in

the Nile deep-sea fan (Blanchet et al. 2013). *The sediment record documents a regional shift in dust and sediment regime across the same window as the proposed migration.*

5. **The elephant trail:** Tililit rock art (source) → Fezzan rock art (corridor) → Nabta Playa fossil skull (eastern Sahara) → Wadi Magar elephant standard, possibly a royal name (late Predynastic) → Hierakonpolis captive burials (destination). The elephant runs from the Richat to the Nile—in art, bone, and name.

6. **Crops move west to east: Pearl millet domesticated at Oued Chebbi, Dhar Oualata, Mauritania (1900–1500 BC) → Tinda B, Fezzan (360–110 cal BC, AMS-dated) → Qasr Ibrim, Nubia (AD 0–350). Sorghum domesticated in eastern Sudan (2600 BCE), appears in Fezzan by 360–190 cal BC. The crops move west to east along the same path as the people. They arrive already domesticated—carried, not developed in place.**

7. **Weeds as involuntary migrants: Pelling's correspondence analysis of crops and weeds shows the Egyptian oases plotting with the Fazzan sites and Medieval Carthage, separate from the remaining Nile Valley and Mediterranean sites; he calls the association between Zinkekra and the oasis sites "particularly interesting", notes that weed seeds were rare in the oasis phases, and suggests that the earliest Fazzan farming package came "from the Nile Valley or oasis settlements in the eastern Sahara… possibly by new populations or small groups of individuals moving into the area from more easterly oases" (Pelling 2007). Over 200,000 weedy seeds at Takarkori (Mercuri et al. 2018). The weeds don't lie. They moved with the grain, in the dung, on the clothing of people walking the corridor.**

8. **A-Group timing:** The Abkan–A-Group transition in Lower Nubia falls at around 3700 BCE, the new culture carrying complex graves and royal iconography that lack a local developmental sequence—exactly when climate models predict the peak of Saharan displacement. *The arrival date matches the exodus window precisely.*

9. **Identical grave architecture:** The elite cemetery HK6 at Hierakonpolis contains secondary cattle burials similar to those at Qustul in Lower Nubia and at other southern sites, indicating a shared mortuary tradition across the Nubian–Upper Egyptian zone rather than a practice unique to either. *The same cattle-burial tradition operates on both sides of the Nubian–Egyptian frontier — shared inheritance rather than one-way borrowing.*

10. **Royal symbols in Nubia:** The Qustul incense burner, on Bruce Williams' influential but contested reconstruction, shows motifs read as the White Crown and Horus falcon in a Nubian context at the same time these symbols are crystallizing in Egypt. *Royal symbols appear in Nubia simultaneously with their emergence in Egypt—suggesting a shared origin, not Egyptian export.*

11. **Dairying arrives before Egypt:** Milk proteins assignable to cattle or sheep (Bovinae or Ovis) in dental calculus at Kadruka (~6000 BP) document dairy consumption in the Nubian corridor centuries before these practices appear in Egypt. *Dairy culture was established in the corridor before Egypt adopted it—consistent with northeastward transmission, not Egyptian origin.*

12. Kerma's bucrania: royal tumuli fronted by crescents of cattle skulls laid out as a herd for the dead — 4,899 at Grave 253 (Chaix, Dubosson & Honegger 2012; Chaix 2001). The Saharan cattle cult at the scale of a kingdom.

13. **Wedjat arrives complete:** The Eye of Horus (Wedjat) appears in Egypt as an amulet in the later Old Kingdom—brow, eye, drop and spiral (Andrews 1994: 43); the earliest examples are mostly very stylised, in chunky cornelian or roughly moulded glazed composition (Andrews 1994: 44). Simple eye motifs are well attested in Predynastic material from Hierakonpolis and Naqada; what lacks identified transitional forms is the composite Wedjat system — standardized geometry, fractional schema and Horus–Set mythology together. The Pyramid Texts already describe the moment of creation "when the sky had not yet come into being" (PT 486; Allen 2015: 139). The Bat cow-goddess emblem — the earliest attested cattle-related deity in Egypt, later absorbed into Hathor — appears at Hierakonpolis with cow imagery comparable to Saharan prototypes. *After millennia of development along the corridor, the symbol arrives in Egypt with its mythology and cosmology already attached.*

14. **Dental morphology supports the bridge: In the dental traits of 996 individuals (Irish 2006), the Neolithic Western Desert sample from Gebel Ramlah (c. 4650–4400 BCE) differs significantly from all other samples, yet is closest to the predynastic and early dynastic samples from Abydos, Hierakonpolis and Badari; Irish concludes that a Western Desert origin "remains a viable hypothesis". The same Gebel Ramlah sample differs insignificantly from the A-Group in dental traits (Irish 2005).**

15. **Cattle DNA as a test of the route: Mitochondrial haplogroup T1 is near-fixed** in African cattle and rare in Southwest Asian herds, a distribution argued to indicate independent or secondary African domestication, though the T1 mitochondrial genomes themselves support a Near Eastern origin for the T1 sub-haplogroups, with a possible North African origin for one of them (T1d) (Bonfiglio et al. 2012); ancient cattle DNA from Nabta Playa and A-Group burials remains untested. *If the cattle moved with the people, their genomes should record it — a directly testable prediction, not yet a result.*

16. **Weed floras link Fezzan and the Egyptian oases: Egyptian oasis weed assemblages cluster with Fazzan sites rather than with the Nile Valley (Pelling 2007), and Takarkori yielded over 200,000 weed seeds (Mercuri et al. 2018). Desert-margin taxa are also documented at Hierakonpolis in the migration window (HK6 and HK11C, 3800–3300 BCE; Fahmy et al. 2008, 2011), though the excavators attribute these to the local contracted desert vegetation around the site. The oasis–Fazzan clustering is a statistical result and stands on its own; whether the Hierakonpolis desert taxa specifically travelled from the west remains untested.**

17. **Insect hitchhikers:** Synanthropic (human-associated) beetles are well documented in Egyptian archaeological contexts, though the published Egyptian archaeoentomological record is concentrated in Dynastic and Roman-period sites (Panagiotakopulu 2001, 2003). Comparable analysis of Takarkori and Predynastic Hierakonpolis assemblages has not been published and remains a testable prediction. *If stored grain moved along the corridor, its insect fauna should record it — an untested prediction rather than a documented result.*

18. **Nuwayrat genome:** The 2025 Nuwayrat Old Kingdom genome (~2855–2570 BCE) shows ancestry modeled as 77.6% Middle Neolithic Moroccan (Morocco_MN), with the

remainder Neolithic Mesopotamian. *North African Neolithic ancestry, modelled specifically to Middle Neolithic Morocco, is present and dominant in Old Kingdom Egypt—exactly when and where the hypothesis predicts the descendants of migrants had been integrated for over a thousand years.*

19. **Cotton is an African crop: cotton at Qasr Ibrim is Gossypium herbaceum—the African domesticated species, not the Indian import (Palmer et al. 2012)—and it was domesticated independently in Africa (Renny-Byfield et al. 2016; Fuller et al. 2024).**

20. **The blue thread: Blue-gray quartzite at Richat. Egyptian blue on alabaster bowls from Hierakonpolis (c. 3300–3200 BCE).** *Riebeckite mined at Amara West (1300–1050 BCE). Blue glass in Egyptian coffin eyes. Blue on the faces of living Amazigh women, among them an eye called "the eye of the day." The same people who left a blue landscape carried blue with them — in their art, their inventions, their mines, and on their own skin — across seven thousand years and three thousand kilometers.*

21. **The S-twist signature:** S-twist fiber technology documented in the Takarkori basketry assemblage, which runs from Late Acacus 1 to Middle Pastoral 2, c. 10,170–5,600 cal BP (c. 8220–3650 BCE) (di Lernia et al. 2024: Tables 1 and 4; twist direction from di Lernia, N'siala & Mercuri 2012). S-spinning appears in Egyptian textiles at the start of Naqada II, replacing earlier Z-spinning, and persists into Dynastic linen production (Drewsen 2019; Jones 2005); Z-spinning continued elsewhere, with few exceptions (Jones 2005). If the Saharan comparison holds, a specific manufacturing choice—invisible to the eye, detectable only under magnification—travels the same corridor as the people. Flax fibre may also favour S-direction twisting (Gleba and Harris 2019), *so this pattern is consistent with, but not on its own proof of, population continuity.*

22. **The living symbol: protective tattooing of the face among Berber women, recorded in the Aures in 1935–1937, in which the curative ahajam is distinguished from the decorative lusam by its purpose rather than by any single form.** The same apotropaic function as the Wedjat. A protective circle, in the same protective role, is still worn on living skin: *Rivière and Faublée, recording the Aurès in 1935–1937, distinguish the purely decorative lušam from the ahajam, the protective and curative mark, and note that circular ahajam were drawn to enclose an affliction and make it disappear — a class of circle the practitioners themselves kept distinct from the decorative "sun" motif (Rivière and Faublée 1989). The women bearing these marks in the Atlas and the Aurès today were tattooed as children; the practice is no longer being transmitted to new generations. This is a continuity of form and function, and the chain of transmission between the Neolithic Sahara and living practice is inferred rather than documented step by step.*

23. The pathogen corridor: a metagenomic screen of 245 archived ancient sequencing datasets. M. tuberculosis is authenticated at Takarkori (c. 7,000 BP), roughly 1,650 years before the earliest molecularly confirmed Egyptian case (c. 3400 BCE; Crubezy et al. 1998). An organism of the Brucella group is authenticated at Takarkori, at Gobero in Niger (one library of twelve, in one of three individuals) and at Kadruka 1 in the Dongola Reach (c. 2080 BCE). No authenticated signal was recovered from the pre-pastoral foragers at Taforalt, from 124 Natufian and Levantine datasets, from Neolithic Morocco, or from the Old Kingdom Egyptian. Authenticated signal spans roughly 2,000 km west to east across the southern Sahara into the Middle Nile, and the two sites within it that carry dates are ordered west-and-older to east-and-

younger. The same two taxa also authenticate in three Neolithic Hungarian individuals deposited in the same BioProject as the Gobero material, so the distribution is directional rather than exclusive. No published, directly dated Egyptian skeletal record of brucellosis was located for comparison.

Competing Models: What Each Explains

Three models compete to explain the cultural origins of Egyptian civilization. The table below scores each against the datasets assembled in this document. A tick indicates the model explains the finding well. A question mark indicates the model leaves it unexplained or requires additional assumptions. The purpose is not to dismiss alternative models—both have genuine explanatory power for the datasets they were built around—but to show which datasets each model was not designed to address.

Dataset	Nile-centric model	Multi-directional Saharan	Saharan Corridor Hypothesis
Climate-driven westward depopulation ~5,500 BP	✅ acknowledged	✅ acknowledged	✅ foundation
Highland refugia chain with documented water at each node, west→east	❓ not required	✅ partial	✅ structural
Iberomaurusian→Takarkori genetic continuity	❓ unexplained	✅ partial	✅ predicted population
Gebel Ramlah dental outlier on Egypt's doorstep at the migration window	❓ unexplained	✅ partial	✅ predicted signal
TB/Brucella authenticated west→east, oldest→youngest	❓ requires independent explanation	❓ not addressed	✅ population movement signature
Composite Wedjat system appears without identified Nile transitional forms	❓ unexplained absence	❓ unexplained absence	✅ predicts arrival without local development
Pastoralist material culture continuity (S-twist, cattle burial, dung fuel, crops, weeds)	✅ partial — local adoption	✅ partial	✅ direct transmission package
Canopus stands below 3° over the Delta at 3,500 BCE (1.4° at Alexandria, 2.7° at Giza) and is effectively lost there as a usable reference; the geometric limit itself passed the Delta about 4000 BCE	❓ requires independent explanation	❓ not addressed	✅ predicts the collapse of Canopus's altitude below about 3° at Delta latitudes by 3,500 BCE
Pyramid Texts of Unas send word to "the gods of the West" and set the king "at the fore of the akhs as Anubis at the fore of the westerners" (PT 217, 81; Allen 2015: 36, 25)	❓ treated as mythology	❓ treated as mythology	✅ encodes geographic memory of origin
Egyptian funerary religion is pervasively oriented west — Amentet, the "Westerners," west-bank necropolises	❓ solar symbolism only	❓ not addressed	✅ compatible with a real western homeland reinforcing solar symbolism
Dendera Panel West 1 deity sequence matches corridor geography	❓ local cult logic only	❓ not addressed	✅ ritual encoding of migration route
Living Amazigh retain corridor markers (blue, tattoo, oral tradition)	❓ unrelated survival	✅ partial	✅ western branch of split population

The ❓ marks are not accusations. Both alternative models were built to explain specific datasets and do so well. The question the table poses is simpler: which model was designed to address the full range of evidence assembled here? The Nile-centric model was not built to explain pathogen gradients, Canopus thresholds, or the absence of identified transitional forms for the composite Wedjat system. The multi-directional Saharan model was not built to explain a specific directional corridor or a specific symbolic transmission chain. The Saharan Corridor Hypothesis was built to explain all of it. That is not a claim of victory. It is an invitation to test.

A Note on Alternative Interpretations

The underlying datasets assembled here are published and independently verifiable, though several of the specific readings drawn from them remain actively debated in the specialist literature — the Qustul incense burner reconstruction, the affinities of the Gebel Ramlah dental sample, the status of the earliest Nabta Playa cattle, and the cultural versus mechanical basis of S-twist spinning among them. The climate data is real. The genetic lineages are documented. The archaeological sequences are published. The rock art exists. The material culture signatures are measurable. The Nile sediment record documents a shift in dust and sediment regime. The domesticated crops trace a west-to-east path. The pathogens are authenticated. The elephant runs from Tililit to Hierakonpolis.

But facts do not interpret themselves. They can be assembled into different stories.

Mainstream scholarship might read the same evidence as multi-directional Saharan dispersal rather than a single eastward exodus—people moved south toward the Sahel, west toward the Maghreb, and northeast toward the Nile, not in one unified stream. The crop chain and elephant trail could be read as multiple independent developments. Zep Tepi could be read as cosmological myth rather than historical memory—a theological construct, not a preserved recollection of a real place. The Richat parallels could be coincidental geology. Shared symbolism could be read as regional interaction rather than direct inheritance—cattle cults, concentric circles, elephant imagery, and animal-headed deities appear across many cultures because of shared environments and human psychology, not because one people carried them. Technological parallels could be read as convergence rather than transmission—S-twist spinning could arise independently, dung fuel could be an independent response to wood scarcity, dust transport is simply climate, crop movement could be trade rather than population movement. Dental affinities could be read as regional continuity rather than migration—the Western Desert populations could simply be part of a broader North African biological continuum.

These alternatives are valid. They fit the same data. They do not falsify the hypothesis—they simply offer different ways of seeing.

The difference is that this hypothesis makes specific, testable predictions. The A-Group aDNA, the stone vessel geochemistry, the rock art dating, the pathogen screening, the insect aDNA, the crop DNA comparisons—these are not interpretations. They are experiments. They will decide which story the evidence actually supports.

Until then, both readings remain open.

Chapter 9: Falsifiable Predictions

This document is a predictive model, not a claim of discovery. Its value is not in what it asserts but in what it predicts—and whether those predictions are testable within the current decade. I am not asking you to believe me. I am asking you to look. The following tests are concrete, feasible with existing

technology and existing museum collections, and would confirm or refute the model. If even one produces positive evidence, the academic implications are significant. If several produce negative results, the model must be revised or abandoned. That is how science works.

The bones of A-Group Nubians are sitting in museum collections. They have never been tested. The sands around Richat have never been excavated where the wadi pools were—where people would have lived, where they would have left offerings. The rock art of the Fezzan has never been dated. The cattle bones from Nabta Playa, Kerma, and Hierakonpolis have never had their DNA compared. The weed seeds from Takarkori and Hierakonpolis have never been systematically analyzed for morphometric continuity. The crops from Tinda B and Qasr Ibrim have never had their ancient DNA sequenced. The fibres from Takarkori have never had their twist directions compared with the Predynastic Egyptian spinning record. The stone vessels from Saqqara and Abydos have never been geochemically compared to the iron-stained rhyolite, black gabbro, and red-weathering carbonatite of Richat.

Everything we need to know is already sitting in museum drawers, in excavation storage, in unpublished theses. No one has asked the question. No one has looked. This is not about funding new excavations in dangerous places. This is about testing what we already have.

Test	Priority	Prediction	Method	Samples Exist At	Falsification
A-Group aDNA	CRITICAL	A-Group Nubians carry Takarkori lineage	Ancient DNA from petrous bones or teeth	Oriental Institute (Chicago), British Museum, Sudan National Museum, Vienna Museum	No affinity = hypothesis wrong
Stone vessel geochemistry	High	Early Dynastic vessels match Richat lithologies	LA-ICP-MS for trace elements, REE patterns; method established for Egyptian basalt by Mallory-Greenough et al. (1999) and Greenough et al. (2001)	Petrie Museum, Cairo Museum	No match = material link falsified
Strontium isotopes	High	A-Group/ Predynastic individuals show non-Nile signatures	$^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ analysis of tooth enamel	British Museum, Sudan National Museum, Petrie Museum	No non-local signatures = migration model weakened
Rock art dating	High	Saharan falcon/ram/eye motifs predate Egyptian parallels	U-Th/OSL dating of carbonate crusts overlying art	Messak, Tassili, Acacus (in situ)	Saharan motifs date *after* Egypt = chronology reversed
Ostrich eggshell beads	High	Beads show movement along corridor	$\delta^{18}O$ analysis reflects water source where ostrich drank	Nabta Playa (SMU), Qustul (Oriental Institute), Hierakonpolis Expedition	No isotopic gradient = trade/migration model weakened
Cattle aDNA	High	Cattle from Nabta Playa, Kerma, Qustul, Hierakonpolis show genetic continuity	aDNA from cattle remains; target mtDNA haplogroup T1	Nabta Playa (SMU), Kerma (Univ. Geneva), Qustul/Hierakonpolis (Oriental	No continuity = cattle cult model weakened

Test	Priority	Prediction	Method	Samples Exist At	Falsification
				Institute/British Museum)	
Pathogen DNA	High	Future high-sensitivity targeted screening of dedicated skeletal samples yields Leishmania and Plasmodium with west-to-east strain continuity. Plasmodium falciparum is already attested in Egypt by immunoassay on Predynastic mummified remains (Rabino Massa et al. 2000), so the eastern terminus of any such sequence is fixed; what is missing is the corridor. (Note: Chapter 4 screened publicly available shotgun-sequencing datasets and returned zero reads for both—this prediction applies to future dedicated sampling with higher detection power)	Metagenomic screening of skeletal remains	Takarkori, Uan Tabu, A-Group, Predynastic Egyptians	Different strains = independent disease histories
Insect aDNA	Medium	Housefly, grain weevil, khapra beetle DNA shows genetic continuity along corridor	aDNA from insect remains preserved in archaeological contexts	Saharan pastoral sites, Nubian settlements, Egyptian storage contexts (Qasr Ibrim)	Different populations = independent introductions
Wild grass morphometry	Medium	Same grass species (*Panicum*, *Echinochloa*, *Sorghum*) with matching morphometric signatures appear along corridor	Morphometric analysis of spikelets and seeds	Takarkori, Nabta Playa, Predynastic sites	Different populations = independent exploitation
Crop aDNA	Medium	Pearl millet from Mauritania, Fezzan, Nubia shows genetic continuity	aDNA or modern landrace genomics tracing population structure	Dhar Tichitt (Mauritania), Tinda B (Fezzan), Qasr Ibrim (Nubia)	Different genetic clusters = independent domestication
Lithic technology	Medium	Hollow-based arrowheads, Ounanian points, scraper traditions show continuity from	Typological comparison, raw material sourcing (XRF), use-wear analysis	British Museum (Tassili/Acacus), Sudan National Museum, Petrie Museum	No continuity = independent development

Test	Priority	Prediction	Method	Samples Exist At	Falsification
		Sahara to Nile			
Textile comparison	Medium	S-twist dominance in Egyptian textiles matches Saharan fiber traditions	Systematic study of spinning direction, fiber processing methods	Takarkori basketry (Libya), Egyptian museum collections	S-twist in Egypt fully explained by flax fibre mechanics, or no match in fibre-processing method = no cultural link
Eye pigmentation	Medium	Light-eye frequency in Amazigh populations exceeds the North African baseline	HIrisPlex-S genotyping of consenting living volunteers; re-analysis of existing HGDP-CEPH genotypes	Living Amazigh communities; HGDP-CEPH collection (Mozabite, n=30)	No elevation above baseline = the chromatic thread is cultural only

This is not a wish list. This is a roadmap. Thirteen tests. One is critical, six are high-priority, and six are medium-priority. The samples exist. The methods exist. The labs exist. The only thing missing is someone willing to ask the question—and fund the answer.

If the tests come back negative, the hypothesis is wrong. I will accept that. I would rather be wrong and know than wonder if I'm right.

But if they come back positive—if A-Group aDNA matches Takarkori, if the stone vessels match Richat, if the cattle DNA shows continuity from Nabta Playa to Hierakonpolis—then we have found something real. Something that changes how we understand an entire region.

The bones are waiting. The sand is waiting. The questions are waiting. The only question left is: Will we look?

The Only Question Left

They came from the west. Their fathers told them. Their fathers' fathers told them.

There was a place—green, wet, alive. A mound rose from the water, circled by rings. The gods walked there. The cattle were sacred. The eye watched over everything. The elephants roamed the grasslands.

Then the rains stopped. The grass died. The rivers shrank.

In the seeds they planted in new soil. In the weeds that hitched a ride. They left. They carried what they could—not in their hands, but in their hearts and rituals. In the way they buried their dead. In the marks on their skin. In the stories they told their children. In the animals they tamed and the ones they remembered.

They walked east. They found the Nile. They built new homes. They never forgot.
They called it Zep Tepi. The First Time.

The answers are not lost. They are waiting. In the bones of A-Group Nubians, stored in museum collections, never tested. In the sands around Richat, where no one has dug—where Giresse dated the flood deposits but no one has excavated the wadis. In the Fezzan corridor, where rock art sits

unexcavated and Pelling's thesis has sat unread for eighteen years. In the DNA of cattle from Kerma, waiting to be compared. In the dental calculus of Takarkori mummies, holding proteins that could tell us what they ate. In the desiccated crops from Tinda B and Qasr Ibrim, holding genetic evidence of where they came from. In the eyes of the Amazigh—still blue after ten thousand years, still marked with protective circles like the stone rings their ancestors set on the Messak.

We are so close. Close enough to see the shape of it. Close enough to ask the right questions. Close enough that doing nothing is now a choice.

This is what we have. This is what they left.
The only question left is: Will we look?

References

Archaeoastronomy and Stellar Studies

Allen, R.H. 1899. Star-Names and Their Meanings. New York: G.E. Stechert. [Reprinted, retitled Star Names: Their Lore and Meaning, New York: Dover, 1963. Victorian-era reference for the identification of Canopus as the Star of Osiris; cited for traditional astronomical-mythological associations]

Belmonte, J.A. & Shaltout, M. (eds.) 2009. In Search of Cosmic Order: Selected Essays on Egyptian Archaeoastronomy. Cairo: Supreme Council of Antiquities Press. ISBN 978-977-479-483-4. [Systematic survey of Egyptian temple orientations; cited for the Hathor-Isis-Spica association. Its Canopus family is a modest, contested pattern (Shaltout, Belmonte and Fekri 2007; Belmonte, Shaltout and Fekri 2008), and this series has not yet used its temple-by-temple list]

Belmonte, J.A., Fekri, M., Abdel-Hadi, Y.A., Shaltout, M. & González García, A.C. 2010. "On the orientation of ancient Egyptian temples: (5) Testing the theory in Middle Egypt and Sudan." Journal for the History of Astronomy 41: 65–93.

Belmonte, J.A., Shaltout, M. & Fekri, M. 2008. "On the orientation of ancient Egyptian temples: (4) Epilogue in Serabit el Khadim and overview." Journal for the History of Astronomy 39: 181–211.

Corsetti, J. 2018. "The Eye of the Sahara — Lost City of Atlantis FOUND?" Bright Insight. YouTube. Published September 6, 2018. [Non-academic source; cited solely for attribution — Corsetti was the first to visually overlay the Eye of Horus onto the Richat Structure using modern satellite imagery, generating the visual correspondence that this analysis then tests against astronomical data]

Lockyer, J.N. 1894. The Dawn of Astronomy: A Study of the Temple-Worship and Mythology of the Ancient Egyptians. London: Cassell and Company. [Simultaneous New York and London issue by Macmillan, 1894. First systematic survey of Egyptian temple orientations to Canopus, beginning 6400 BCE; documents proposed orientations at Edfu, Philae, Amada, Semneh, Karnak, and Temple of Khons at Thebes (~1300 BCE); his dates are not accepted today]

Malville, J.M. 2015. "Astronomy at Nabta Playa, southern Egypt." [Published under the form McKim Malville, J.] In C.L.N. Ruggles (ed.), *Handbook of Archaeoastronomy and Ethnoastronomy*, pp. 1079–1091. New York: Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-6141-8_101. [Source of the published Canopus altitude figure for Nabta Playa used to calibrate the corridor altitude estimates in Chapter 3]

Malville, J.M., Schild, R., Wendorf, F. & Brenmer, R. 2007. "Astronomy of Nabta Playa." African Skies / Cieux Africains 11: 2–7.

Malville, J.M., Wendorf, F., Mazar, A.A. & Schild, R. 1998. "Megaliths and Neolithic astronomy in southern Egypt." *Nature* 392(6675): 488–491. DOI: 10.1038/33131.

Schaefer, B.E. 1998. "To the visual limits." Sky & Telescope 95(5): 57–60.

Shaltout, M. & Belmonte, J.A. 2005. "On the orientation of ancient Egyptian temples: (1) Upper Egypt and Lower Nubia." Journal for the History of Astronomy 36: 273–298.

Shaltout, M., Belmonte, J.A. & Fekri, M. 2007. "On the orientation of ancient Egyptian temples: (3) Key points in Lower Egypt and Siwa Oasis, Parts I and II." Journal for the History of Astronomy 38: 141–160, 413–442.

Spence, K. 2000. "Ancient Egyptian chronology and the astronomical orientation of pyramids." Nature 408: 320–324. https://doi.org/10.1038/35042510 [Documents Thuban (Alpha Draconis) as the circumpolar pole star used by the pyramid builders to orient the north shaft of the King's Chamber at Giza]

Vondrák, J., Capitaine, N. & Wallace, P. 2011. "New precession expressions, valid for long time intervals." Astronomy & Astrophysics 534: A22. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117274

Archaeobotany and Paleoethnobotany

Amblard, S. & Pernès, J. 1989. "The identification of cultivated pearl millet (Pennisetum) amongst plant impressions on pottery from Oued Chebbi (Dhar Oualata, Mauritania)." *African Archaeological Review* 7: 117-126.

Beldados, A., Manzo, A., Murphy, C., Stevens, C.J. & Fuller, D.Q. 2018. "Evidence of sorghum cultivation and possible pearl millet in the second millennium BC at Kassala, Eastern Sudan." In A.M. Mercuri, A.C. D'Andrea, R. Fornaciari & A. Höhn (eds), Plants and People in the African Past, pp. 503–528. Cham: Springer.

Burgarella, C. et al. 2018. "A Western Sahara centre of domestication inferred from pearl millet genomes." Nature Ecology & Evolution 2: 1377–1380. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-018-0643-y.

Chowdhury, K.A. & Buth, G.M. 1970. "4,500 year old seeds suggest that true cotton is indigenous to Nubia." Nature 227: 85–86. DOI: 10.1038/227085a0.

Chowdhury, K.A. & Buth, G.M. 1971. "Cotton seeds from the Neolithic in Egyptian Nubia and the origin of Old World cotton." Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 3(4): 303–312.

D'Andrea, A.C. & Casey, J. 2002. "Pearl millet and Kintampo subsistence." African Archaeological Review 19(3): 147–173.

D'Andrea, A.C., Klee, M. & Casey, J. 2001. "Archaeobotanical evidence for pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) in sub-Saharan West Africa." *Antiquity* 75(288): 341–348. DOI: 10.1017/S0003598X00060993.

Fahmy, A.G., Friedman, R. & Fadl, M. 2008. "Archaeobotanical studies at Hierakonpolis locality HK6: The Pre and Early Dynastic elite cemetery." Archéo-Nil 18: 169-183.

Fahmy, A.G., Friedman, R. & Fadl, M. 2011. "Economy and ecology of Predynastic Hierakonpolis, Egypt: Archaeobotanical evidence from a trash mound at HK11C." In A. Fahmy, S. Kahlheber & C. D'Andrea (eds.), Windows on the African Past, Reports in African Archaeology 3, 91-118. Frankfurt: Africa Magna.

Fornaciari, R., Fornaciari, S., Francia, E., Mercuri, A.M. & Arru, L. 2018. "Panicum spikelets from the Early Holocene Takarkori rockshelter (SW Libya): archaeo-molecular and -botanical investigations." *Plant Biosystems* 152(1): 1–13. DOI: 10.1080/11263504.2016.1244117.

Fuller, D.Q., Barron, A., Champion, L., Dupuy, C., Commelin, D., Raimbault, M. & Denham, T. 2021. "Transition from wild to domesticated pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) revealed in ceramic temper at three Middle Holocene sites in northern Mali." African Archaeological Review 38: 211–230. DOI: 10.1007/s10437-021-09428-8.

Fuller, D.Q., Champion, L., Castillo, C.C. & den Hollander, A. 2024. "Cotton and post-Neolithic investment agriculture in tropical Asia and Africa, with two routes to West Africa." Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports 57: 104649. DOI: 10.1016/j.jasrep.2024.104649.

Gilabert, A., Deu, M., Champion, L., Cubry, P., Donkpegan, A., Rami, J.-F., Pot, D., Vigouroux, Y. & Leclerc, C. (2025). Integrating ethnolinguistic and archaeobotanical data to uncover the origin and dispersal of cultivated sorghum in Africa: a genomic perspective. *Peer Community Journal*, 5, e96. https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.613

Jiménez-Arteaga, C., Parque, Ó., Lancelotti, C. et al. 2025. "New evidence reveals dispersal of pearl millet from West Africa to South Asia by 2500 BCE." Scientific Reports 15: 32931. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-025-20110-w.

Lityńska-Zając, M. 2025. "Plants used by Neolithic nomads in the Western Desert in Egypt. The results of archaeobotanical research from sites near Nabta Playa." In R. Schild, A. Czekaj-Zastawny & H. Królik (eds), The Megaliths of Nabta Playa, 241-280. Warsaw: Publishing House of the Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology, Polish Academy of Sciences. ISBN 978-83-68122-15-2.

Lucarini, G. 2006. "The use and exploitation of sorghum and wild plants in the Hidden Valley village (Farafra Oasis, Egypt)." In Kroeper, K. et al. (eds.), *Archaeology of Early Northeastern Africa*, pp. 463-478. Poznań: Poznań Archaeological Museum.

Manning, K., Pelling, R., Higham, T., Schwenniger, J.-L. & Fuller, D.Q. 2011. "4500-year-old domesticated pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) from the Tilemsi Valley, Mali: new insights into an alternative cereal domestication pathway." Journal of Archaeological Science 38: 312–322. DOI: 10.1016/j.jas.2010.09.007.

Palmer, S.A., Clapham, A.J., Rose, P., Freitas, F.O., Owen, B.D., Beresford-Jones, D., Moore, J.D., Kitchen, J.L. & Allaby, R.G. 2012. "Archaeogenomic evidence of punctuated genome evolution in Gossypium." *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29(8): 2031–2038. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/mss070.

Pelling, R. 2007. *Agriculture and Trade amongst the Garamantes and the Fezzanese: 3000 Years of Archaeobotanical Data from the Sahara and its Margins*. PhD Thesis, University College London.

Ramaraj, T., Grover, C.E., Mendoza, A.C., Arick, M.A., Jareczek, J.J., Leach, A.G., Peterson, D.G., Wendel, J.F. & Udall, J.A. 2023. "The Gossypium herbaceum L. Wagad genome as a resource for understanding cotton domestication." G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 13(2): jkac308. DOI: 10.1093/g3journal/jkac308. [Complete genome of the African domesticated cotton species enabling finer-scale ancient DNA comparisons along the corridor]

Renny-Byfield, S., Page, J.T., Udall, J.A. et al. 2016. "Independent domestication of two Old World cotton species." Genome Biology and Evolution 8(6): 1940–1947. DOI: 10.1093/gbe/evw129.

Rowley-Conwy, P. 2012. "Agricultural innovation at Qasr Ibrim, Egypt, 1000 BC – AD 1800." [Data set, with A. Clapham.] Archaeology Data Service. DOI: 10.5284/1011976.

van der Veen, M. 1992. "Garamantian agriculture: The plant remains from Zinchecra, Fezzan." *Libyan Studies* 23: 7–39.

Viot, C. 2019. "Domestication and varietal diversification of Old World cultivated cottons (Gossypium sp.) in the Antiquity." Revue d'ethnoécologie 15: 4404. DOI: 10.4000/ethnoecologie.4404.

Wasylikowa, K. & Dahlberg, J. 1999. "Sorghum in the economy of the Early Neolithic nomadic tribes at Nabta Playa, Southern Egypt." In M. van der Veen (ed.), The Exploitation of Plant Resources in Ancient Africa, pp. 11–31. New York.

Wasylikowa, K. 1997. "Flora of the 8000 years old archaeological site E-75-6 at Nabta Playa, Western Desert, southern Egypt." Acta Palaeobotanica 37(2): 99–205. Wasylikowa, K., Schild, R., Wendorf, F., Królik, H., Kubiak-Martens, L. & Harlan, J.R. 1995. "Archaeobotany of the Early Neolithic site E-75-6 at Nabta Playa, Western Desert, South Egypt (preliminary results)." *Acta Palaeobotanica* 35(1): 133–155.

Winchell, F., Stevens, C., Murphy, C., Champion, L. & Fuller, D. (2017). Evidence for sorghum domestication in fourth millennium BC eastern Sudan: spikelet morphology from ceramic impressions of the Butana Group. Current Anthropology 58(5): 673–683. DOI: 10.1086/693898.

Archaeology — Egypt and Nubia

Adams, B. 1995. *Ancient Nekhen: Garstang in the City of Hierakonpolis*. Egyptian Studies Association Publication 3. New Malden: SIA Publishing.

Budka, J., et al. 2019. "The question of fuel for cooking in ancient Egypt and Sudan." EXARC Journal 2019(1). https://exarc.net/ark:/88735/10410 [Cited for experimental study of dung and organic fuel use in ancient Nile Valley contexts, supporting the interpretation of the Hierakonpolis fuel shift]

Cooper, J., Bourgeois, M., Crépy, M. & Gatto, M.C. 2026. "Atbai Enclosure Burials: Monumentalism, Pastoralism and Environmental Change in the Mid-Holocene East Nubian Deserts." African Archaeological Review 43(2): 191–216. DOI: 10.1007/s10437-026-09654-y. [Cited for Atbai enclosure burial type analysis]

Friedman, R. 1996. "The ceremonial center at Hierakonpolis locality HK29A." In *Aspects of Early Egypt*, 16-35. London: British Museum Press.

Friedman, R. 2008. "Excavating Egypt's early kings: Recent discoveries in the elite cemetery at Hierakonpolis." *Egyptian Archaeology* 33: 14–17.

Friedman, R. 2009. "The Elephant's Final Meal." *Interactive Dig Hierakonpolis*. Available at: https://interactive.archaeology.org/hierakonpolis/field/elephant3b.html

Gatto, M.C. 2006. "The Nubian A-Group: a reassessment." Archéo-Nil 16: 61–76.

Nordström, H.-Å. 1972. *Neolithic and A-Group Sites*. The Scandinavian Joint Expedition to Sudanese Nubia Publications 3:1–3:2. Stockholm: Scandinavian University Books (Läromedelsförlagen).

Osypiński, P., Osypińska, M. & Zych, I. 2021. Wadi Khashab: Unearthing Late Prehistory in the Eastern Desert of Egypt. Polish Publications in Mediterranean Archaeology 5. Leuven: Peeters. https://doi.org/10.2143/9789042943827

Shaw, I. (ed.) (2000). The Oxford History of Ancient Egypt. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Williams, B.B. 1986. Excavations Between Abu Simbel and the Sudan Frontier, Part 1: The A-Group Royal Cemetery at Qustul: Cemetery L. Oriental Institute Nubian Expedition III. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago. Open access: isac.uchicago.edu/sites/default/files/uploads/shared/docs/oine3.pdf.

Archaeology — Kerma and Kush

Badura, M., Paner, H., Muntowski, P., Goslar, T., Pudło, A., Lisman, D., del-Hoyo, M.M., Noryśkiewicz, A.M., Szawaryn, K. & Then-Obłuska, J. 2025. "A mysterious burial from the Kerma Culture (second millennium BC) in the Bayuda Desert of Sudan." *Azania: Archaeological Research in Africa* 61(2). DOI: 10.1080/0067270X.2025.2586362.

Bonnet, C. 1990. *Kerma, royaume de Nubie*. Geneva: Musée d'art et d'histoire, with the Mission archéologique de l'Université de Genève au Soudan. 271 pp.

Chaix, L. 2001. "Animals as symbols: the bucrania of the grave KN 24 (Kerma, Northern Sudan)." In H. Buitenhuis & W. Prummel (eds), Animals and Man in the Past: Essays in Honour of Dr. A.T. Clason. Groningen: ARC-Publicatie 41, pp. 364–370.

Chaix, L. 2007. "Contribution to the knowledge of domestic cattle in Africa: The osteometry of fossil Bos taurus L. from Kerma, Sudan (2050–1750 BC)." In G. Grupe and J. Peters (eds), Skeletal Series and their Socio-Economic Context. Documenta Archaeobiologiae 5. Rahden: Verlag Marie Leidorf, pp. 170–249.

Chaix, L., Dubosson, J. & Honegger, M. 2012. "Bucrania from the Eastern Cemetery at Kerma (Sudan) and the practice of cattle horn deformation." In J. Kabaciński, M. Chłodnicki & M. Kobusiewicz (eds), Prehistory of Northeastern Africa: New Ideas and Discoveries. Studies in African Archaeology 11. Poznań: Poznań Archaeological Museum, pp. 189–212.

Archaeology — Sahara and Fezzan (General)

Barich, B.E. & Lucarini, G. 2002. "Archaeology of Farafra Oasis (Western Desert, Egypt): A survey of the most recent research." *Archéo-Nil* 12: 101–108.

Barich, B.E. 1987. *Archaeology and Environment in the Libyan Sahara: The Excavations in the Tadrart Acacus 1978–1983*. BAR International Series 368. Oxford.

Barich, B.E. 2013. "The Culture of the Oases: Late Neolithic Herders in Farafra, A Matter of Identity." In Bagnall, R.S., Davoli, P. & Hope, C.A. (eds.), *The Oasis Papers 6: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference of the Dakhleh Oasis Project*, Dakhleh Oasis Project Monograph 15, pp. 39–48. Oxford: Oxbow Books.

Biagetti, S. & di Lernia, S. 2013. "Holocene deposits of Saharan rock shelters: the case of Takarkori and other sites from the Tadrart Acacus Mountains (southwest Libya)." African Archaeological Review 30(3): 305–338. DOI: 10.1007/s10437-013-9138-z.

Cremaschi, M. & Di Lernia, S. (eds). 1998. *Wadi Teshuinat: Palaeoenvironment and Prehistory in South-Western Fezzan (Libyan Sahara): Survey and Excavations in the Tadrart Acacus, Erg Uan Kasa, Messak Settafet and Edeyen of Murzuq, 1990-1995*. Firenze: All'Insegna del Giglio.

Cunliffe, B. 2023. "Domesticating the Land: 6500–1000 bc." In *Facing the Sea of Sand: The Sahara and the Peoples of Northern Africa*, pp. 62–101. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/oso/9780192858887.003.0003.

Daniels, C.M. 1968. "Garamantian excavations: Zinchecra 1965-1967." *Libya Antiqua* 5: 113-194.

Daniels, C.M. 1970. *The Garamantes of Southern Libya*. London: Oleander Press.

Daniels, C.M. 1975. "An ancient people of the Libyan Sahara." In Bynon, J. & Bynon, T. (eds), *Hamito-Semitica*. The Hague: Mouton, pp. 249–265.

di Lernia, S. 2006. "Building monuments, creating identity: Cattle cult as a social response to rapid environmental changes in the Holocene Sahara." Quaternary International 151: 50–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2006.01.014

Gallinaro, M. 2018. *Mobility and Pastoralism in the Egyptian Western Desert: Steinplätze in the Holocene Regional Settlement Patterns*. Arid Zone Archaeology 7. Florence: All'Insegna del Giglio. ISBN 978-88-7814-861-1.

Garcea, E.A.A. 2011–2012. "Revisiting the Khartoum Variant in its environment." *Cahiers de Recherches de l'Institut de Papyrologie et d'Égyptologie de Lille* 29: 139–150.

Jackes, M. & Lubell, D. 2008. "Early and Middle Holocene environments and Capsian cultural change: Evidence from the Telidjène Basin, eastern Algeria." *African Archaeological Review* 25: 41–55. DOI: 10.1007/s10437-008-9024-2.

Lubell, D., Hassan, F.A., Gautier, A. & Ballais, J.-L. 1976. "The Capsian escargotières." *Science* 191(4230): 910–920. DOI: 10.1126/science.191.4230.910.

Mattingly, D.J. 2003. *The Archaeology of Fazzan. Volume 1, Synthesis*. Society for Libyan Studies Monograph 5. London: Society for Libyan Studies. Open access.

Mattingly, D.J., Daniels, C.M., Dore, J.N., Edwards, D. & Hawthorne, J. 2007. *The Archaeology of Fazzan. Volume 2, Site Gazetteer, Pottery and Other Survey Finds*. Society for Libyan Studies Monograph 7. London and Tripoli: Society for Libyan Studies and Department of Antiquities. ISBN 978-1-900971-05-8. Open access.

Mattingly, D.J., Daniels, C.M., Dore, J.N., Edwards, D. & Hawthorne, J. 2010. *The Archaeology of Fazzan. Volume 3, The Excavations of C.M. Daniels*. Society for Libyan Studies Monograph 8. London: Society for Libyan Studies. Open access.

Mattingly, D.J., Daniels, C.M., Dore, J.N., Edwards, D. & Hawthorne, J. 2013. *The Archaeology of Fazzan. Volume 4, Survey and Excavations at Old Jarma*. Society for Libyan Studies Monograph 9. London: Society for Libyan Studies. ISBN 978-1-900971-18-8. Open access.

Sereno, P.C., Garcea, E.A.A., Jousse, H., Stojanowski, C.M., Saliège, J.-F., Maga, A., Ide, O.A., Knudson, K.J., Mercuri, A.M., Stafford, T.W., Kaye, T.G., Giraudi, C., Massamba N'siala, I., Cocca, E., Moots, H.M., Dutheil, D.B. & Stivers, J.P. 2008. "Lakeside Cemeteries in the Sahara: 5000 Years of Holocene Population and Environmental Change." *PLoS ONE* 3(8): e2995. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002995.

Vernet, R. (2025). Funerary monuments of Central Mauritania: inventory and distribution. In S. di Lernia (ed.), *Echoes of Africa's Past: Archaeological Explorations in the Anthropocene*. Arid Zone Archaeology Monographs 10, pp. 129–151. Rome: All'Insegna del Giglio.

Wendorf, F. & Schild, R. 2001. *Holocene Settlement of the Egyptian Sahara. Volume 1: The Archaeology of Nabta Playa*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers. ISBN 0-306-46612-0.

Wendorf, F., Schild, R. & Close, A.E. 1993. *Egypt During the Last Interglacial: The Middle Paleolithic of Bir Tarfawi and Bir Sahara East*. New York: Plenum Press.

Climate Science and Paleoclimatology

Blanchet, C.L., Tjallingii, R., Frank, M., Lorenzen, J., Reitz, A., Brown, K., Feseker, T. & Brückmann, W. 2013. "High- and low-latitude forcing of the Nile River regime during the Holocene inferred from laminated sediments of the Nile deep-sea fan." *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 364: 98–110. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsl.2013.01.009.

Chamard, Ph. 1973. “Monographie d’une sebkha continentale du sud-ouest saharien : la sebkha de Chemchane (Adrar de Mauritanie).” Bulletin de l’Institut Français d’Afrique Noire, Dakar, série A, 35(1): 207–248.

Chamard, Ph., Guitat, R. & Thilmans, G. 1970. “Le lac holocène et le gisement néolithique de l’Oum Arouaba.” Bulletin de l’Institut Français d’Afrique Noire, Dakar, série 1, 32(3): 638–740.

deMenocal, P., Ortiz, J., Guilderson, T., Adkins, J., Sarnthein, M., Baker, L. & Yarusinsky, M. 2000. "Abrupt onset and termination of the African Humid Period: rapid climate responses to gradual insolation forcing." *Quaternary Science Reviews* 19(1-5): 347-361.

Drake, N.A., Blench, R.M., Armitage, S.J., Bristow, C.S. & White, K.H. 2011. "Ancient watercourses and biogeography of the Sahara explain the peopling of the desert." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108(2): 458–462. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1012231108.

Kröpelin, S. 1987. "Palaeoclimatic evidence from Early to Mid-Holocene playas in the Gilf Kebir (southwest Egypt)." Palaeoecology of Africa 18: 189–208.

Kuper, R. & Kröpelin, S. 2006. "Climate-Controlled Holocene Occupation in the Sahara: Motor of Africa's Evolution." *Science* 313(5788): 803–807. DOI: 10.1126/science.1130989.

Mercuri, A.M. 2008. "Human influence, plant landscape evolution and climate inferences from the archaeobotanical records of the Wadi Teshuinat area (Libyan Sahara)." *Journal of Arid Environments* 72(10): 1950–1967. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2008.04.008.

Mercuri, A.M., Fornaciari, R., Gallinaro, M., Vanin, S. & di Lernia, S. 2018. "Plant behaviour from human imprints and the cultivation of wild cereals in Holocene Sahara." *Nature Plants* 4: 71-81. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-017-0098-1

Mercuri, A.M., Massamba N'Siala, I. & Olmi, L. 2007. "Ricostruzione ambientale e climatica dei siti archeologici olocenici del Tadrart Acacus." *Atti della Società dei Naturalisti e Matematici di Modena* 138: 273–285.

Pennington, B.T., Hamdan, M.A., Pears, B.R. & Sameh, H.I. 2019. "Aridification of the Egyptian Sahara 5000–4000 cal BP revealed from x-ray fluorescence analysis of Nile Delta sediments at Kom al-Ahmer/Kom Wasit." Quaternary International 514: 108–118. DOI: 10.1016/j.quaint.2019.01.015.

Revel, M., Ducassou, E., Skonieczny, C., Colin, C., Bastian, L., Bosch, D., Migeon, S. & Mascle, J. 2015. "20,000 years of Nile River dynamics and environmental changes in the Nile catchment area as inferred from Nile deep-sea fan deposits." *Quaternary Science Reviews* 130: 200-221.

Skonieczny, C., Paillou, P., Bory, A., Bayon, G., Biscara, L., Crosta, X., Eynaud, F., Malaizé, B., Revel, M., Aleman, N., Barusseau, J.-P., Vernet, R., Lopez, S. & Grousset, F. 2015. "African humid periods triggered the reactivation of a large river system in Western Sahara." *Nature Communications* 6: 8751. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms9751.

Egyptian Religion and Iconography

Allen, J.P. 1988. "Genesis in Egypt: The Philosophy of Ancient Egyptian Creation Accounts." *Yale Egyptological Studies* 2. New Haven: Yale Egyptological Seminar, Yale University. ISBN 0-912532-14-9. [Translation of Text 13, Ramesside stela NCG 897/AEIN 54, Ny Carlsberg Glyptotek; source of the epigraph]

Andrews, C. 1994. Amulets of Ancient Egypt. London: British Museum Press.

Corcoran, L.H. 2016. “The Color Blue as an ‘Animator’ in Ancient Egyptian Art.” In R.B. Goldman (ed.), Essays in Global Color History: Interpreting the Ancient Spectrum, pp. 41–63. Gorgias Studies in Classical and Late Antiquity 19. Piscataway, NJ: Gorgias Press. DOI: 10.31826/9781463236632-008.

Hendrickx, S. 2002. "Bovines in Egyptian Predynastic and Early Dynastic iconography." In Hassan, F.A. (ed.), Droughts, Food and Culture: Ecological Change and Food Security in Africa's Later Prehistory. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, pp. 275–318. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47547-2_16

Reymond (Jelínková), E.A.E. 1960. The Mythological Origin of the Egyptian Temple. PhD thesis, University of Liverpool. https://livrepository.liverpool.ac.uk/3167399/

Sherkova, T.A. 2023. "Eye Painting Ritual, Bull Sacrifice and the Myth of the Eye of Horus in Ancient Egypt" [in Russian]. Bulletin of Science and Practice 9(4): 535–558. DOI: 10.33619/2414-2948/89/71.

Egyptology — Primary Sources and Textual Editions

Allen, J.P. 2015. The Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts. 2nd edition. Writings from the Ancient World 38. Atlanta: SBL Press.

Faulkner, R.O. 1969. The Ancient Egyptian Pyramid Texts, Translated into English. Oxford: Clarendon Press. [Primary source for the identification of Canopus with Osiris in the oldest corpus of Egyptian religious literature; Utterances 302–305 describe a southern guide star for the deceased king]
Faulkner, R.O. 1973. The Ancient Egyptian Coffin Texts, Volume I: Spells 1–354. Warminster: Aris & Phillips.

Faulkner, R.O. 1973. The Ancient Egyptian Coffin Texts, Volume I: Spells 1–354. Warminster: Aris & Phillips.

Entomology

Panagiotakopulu, E. 2001. "New records for ancient pests: archaeoentomology in Egypt." Journal of Archaeological Science 28(11): 1235-1246.

Panagiotakopulu, E. 2003. "Insect Remains from the Collections in the Egyptian Museum of Turin." Archaeometry 45(2): 355-362.

Simpson, A., Fernández-Domínguez, E., Panagiotakopulu, E. & Clapham, A. 2020. "Ancient DNA preservation, genetic diversity and biogeography: A study of houseflies from Roman Qasr Ibrim, lower Nubia, Egypt." *Journal of Archaeological Science* 120: 105180. DOI: 10.1016/j.jas.2020.105180.

Epigraphy and Writing Systems

Altmann-Wendling, V. 2017a. "Raum-Zeit. Mond- und Tempeltreppen als Orte des Rituals." In S. Baumann and H. Kockelmann (eds), Der ägyptische Tempel als ritueller Raum. Studien zur spätägyptischen Religion 17: 419–445. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. [Argues that rituals were performed at full moon on stairways leading to the temple roof; treats the Dendera–Edfu New Moon and Behedet festival at pp. 426–435.]

Altmann-Wendling, V. 2017b. "Of Min and moon – cosmological concepts in the Temple of Athribis (Upper Egypt)." In G. Rosati and M.C. Guidotti (eds), Proceedings of the XI International Congress of Egyptologists, Florence, 23–30 August 2015. Archaeopress Egyptology 19: 7–13. Oxford: Archaeopress. [Names the Mondtreppen of Dendera and Edfu, p. 10; establishes Min as a moon god at Athribis.]

Altmann-Wendling, V. 2018. MondSymbolik – MondWissen. Lunare Konzepte in den ägyptischen Tempeln griechisch-römischer Zeit. Studien zur spätägyptischen Religion 22, 2 vols. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. [Ch. at pp. 85–133, "Die Mondtreppen von Dendera als Abbild des Mondzyklus", catalogues and examines all related depictions at pp. 731–748.]

Altmann-Wendling, V. 2019. "Shapeshifter – Knowledge of the Moon in Graeco-Roman Egypt." In J. Althoff, D. Berrens and T. Pommerening (eds), Finding, Inheriting or Borrowing? The Construction and Transfer of Knowledge in Antiquity and the Middle Ages. Mainzer Historische Kulturwissenschaften 39: 213–252. Bielefeld: transcript Verlag. DOI: 10.14361/9783839442364-009. Open access. [The fifteen gods of the waxing phase, p. 234; the fifteen-day New Moon and Behedet festival from Dendera to Edfu, p. 215.]

Altmann-Wendling, V. 2020. "Die Mondtreppen als symbolische Abbilder der Mondzunahme." In R. Färber and R. Gautschy (eds), Zeit in den Kulturen des Altertums. Antike Chronologie im Spiegel der Quellen. Vienna, Cologne and Weimar: Böhlau, pp. 137–145. [Q 11. Identifies the fourteen as the Theban Ennead; catalogues the three Dendera Mondtreppen and the Edfu variant; notes that the rear-wall Mondtreppe stands beside the real staircase to the temple roof.]

Aufrère, S. H. 1991. L'Univers minéral dans la pensée égyptienne. Bibliothèque d'étude CV/1–2, 2 vols. Cairo: Ifao. [Cited by Altmann-Wendling for the synopsis of the god-speeches of the lunar staircases at pp. 225–274; the filling of the wedjat-eye at pp. 299–303.]

Aufrère, S. H. 1997. "L'Univers minéral dans la pensée égyptienne: essai de synthèse et perspectives." Archéo-Nil 7: 113–144. DOI: 10.3406/arnil.1997.1207. Open access via Persée. [States that the restored eye is filled by fourteen divinities, matching the number of pieces into which Osiris was divided and the number of days the moon needs to reach the full; records that two complete versions of the Ritual of Filling the Wedjat-Eye appear under Ptolemy XIII, at Edfu and Philae.]

Cauville, S. 2020b. Dendara: Catalogue des dieux et des offrandes. Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 290. Leuven: Peeters. [Catalogue of the deities of the scene]

Cauville, S., Pollin, G., Bassiouni, O. and Hamed, Y. 2025. Le temple de Dendara XV: Planches. Cairo: Institut français d'archéologie orientale. [Photographic plates of the pronaos ceilings; the lunar ascent at pl. p. 36 (D. XV, 29–34) and pl. p. 392 (D. XV, 367–370)]

Corthals, A. 2005. "The Procession of the New Year in the Staircases at Edfu and Dendara." In A. Amenta, M.M. Luiselli and M.N. Sordi (eds.), L'Acqua nell'antico Egitto. Egitto antico 3, Atti 1. Rome: L'Erma di Bretschneider, pp. 211–219. [The New Year staircase processions; the gods on the standards]

El Kordy, Z. and El Shal, O. 2006. "Les escaliers de Dendara (Traduction)." Bulletin of the Egyptian Museum 3: 34–69. [Translation of the texts of both Dendera staircases]

Escolano-Poveda, M. 2022. "Zosimos Aigyptiakos: Identifying the Imagery of the 'Visions' and Locating Zosimos of Panopolis in His Egyptian Context." ARYS. Antigüedad: Religiones y Sociedades 20: 77–134. DOI: 10.20318/arys.2022.6793. Open access. [The lunar staircase type at Edfu, Esna and Philae; fifteen steps, fourteen deities.]

Herbin, F.-R. 1982. "Un hymne à la lune croissante." Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale 82: 237–282. Open access via IFAO. [Collates the known examples of the fourteen-deity lunar composition with their publication references; establishes the variation in order, nature and number across temples, and the division of the Dendera group into Heliopolitan and Theban components.]

Junker, H. 1958. *Der große Pylon des Tempels der Isis in Philä* [Philä I]. With drawings by O. Daum. Denkschriften der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Philosophisch-Historische Klasse, Sonderband. Vienna: in Kommission bei R.M. Rohrer. [Publication of the Philae deity sequence cited above, pp. 104–108 with figs. 54–56.]

Qadous, E.Z.H., Abdelwahed, Y.E.H. and Ahmed, M.G.I. 2019. "Staircases of Upper Egyptian Temples in the Graeco-Roman Period." Minia Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research 8(1): 145–188. DOI: 10.21608/mjthr.2019.140942. Open access. [Architectural comparison of temple staircases; groups Dendera's and Edfu's western staircases as the same quarter-landing type. Does not discuss deity sequences.]

Safina, A. 2022. "Processions of the Priests of the Eastern Staircase at Edfu Temple." Shedet 9(9): 18–39. DOI: 10.21608/shedet.2022.244461. [Confirms the Edfu staircases carry priests and standards, not a named deity sequence]

Yale Egyptology n.d. "The Rock Inscriptions of the Wadi Magar." Theban Desert Road Survey / Yale Toshka Desert Survey, Western Hinterland of Qamûla. https://egyptology.yale.edu/expeditions/past-and-joint-projects/theban-desert-road-survey-yale-toshka-desert-survey/qamula/wadi-magar (accessed 11 October 2026).

Ethnoarchaeology and Living Traditions

Buratti, M. 2016. Perles d'Afrique, des données archéologiques aux objets actuels : utilisations et symbolisme à travers l'exemple des perles du Cameroun. PhD thesis, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne. HAL: tel-01679352.

Hamacher, D., Nunn, P., Gantevoort, M., Taylor, R., Lehman, G., Law, K.H.A. & Miles, M. (2023). The archaeology of orality: Dating Tasmanian Aboriginal oral traditions to the Late Pleistocene. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 159: 105819. DOI: 10.1016/j.jas.2023.105819.

Nunn, P.D. & Reid, N.J. 2016. "Aboriginal Memories of Inundation of the Australian Coast Dating from More than 7000 Years Ago." Australian Geographer 47(1): 11–47. https://doi.org/10.1080/00049182.2015.1077539 [Documents oral traditions preserving accurate post-glacial coastal geography across more than 10,000 years; primary evidence for oral tradition longevity cited in Ch7 transmission section]

Rivière, T. & Faublée, J. 1989. "Les tatouages berbères dans l'Aurès." *Études et Documents Berbères* 6: 60–72. DOI: 10.3917/edb.006.0060. Open access. [Re-edition, with minor revisions, of Rivière, T. & Faublée, J. 1942, "Les tatouages des Chaouia de l'Aurès," Journal de la Société des Africanistes 12: 67–80, open access on Persée. Fieldwork of 1935–1937. Fig. 5 is captioned "Tatouages protecteurs et médico-religieux".]

Genetics and Population Genomics

Colombo, G., et al. 2025. "The origin of modern North Africans as depicted by a massive survey of mitogenomes." Scientific Reports 15: 27025. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-025-12209-x.

Donnelly, M.P., Paschou, P., Grigorenko, E., Gurwitz, D., Barta, C., Lu, R.-B., Zhukova, O.V., Kim, J.-J., Siniscalco, M., New, M., Li, H., Kajuna, S.L.B., Manolopoulos, V.G., Speed, W.C., Pakstis, A.J., Kidd, J.R. & Kidd, K.K. 2012. "A global view of the OCA2-HERC2 region and pigmentation." Human Genetics 131(5): 683–696. DOI: 10.1007/s00439-011-1110-x.

Eiberg, H., Troelsen, J., Nielsen, M., Mikkelsen, A., Mengel-From, J., Kjaer, K.W. & Hansen, L. 2008. "Blue eye color in humans may be caused by a perfectly associated founder mutation in a regulatory element located within the HERC2 gene inhibiting OCA2 expression." Human Genetics 123(2): 177–187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-007-0460-x [Documents the OCA2 single-founder mutation responsible for blue eyes; cited for the ~10,000–6,000 BCE mutation date in the Master Timeline]

Henn, B.M., Botigué, L.R., Gravel, S., Wang, W., Brisbin, A., Byrnes, J.K., Fadhlaoui-Zid, K., Zalloua, P.A., Moreno-Estrada, A., Bertranpetit, J., Bustamante, C.D. & Comas, D. 2012. "Genomic ancestry of North Africans supports back-to-Africa migrations." *PLoS Genetics* 8(1): e1002397. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002397.

Katsara, M.-A. & Nothnagel, M. 2019. "True colors: A literature review on the spatial distribution of eye and hair pigmentation." Forensic Science International: Genetics 39: 109–118. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2019.01.001.

Kefi, R., Hechmi, M., Naouali, C., Jmel, H., Hsouna, S., Bouzaid, E., Abdelhak, S., Beraud-Colomb, E. & Stevanovitch, A. 2018. "On the origin of Iberomaurusians: new data based on ancient mitochondrial DNA and phylogenetic analysis of Afalou and Taforalt populations." *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 29(1): 147–157. DOI: 10.1080/24701394.2016.1258406.

Lipson, M., Ringbauer, H., Lucarini, G., Aouadi, N., Aoudia, L., Belhouchet, L., Cheronet, O., Dahmani, A.-R., Genchi, F., La Pastina, F., Lucci, M., de Lumley, H., Mansouri, N., Nava, A., Touj, F., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Coppa, A., Pinhasi, R. & Reich, D. (2025). High continuity of forager ancestry in the Neolithic period of the eastern Maghreb. Nature. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-025-08699-4.

Lipson, M., Ringbauer, H., Lucarini, G., Aouadi, N., Aoudia, L., Belhouchet, L., Cheronet, O., Dahmani, A.-R., Genchi, F., La Pastina, F., Lucci, M., de Lumley, H., Mansouri, N., Nava, A., Touj, F., Mallick, S., Rohland, N., Coppa, A., Pinhasi, R. & Reich, D. 2025. "High continuity of forager ancestry in the Neolithic period of the eastern Maghreb." *Nature* 641(8064): 925-931. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08699-4

Morez Jacobs, A., et al. 2025. "Whole-genome ancestry of an Old Kingdom Egyptian." Nature 644(8077): 714–721. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-09195-5 [Publishes the Nuwayrat Old Kingdom genome (~2855–2570 BCE) showing ancestry modeled as 77.6% Middle Neolithic Moroccan (Morocco_MN), cited throughout this document as direct genetic support for North African Neolithic population presence in Old Kingdom Egypt]

Orlando, L., Allaby, R., Skoglund, P., Der Sarkissian, C., Stockhammer, P.W., Ávila-Arcos, M.C., Fu, Q., Krause, J., Willerslev, E., Stone, A.C. & Warinner, C. 2021. "Ancient DNA analysis." Nature Reviews Methods Primers 1: 14. DOI: 10.1038/s43586-020-00011-0.

Salem, N., Ringbauer, H., Caramelli, D., di Lernia, S. & Krause, J. 2025. "Ancient DNA from the Green Sahara reveals ancestral North African lineage." *Nature* 641(8061): 144–150.

Simões, L.G., Günther, T., Martínez-Sánchez, R.M., et al. (2023). Northwest African Neolithic initiated by migrants from Iberia and Levant. Nature 618: 550–556. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-06166-6.

Sirak, K.A., Fernandes, D.M., Lipson, M., Mallick, S., Mah, M., Olalde, I., Ringbauer, H., Rohland, N., Hadden, C.S., Harney, É., Patterson, N., Pinhasi, R., Thompson, J.C., Van Gerven, D. & Reich, D. 2021. "Social stratification without genetic differentiation at the site of Kulubnarti in Christian Period Nubia." Nature Communications 12(1). DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-27356-8.

Suarez, P., Baumer, K. & Hall, D. 2021. "Further insight into the global variability of the OCA2-HERC2 locus for human pigmentation from multiallelic markers." Scientific Reports 11: 22530. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-01940-w.

van de Loosdrecht, M., et al. 2018. "Pleistocene North African genomes link Near Eastern and sub-Saharan African human populations." *Science* 360(6388): 548-552.

Vilà-Valls, L., Abdeli, A., Lucas-Sánchez, M., Bekada, A., Calafell, F., Benhassine, T. & Comas, D. 2024. "Understanding the genomic heterogeneity of North African Imazighen: from broad to microgeographical perspectives." *Scientific Reports* 14(1): 9979. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-60568-8.

Wang, K., Bleasdale, M., Le Moyne, C., Freund, C., Krause, J., Boivin, N. & Schiffels, S. 2022. "4000-year-old hair from the Middle Nile highlights unusual ancient DNA degradation pattern and a potential source of early eastern Africa pastoralists." Scientific Reports 12(1): 20939. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-25384-y.

Geochemistry (Isotope Studies)

Tafuri, M.A., Bentley, R.A., Manzi, G. & di Lernia, S. 2006. "Mobility and kinship in the prehistoric Sahara: Strontium isotope analysis of Holocene human skeletons from the Acacus Mts. (southwestern Libya)." Journal of Anthropological Archaeology 25(3): 390–402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2006.01.002

Geology and Geochemistry

Dietz, R.S., Fudali, R. & Cassidy, W. 1969. "Richat and Semsiyat Domes (Mauritania): Not Astroblemes." Geological Society of America Bulletin 80(7): 1367–1372. DOI: 10.1130/0016-7606(1969)80[1367:RASDMN]2.0.CO;2.

Fabre, J., Kazi-Tani, N. & Megartsi, M. 1970. "Le 'rond' de l'Ouarkziz (Sahara nord-occidental): un astroblème?" Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences 270: 1212–1215.

Fulcher, K., Siddall, R., Emmett, T.F. & Spencer, N. 2021. "Multi-scale characterization of unusual green and blue pigments from the pharaonic town of Amara West, Nubia." Heritage 4(3): 2563–2579. DOI: 10.3390/heritage4030145.

Giresse, P., Sao, O. & de Lumley, H. 2012. "Paleo-environmental Study of the Quaternary Sediments of Guelb er Richât (Adrar of Mauritania) with Respect to Neighbouring or Associated Sites of the Lower Palaeolithic: Discussion and Perspectives." L'Anthropologie 116(1): 12–38.

IUGS. 2022. "Richat Structure: a Cretaceous alkaline complex." In *First 100 IUGS Geological Heritage Sites*. International Union of Geological Sciences.

Matton, G. & Jébrak, M. 2014. "The 'eye of Africa' (Richat dome, Mauritania): An isolated Cretaceous alkaline–hydrothermal complex." *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 97: 109–124. DOI: 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2014.04.006.

Matton, G., Jébrak, M. & Lee, J.K.W. 2005. "Resolving the Richat enigma: Doming and hydrothermal karstification above an alkaline complex." *Geology* 33(8): 665–668. DOI: 10.1130/G21542.1.

Monod, Th. 1986. "Souvenirs sahariens d'un vieux géologue amateur." Travaux du Comité français d'Histoire de la Géologie, 2e série, 4: 47–56. https://annales.org/archives/cofrhigeo/monod.html

Sao, O., Giresse, P. & de Lumley, H. (with Chapon, C., Faure, O., Perrenoud, C., Saos, T., Ould Rachid, M. & Cherif Touré, O.) 2008. "Les environnements sédimentaires des gisements pré-acheuléens et acheuléens des wadis Akerdil et Bamouéré (Guelb er-Richât, Adrar, Mauritanie), une première approche." L'Anthropologie 112(1): 1–14.

Taylor, C.D. & Giles, S.A. 2015. "Mineral potential for incompatible element deposits hosted in pegmatites, alkaline rocks, and carbonatites in the Islamic Republic of Mauritania (phase V, deliverable 87)." In Second Projet de Renforcement Institutionnel du Secteur Minier de la République Islamique de Mauritanie (PRISM-II). U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2013-1280-Q, 41 p. https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20131280Q

Historical Geography

Monod, Th. 1974. "Le mythe de « l'Émeraude des Garamantes »." Antiquités africaines 8: 51–66. https://doi.org/10.3406/antaf.1974.944

Linguistics and Historical Linguistics

Weninger, S., Khan, G., Streck, M.P. & Watson, J.C.E. (eds) 2011. *The Semitic Languages: An International Handbook*. Handbücher zur Sprach- und Kommunikationswissenschaft (HSK) 36. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter Mouton. DOI: 10.1515/9783110251586. [Part I, "Semitic in an Afroasiatic Context", covers Semitic–Egyptian, Semitic–Berber, Semitic–Chadic and Semitic–Cushitic/Omotic relations.]

Material Culture Studies — Lithics

Foley, R.A. & Lahr, M.M. 2015. “Lithic landscapes: early human impact from stone tool production on the central Saharan environment.” PLoS ONE 10(3): e0116482. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116482

Sheppard, P. 1987. *The Capsian of North Africa: Stylistic Variation in Stone Tool Assemblages*. BAR International Series 353. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports. ISBN 978-0-86054-456-2. DOI: 10.30861/9780860544562.

Material Culture Studies — Stone Vessels

Aston, B.G. 1994. *Ancient Egyptian Stone Vessels: Materials and Forms*. Studien zur Archäologie und Geschichte Altägyptens 5. Heidelberg: Heidelberger Orientverlag.

Greenough, J.D., Mallory-Greenough, L.M. & Owen, J.V. 2001. "The major- and trace-element whole-rock fingerprints of Egyptian basalts and the provenance of Egyptian artefacts." *Geoarchaeology* 16(6): 763–784. DOI: 10.1002/gea.1004.

Mallory, L.M. 2000. Predynastic and First Dynasty Egyptian Basalt Vessels. PhD thesis, Department of Near and Middle Eastern Civilizations, University of Toronto.

Mallory-Greenough, L.M., Greenough, J.D. & Owen, J.V. 1999. "The stone source of Predynastic basalt vessels: Mineralogical evidence for quarries in Northern Egypt." *Journal of Archaeological Science* 26(10): 1261–1272. DOI: 10.1006/jasc.1998.0359.

Material Culture Studies — Textiles

di Lernia, S., Buldrini, F., Florenzano, A., Mercuri, A.M., Nardi, V. & Rotunno, R. 2024. "Spatial analyses of archaeobotanical record reveal site uses and activities at Early to Middle Holocene Takarkori (Libya, Central Sahara)." PLOS ONE 19(10): e0310739. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0310739.

di Lernia, S., N'siala, I.M. & Mercuri, A.M. 2012. "Saharan prehistoric basketry. Archaeological and archaeobotanical analysis of the early-middle Holocene assemblage from Takarkori (Acacus Mts., SW Libya)." *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39(6): 1837-1853.

Drewsen, A. 2019. "Spinning for the gods? Preliminary observations on prehistoric textile production at Hierakonpolis, Egypt." Archaeological Textiles Review 61: 3–13.

Gleba, M. & Harris, S. 2019. "The first plant bast fibre technology: identifying splicing in archaeological textiles." Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences 11: 2329–2346. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-018-0677-8.

Jones, J. 2005. "Pre- and Early Dynastic textiles: technology, specialisation and administration during the process of state formation" [abstract]. In B. Midant-Reynes & Y. Tristant (eds), with J. Rowland & S. Hendrickx, L'Égypte pré- et protodynastique. Les origines de l'État / Predynastic and Early Dynastic Egypt. Origin of the State. Livret des résumés / Abstracts of Papers, 54–55. Toulouse.

Mathematics and Metrology

Möller, G. 1911. "Die Zeichen für die Bruchteile des Hohlmaßes und das Uzatauge." Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde 48: 99–101 (with a Nachtrag by H. Junker, 101–106). [Primary Egyptological source for the assignment of fractional values (1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16, 1/32, 1/64) to

the six anatomical elements of the Wedjat (Eye of Horus); confirmed across subsequent Egyptological literature]

Ritter, J. 2002. "Closing the Eye of Horus: the rise and fall of 'Horus-eye fractions'." In J.M. Steele & A. Imhausen (eds), Under One Sky: Astronomy and Mathematics in the Ancient Near East (AOAT 297): 297–323. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.

Paleohydrology and Geomorphology

Drake, N.A., Wilson, A., Pelling, R., White, K. & Mattingly, D.J. 2006. "Water table decline, springline desiccation and the early development of irrigated agriculture in the Wādī al-Ajāl, Libyan Fazzān." Libyan Studies 35: 95–112.

Klokočník, J., Kostelecký, J., Cílek, V., Bezděk, A. & Pešek, I. 2017. "A support for the existence of paleolakes and paleorivers buried under Saharan sand by means of 'gravitational signal' from EIGEN 6C4." *Arabian Journal of Geosciences* 10: 199. DOI: 10.1007/s12517-017-2962-8.

Paillou, P., Schuster, M., Tooth, S., Farr, T., Rosenqvist, A., Lopez, S. & Malézieux, J.-M. 2009. "Mapping of a major paleodrainage system in Eastern Libya using orbital imaging Radar: The Kufrah River." *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 277(3–4): 327–333. DOI: 10.1016/j.epsl.2008.10.029.

Paillou, P., Tooth, S. & Lopez, S. 2012. "The Kufrah paleodrainage system in Libya: A past connection to the Mediterranean Sea?" *Comptes Rendus Géoscience* 344(8): 406–414. DOI: 10.1016/j.crte.2012.07.002

Sterry, M., Mattingly, D.J. & Wilson, A. 2022. "Foggaras and the Garamantes: Hydraulic Landscapes in the Central Sahara." In S. Rost (ed.), Irrigation in Early States: New Directions. Oriental Institute Seminars 13. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, pp. 41–61.

Paleopathology and Parasitology

Crubézy, É., Ludes, B., Poveda, J.-D., Clayton, J., Crouau-Roy, B. & Montagnon, D. 1998. "Identification of Mycobacterium DNA in an Egyptian Pott's disease of 5,400 years old." *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Paris* 321: 941–951. [TB evidence Predynastic Egypt, ~3400 BCE]

Hordt, A., Lopez, M.G., Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., Schleuning, M., Weinhold, L.-M., Tindall, B.J., Gronow, S., Kyrpides, N.C., Woyke, T. & Goker, M. 2020. "Analysis of 1,000+ type-strain genomes substantially improves taxonomic classification of Alphaproteobacteria." Frontiers in Microbiology 11: 468. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00468.

Levy, S. 2024–2025. Metagenomic screening of Gobero (Niger), Kadruka (Sudan), and Takarkori (Libya) samples using Kraken2 pathogen panel (22 target taxa). Preliminary detection of M. tuberculosis and Brucella at Saharan and Middle Nile sites. Raw data: Gobero dental calculus samples from BioProject PRJNA1031139 (SRP468575); Kadruka from PRJEB53198. mapDamage authentication complete. Fully reproducible from public sequence data. Gobero samples were screened from dental calculus (oral metagenome) data. Detection of systemic pathogens in dental calculus is an established methodology in ancient pathogen genomics (Warinner et al. 2022; Spyrou et al. 2019), though interpretation must account for the oral cavity as the sampling environment.

Masson, M., Molnár, E., Donoghue, H.D., Besra, G.S., Minnikin, D.E., Wu, H.H.T., Lee, O.Y.-C., Bull, I.D. & Pálfi, G. 2013. "Osteological and biomolecular evidence of a 7000-year-old case of hypertrophic

pulmonary osteopathy secondary to tuberculosis from Neolithic Hungary." PLOS ONE 8(10): e78252. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078252.

Mutolo, M.J., Jenny, L.L., Buszek, A.R., Fenton, T.W. & Foran, D.R. 2012. "Osteological and molecular identification of brucellosis in ancient Butrint, Albania." American Journal of Physical Anthropology 147(2): 254–263. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21643.

Rabino Massa, E., Cerutti, N., Marin, D. & Savoia, A. 2000. "Malaria in ancient Egypt: Paleoimmunological investigation on predynastic mummified remains." *Chungará (Arica)* 32(1): 7–9. DOI: 10.4067/S0717-73562000000100003.

Rifkin, R.F., Vikram, S., Alcorta, J., Ramond, J.-B., Cowan, D.A., Jakobsson, M., Schlebusch, C.M. & Lombard, M. 2023. "Rickettsia felis DNA recovered from a child who lived in southern Africa 2000 years ago." *Communications Biology* 6(1): 240. DOI: 10.1038/s42003-023-04582-y.

Spyrou, M.A., Bos, K.I., Herbig, A. & Krause, J. 2019. "Ancient pathogen genomics as an emerging tool for infectious disease research." Nature Reviews Genetics 20(6): 323–340. DOI: 10.1038/s41576-019-0119-1.

Wright, S.L., Abdul-Aziz, M., Blaha, G.N., Ta, C.K., Gancz, A., Ademola-Popoola, I.J., Szécsényi-Nagy, A., Sereno, P.C. & Weyrich, L.S. 2025. "Wet Lab Protocols Matter: Choice of DNA Extraction and Library Preparation Protocols Bias Ancient Oral Microbiome Recovery." *Molecular Ecology Resources* 25(8): e70054. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.70054.

Zink, A., Reischl, U., Wolf, H. & Nerlich, A.G. 2000. "Molecular evidence of bacteremia by gastrointestinal pathogenic bacteria in an infant mummy from ancient Egypt." *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine* 124(11): 1614–1618. DOI: 10.5858/2000-124-1614-MEOBBG.

Zink, A.R., Spigelman, M., Schraut, B., Greenblatt, C.L., Nerlich, A.G. & Donoghue, H.D. 2006. "Leishmaniasis in Ancient Egypt and Upper Nubia." *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 12(10): 1616–1617. DOI: 10.3201/eid1210.060169.

Physical Anthropology and Bioarchaeology

Arambourg, C., Boule, M., Vallois, H. & Verneau, R. 1934. "Les grottes paléolithiques des Beni Segoual (Algérie)." *Archives de l'Institut de Paléontologie Humaine* 13: 1-242.

Carlson, D.S. 1976. "Temporal variation in prehistoric Nubian crania." *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 45(3): 467–484.

Chamla, M.-C. 1968. *Les populations anciennes du Sahara et des régions limitrophes. Étude des restes osseux humains néolithiques et protohistoriques*. Paris: Arts et Métiers Graphiques.

Clark, J.D., Gifford-Gonzalez, D. & Smith, A.B. (eds.) 2008. *Adrar Bous: Archaeology of a Central Saharan Granitic Ring Complex in Niger*. Tervuren: Royal Museum for Central Africa.

Galland, M., Van Gerven, D.P., Von Cramon-Taubadel, N. & Pinhasi, R. 2016. "11,000 years of craniofacial and mandibular variation in Lower Nubia." *Scientific Reports* 6: 31040.

Godde, K. 2018. "A new analysis interpreting Nilotic relationships and peopling of the Nile Valley." HOMO – Journal of Comparative Human Biology. DOI: 10.1016/j.jchb.2018.07.002.

Irish, J.D. & Konigsberg, L. 2007. "The ancient inhabitants of Jebel Moya redux: measures of population affinity based on dental morphology." *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 17(2): 138–156. DOI: 10.1002/oa.868.

Irish, J.D. 2000. "The Iberomaurusian enigma: North African progenitor or dead end?" *Journal of Human Evolution* 39(4): 393–410. DOI: 10.1006/jhev.2000.0430.

Irish, J.D. 2005. "Population continuity vs. discontinuity revisited: dental affinities among Late Paleolithic through Christian-era Nubians." *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 128(3): 520–535. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.20109.

Irish, J.D. 2006. "Who were the ancient Egyptians? Dental affinities among Neolithic through postdynastic peoples." *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 129(4): 529–543. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.20261.

Johnson, A.L. & Lovell, N.C. 1994. "Biological differentiation at Predynastic Naqada, Egypt: an analysis of dental morphological traits." *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 93(4): 427–433. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.1330930403.

Jones, J., Higham, T.F.G., Chivall, D., Bianucci, R., Kay, G.L., Pallen, M.J., Oldfield, R., Ugliano, F. & Buckley, S.A. 2018. "A prehistoric Egyptian mummy: Evidence for an 'embalming recipe' and the evolution of early formative funerary treatments." Journal of Archaeological Science 100: 191–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2018.07.011

Prowse, T.L. & Lovell, N.C. 1995. "Biological continuity between the A- and C-groups in Lower Nubia: Evidence from cranial non-metric traits." *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 5(2): 103–114. DOI: 10.1002/oa.1390050202.

Prowse, T.L. & Lovell, N.C. 1996. "Concordance of cranial and dental morphological traits and evidence for endogamy in ancient Egypt." American Journal of Physical Anthropology 101(2): 237–246.

Sergi, S. 1951. "Parte III: I resti scheletrici delle antiche popolazioni del Fezzan ed il significato della stirpe mediterranea nella etnogenesi delle popolazioni dell'Africa settentrionale." In Pace, B., Sergi, S. & Caputo, G. *Scavi Sahariani*. *Monumenti Antichi* 41: 443-522.

Stojanowski, C.M., Irish, J.D. & Sereno, P.C. 2026. "Early to Middle Holocene hunter-fisher-gatherers from the Green Sahara (Gobero, Niger): dental evidence for regional African affinities." American Journal of Biological Anthropology. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.70262

Radiocarbon Dating and Chronometrics

Dee, M., Wengrow, D., Shortland, A., Stevenson, A., Brock, F., Girdland Flink, L. & Bronk Ramsey, C. (2013). An absolute chronology for early Egypt using radiocarbon dating and Bayesian statistical modelling. Proceedings of the Royal Society A 469(2159): 20130395. DOI: 10.1098/rspa.2013.0395.

Mattingly, D.J., Edwards, D.N. & Dore, J.N. 2002. "Radiocarbon dates from Fazzan, southern Libya." *Libyan Studies* 33: 9–19.

Rock Art Studies

Barnett, T. & Guagnin, M. 2014. "Changing places: rock art and Holocene landscapes in the Wadi al-Ajal, south-west Libya." Journal of African Archaeology 12(2): 165–182.

Barnett, T. 2002. "Rock-art, landscape and cultural transition in the Wadi al-Ajal, Fezzan." *Libyan Studies* 33: 71–84.

Berger, U. & Berger, F. (1999). Ein neuer Felsbildfund im Wadi Hamra (Gilf Kebir, Ägypten). Almogaren 30: 203–220. Vöcklabruck: Institutum Canarium.

Caldwell, D. 2013. "Western Saharan Sculptural Families and the Possible Origins of the Osiris-Horus Cycle." *Rock Art Research* 30(2): 174-196.

di Lernia, S. & Gallinaro, M. 2010. "The date and context of Neolithic rock art in the Sahara: engravings and ceremonial monuments from Messak Settafet (south-west Libya)." *Antiquity* 84(326): 954-975.

Gauthier, Y. & Gauthier, C. 1999. "Documents rupestres et monuments du Fezzân occidental et leur relation avec ceux du Messak (Libye)." In Proceedings of the NEWS'95 International Rock Art Congress, Symposium 13d: Rock Art and the Sahara, Turin, 30 August–6 September 1995. Pinerolo: Centro Studi e Museo d'Arte Preistorica (CD-ROM).

Gauthier, Y. & Gauthier, C. 2008. "Art rupestre, monuments funéraires et aires culturelles : nouveaux documents concernant le Messak, le Sud-Est du Fezzân et l'Oued Djerat." Les Cahiers de l'AARS 12: 89–104.

Gauthier, Y. & Gauthier, C. 2020. "Compléments à l'inventaire des chars : la route des chars, Aouineght, el-Ghallaouiya et autres sites sahariens." Les Cahiers de l'AARS 21: 67–106.

ICOMOS. 2016. "Ennedi Massif (Chad), No 1475." Evaluation of nominations of cultural and mixed properties to the World Heritage List: ICOMOS report to the World Heritage Committee, working document WHC/16/40.COM/8B. Report approved 11 March 2016. Paris: International Council on Monuments and Sites. https://whc.unesco.org/document/152760

Kuper, R. (ed.) 2013. Wadi Sura – The Cave of Beasts: A rock art site in the Gilf Kebir (SW Egypt). Africa Praehistorica 26. Köln: Heinrich-Barth-Institut.

Le Quellec, J.-L. 1993. "Pièges radiaires et ovaloïdes dans les gravures rupestres du Sahara central." In Exploitation des animaux sauvages à travers le temps. XIIIe Rencontres Internationales d'Archéologie et d'Histoire d'Antibes. Juan-les-Pins: APDCA, pp. 303–305.

Le Quellec, J.-L. 1998. *Art rupestre et préhistoire du Sahara: Le Messak Libyen*. Paris: Editions Payot et Rivages.

Leclant, J., Huard, P. & Allard-Huard, L. 1980. "La culture des chasseurs du Nil et du Sahara." *Bulletin de l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire* 42(4): 671-692.

Lluch, P. & Philip, S. 2003. "Six stations à gravures du N.E. de l'Adrar (dhar Chinguetti, Mauritanie)." Cahiers de l'AARS 8: 87–96.

Lutz, R. & Lutz, G. 1995. *The Secret of the Desert: The Rock Art of the Messak Sattafet and Messak Mellet, Libya*. Innsbruck: Universitätsverlag Wagner.

Moumane, A., Delorme, J., Ewague, A., al-Karkouri, J., Gaoudi, M., Ista, H., Moumane, M., Mouna, H., Oumouss, A., Lmejidi, A. & Zdaidat, N. 2019. "Jbel Bani Rock Art: Newly-discovered Shelters along Mountain Paths suggest a Significant Link between Central Sahara and North Africa (Zagora, Southern Morocco)." Journal of African Archaeology 17(1): 36–52. DOI: 10.1163/21915784-20190002.

Soukopova, J. (2012). Round Heads: The Earliest Rock Paintings in the Sahara. Newcastle upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

van Albada, A. & van Albada, A.M. 2000. *La montagne des hommes-chiens: Art rupestre du Messak Lybien*. Paris: Seuil.

Vanhulle, D., Gatto, M.C., Hendrickx, S., Darnell, J.C. & Curci, A. 2021. "Augmenting current paradigms: Nilotic rock art reconsidered." Paper presented at UISPP 2021, XIX World Congress, Meknes, 2–7 September 2021. Abstract in *Évolution des sociétés humaines de la Préhistoire à la Protohistoire: Recueil des résumés*, p. 37.

Zooarchaeology

Bleasdale, M., Richter, K.K., Janzen, A., Brown, S., Scott, A., Zech, J., Wang, K., Schiffels, S., Desideri, J., Besse, M., Reinold, J., Saad, M., Babiker, H., Power, R.C., Ndiema, E., Ogola, C., Manthi, F.K., Zahir, M., Petraglia, M., Trachsel, C., Nanni, P., Grossmann, J., Hendy, J., Crowther, A., Roberts, P., Goldstein, S.T. & Boivin, N. 2021. "Ancient proteins provide evidence of dairy consumption in eastern Africa." *Nature Communications* 12(1): 632. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-20682-3.

Bonfiglio, S., Ginja, C., De Gaetano, A., Achilli, A., Olivieri, A., Colli, L., et al. 2012. "Origin and spread of *Bos taurus*: New clues from mitochondrial genomes belonging to haplogroup T1." PLoS ONE 7(6): e38601. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038601.

Brass, M. 2018. "Early North African cattle domestication and its ecological setting: A reassessment." *Journal of World Prehistory* 31(1): 81–115. DOI: 10.1007/s10963-017-9112-9.

di Lernia, S., Tafuri, M.A., Gallinaro, M., Alhaique, F., Balasse, M., Cavorsi, L., Fullagar, P.D., Mercuri, A.M., Monaco, A., Perego, A. & Zerboni, A. 2013. "Inside the “African Cattle Complex”: Animal Burials in the Holocene Central Sahara." PLoS ONE 8(2): e56879. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056879

Dunne, J., et al. 2012. "First dairying in green Saharan Africa in the fifth millennium BC." *Nature* 486(7403): 390–394. DOI: 10.1038/nature11186.

Garcea, E.A.A., Budka, J., Ikram, S., Galaty, J. & Monroe, S. (2026). *The Deep History of Cattle Herding and Symbolism: New Perspectives from Anthropology, Archaeology and Zooarchaeology in Sudan*. SpringerBriefs in Archaeology. Springer. ISBN: 978-3032146700.

Gautier, A. 1987. "Prehistoric men and cattle in North Africa: A dearth of data and a surfeit of models." In Close, A.E. (ed.), *Prehistory of Arid North Africa*. Dallas: Southern Methodist University Press, 163-187.

Linseele, V., Riemer, H., Baeten, J., De Vos, D., Marinova, E. & Ottoni, C. 2013. "Species identification of archaeological dung remains: A critical review of potential methods." Environmental Archaeology 18(1): 5–17. https://doi.org/10.1179/1461410313Z.00000000019

Lobban, R.A. Jr. & de Liedekerke, V. 2000. "Elephants in Ancient Egypt and Nubia." *Anthrozoös* 13(4): 232–244. DOI: 10.2752/089279300786999625.

Van Neer, W., Alhaique, F., Wouters, W., Dierickx, K., Gala, M., Goffette, Q., Mariani, G.S., Zerboni, A. & di Lernia, S. (2020). Aquatic fauna from the Takarkori rock shelter reveals the Holocene central

Saharan climate and palaeohydrography. PLoS ONE 15(2): e0228588. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0228588.

Van Neer, W., De Cupere, B. & Friedman, R. (2026). New archaeozoological analysis of baboons buried at Hierakonpolis (Upper Egypt) shows two species were imported in the Predynastic period. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences 18(3): 62.

Van Neer, W., De Cupere, B. & Friedman, R. 2024. "The earliest evidence for deformation of livestock horns: The case of Predynastic sheep from Hierakonpolis, Egypt." Journal of Archaeological Science 172: 106104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2024.106104

Van Neer, W., Linseele, V. & Friedman, R. 2004. "Animal burials and food offerings at the elite cemetery HK6 of Hierakonpolis." In Hendrickx, S., Friedman, R.F., Cialowicz, K.M. & Chlodnicki, M. (eds.), Egypt at Its Origins: Studies in Memory of Barbara Adams. Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 138. Leuven: Peeters, pp. 67–130.

Van Neer, W., Linseele, V., Friedman, R. & De Cupere, B. 2014. "More evidence for cat taming at the Predynastic elite cemetery of Hierakonpolis (Upper Egypt)." Journal of Archaeological Science 45: 103–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2014.02.014

Zep Tepi Series (Self-Citation)

Levy, S. (2026a). The Eye of the Sahara Hypothesis: A Predictive Model and 25-Element Testing Protocol for Predynastic Saharan Migration. Zep Tepi Series, Paper I. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21371148

Artificial Intelligence Use Disclosure

In accordance with the Taylor & Francis AI Policy, the author discloses the following use of generative AI and large language models in the preparation of this manuscript. No AI tool generated the underlying scientific data or original evidence. All cited evidence derives from published, peer-reviewed sources. The original pathogen screening (Kraken2 and mapDamage authentication) was performed by the author using publicly available software and sequence data, without AI assistance. AI tools did not author any section of this manuscript; the author takes full responsibility for all content, claims, and conclusions.

DeepSeek (V3) — Primary literature gathering. Used to search across 25 disciplines, retrieve and translate technical papers into plain language, and identify cross-field connections across the evidence streams.

Claude (Sonnet, Anthropic) — Full verification and writing assistance. Used to verify gathered material against primary sources and to assist with structuring and drafting the manuscript.

Google Gemini (1.5 Pro) — Supplementary information gathering.

Microsoft Copilot / Mistral — Content analysis and hallucination checking.

ChatGPT (GPT-4o, OpenAI) / Grok (xAI) — Critical peer review simulation.